Moment-to-moment dynamics between auditory verbal hallucinations and negative affect and the role of beliefs about voices
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Abstract

Background. Negative affect (NA) has been suggested to be both an antecedent and a consequence of auditory verbal hallucinations (AVH). Furthermore, negative appraisals of voices have been theorized to contribute to the maintenance of AVH. Using the experience sampling method (ESM), this study examined the bi-directional relationship between NA and AVH, and the moderating effect of negative beliefs about voices.

Methods. Forty-seven patients diagnosed with schizophrenia spectrum disorders with frequent AVH completed a clinical interview, followed by ESM for 10 times a day over 6 days on an electronic device. Time-lagged analyses were conducted using multilevel regression modeling. Beliefs about voices were assessed at baseline.

Results. A total of 1654 data points were obtained. NA predicted an increase in AVH in the subsequent moment, and AVH predicted an increase in NA in the subsequent moment. Baseline beliefs about voices as malevolent and omnipotent significantly strengthened the association between NA and AVH within the same moment. In addition, the belief of omnipotence was associated with more hallucinatory experiences in the moment following NA. However, beliefs about voices were not associated directly with momentary levels of NA or AVH.

Conclusions. Experiences of NA and AVH drove each other, forming a feedback loop that maintained the voices. The associations between NA and AVH, either within the same moment or across moments, were exacerbated by negative beliefs about voices. Our results suggest that affect-improving interventions may stop the feedback loop and reduce AVH frequency.

Introduction

Auditory verbal hallucinations (AVH), experiences of hearing voices without external stimuli, affect 75% of patients with schizophrenia (Waters & Fernyhough, 2017). Within this group, 25–30% of individuals experienced persistent AVH despite antipsychotic medication (Kane, Honigfeld, Singer, & Meltzer, 1988; Meltzer, 1992) and 75% of voice hearers experienced a high level of distress associated with their voices (Copolov, Mackinnon, & Trauer, 2004). Patients with schizophrenia also commonly experienced anxiety and depression, with reported rates of 45% (Badcock, Paulik, & Maybery, 2011; Cosoff & Hafner, 1998; Leff, Tress, & Edwards, 1988; Lysaker & Salyers, 2007; Turnbull & Bebbington, 2001). There is cross-sectional evidence for more frequent and more severe AVH when patients also suffered from depression (Smith et al., 2006; Soppitt & Birchwood, 1997).

It has been proposed that negative emotions are not merely consequences of AVH, but also antecedents of AVH (Allen & Agus, 1968; Slade, 1976). In a semi-structured interview, patients with AVH recalled experiencing sadness, fear, and anger prior to hallucinations (Nayani & David, 1996). A number of longitudinal studies investigating onset and relapse of psychosis also pointed toward a pivotal role of negative emotions in the emergence and exacerbations of positive symptoms (including hallucinations) (see review by Hartley, Barrowclough, & Haddock, 2013). In the prodromal phase of psychosis, there is robust evidence for emotional disturbances to precede transition into psychosis in the majority of cases (see reviews by Birchwood, Macmillan, & Smith, 1992; Broome et al., 2005; Yung & McGorry, 1996).

The temporal relationship between AVH and emotions has been investigated mostly by retrospective methods of measurement, which may be subject to recall bias (Shiffman,
Experience sampling methodology (ESM) is a structured diary in the respondents’ daily living environment that captures subjective moment-to-moment experiences (see reviews by Fahrenberg, Myrtek, Pawlik, & Perez, 2007; Myin-Germeys et al., 2009, 2018; Scollon, Prieto, & Diener, 2009). As AVH are discrete phenomena with identifiable points of onset and termination (Oorschot et al., 2012) and varying intensity over hours and days (Delespaul, Devries, & van Os, 2002), ESM provides a feasible and reliable tool to address the temporality of AVH and associated mechanisms. Using ESM, Peters et al. (2012) found that hallucinatory intensity was associated with an increased level of negative affect (NA) and a decreased level of positive affect (PA) within the same moment. An across-moments approach of analysis, however, revealed inconsistent results. Delespaul et al. (2002) found an elevated anxiety level before the onset of hallucinations, which subsided at the end of the hallucinatory episode. Oorschot et al. (2012), in contrast, reported no change in NA before or after occurrence of AVH. More data are needed to clarify the temporal interplay between AVH and affect.

While earlier research suggested that voice hearers’ behavioral and emotional responses directly arise from the experience of AVH per se (e.g. Benjamin, 1989), recent developments in cognitive models of AVH posit that behavioral and emotional consequences may be exacerbated by the beliefs that voice hearers held about the voices (Birchwood & Chadwick, 1997; Chadwick & Birchwood, 1994, 1995; Morrison & Renton, 2001). Specifically, voices appraised to be evil (malevolent) and powerful (omnipotent) provoke distress and are resisted, whereas voices perceived to have good intentions (benevolent) lead to PA and are engaged (Birchwood & Chadwick, 1997; So & Wong, 2008). While the association between beliefs about voices and emotional distress has been corroborated across studies (Andrew, Gray, & Snowden, 2008; Mawson, Cohen, & Berry, 2010; Peters, Williams, Cooke, & Kuipers, 2012b; van der Gaag, Hageman, & Birchwood, 2003), these studies focused on level of emotional distress rather than change in distress in response to occurrence of AVH. Whether beliefs about voices moderate the temporal associations between hallucinations and NA in real time has not been formally tested. For example, it is possible that individuals who believe their voices to be malevolent may become subsequently more distressed, whereas individuals who believe their voices to be benevolent may choose to initiate a hallucinatory experience when they are upset. Examining the influence of beliefs on the temporal relationship between voices and distress will provide insights on how beliefs, emotions, and hallucinations interact, thereby consolidating the cognitive model of psychosis.

The present study aimed to elucidate the moment-to-moment associations between the intensity of AVH and the level of NA, and the effect of baseline negative beliefs about voices on the moment-to-moment associations, using ESM among patients experiencing frequent AVH.

The key hypotheses were as follows:

1. Momentary level of NA will predict the intensity of AVH at the following time point
2. Momentary intensity of AVH will predict the level of NA at the following time point
3. Baseline beliefs about voices (malevolence, omnipotence, and benevolence) will moderate the moment-to-moment relationships between AVH and NA

Methods

Participants

Ethical approval for this study was granted by the Joint Chinese University of Hong Kong – New Territories East Cluster Clinical Research Ethics Committee (2015.685-T), Kowloon West Cluster Research Ethics Committee [KW/FR-15-216 (94-12)] and New Territories West Cluster Research Ethics Committee (NTWC/CREC/17028). Inclusion criteria were: psychiatric outpatients with present AVH (scoring 3 or above on item P3 hallucinatory behavior of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS; Kay, Fiszbein, & Opler, 1987)), a diagnosis of schizophrenia spectrum disorder (based on the SCID interview), and aged 18 or above. Exclusion criteria were patients with drug-induced or organic psychosis, a primary diagnosis of substance misuse, and intellectual disability.

Measures

Clinical interview

The Chinese-bilingual Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (CB-SCID-I/P; So et al., 2003) is a semi-structured interview-based instrument for obtaining reliable DSM-IV Axis I disorders diagnoses. Good inter-rater and test-retest reliabilities have been reported (So et al., 2003).

Severity of schizophrenia symptoms and hallucinations

Severity of schizophrenia symptoms was assessed by using the PANSS (Kay et al., 1987), with a total score ranging from 30 to 210. Severity of hallucinations was assessed by using the hallucination sub-scales of Scale of Assessment for Positive Symptoms (SAPS; Andreasen, 1984) and the Psychotic Symptom Rating Scales (PSYRATS; Haddock, McCarron, Tarrier, & Faragher, 1999).

Depressive symptoms

Calgary Depression Scale for Schizophrenia (CDSS; Addington, Addington, Maticka-Tyndale, & Joyce, 1992) is a nine-item, four-point (0–3) rating semi-structured interview scale for assessing depressive symptoms in patients with schizophrenia. Each item is anchored by descriptors. CDSS total score ranges from 0 to 27. High internal consistency and strong correlation with other established measures of depression have been reported (Addington et al., 1992).

Anxiety symptoms

The Chinese version of the Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI; Beck, Epstein, Brown, & Steer, 1988) is a 21-item, four-point (0–3) rating self-report questionnaire that measures anxiety severity and unique symptom features that are independent of that in depression, including somatic symptoms and subjective experience of panic and anxiety. Good reliability and validity of the Chinese version have been reported (Cheng et al., 2002).

Experience sampling method

ESM, a structured self-assessment diary method, was used to measure momentary levels of affect and symptoms (see reviews above). A mobile application was programmed to display the same ESM questionnaire for 10 times a day over 6 days, using a signal-contingent protocol in parallel to our previous ESM studies (see Chan et al., 2019; Leung et al., 2019; So, Peters, Swendsen, Garety, & Kapur, 2013, 2014 for more details). The application
evoked a beep signal at a random time of the day to prompt participants to complete a new ESM entry. Each entry was at least 30 min apart. Care was taken to ensure that the signals would occur within the waking hours of the participants, with 10–12 target hours each day. The questionnaire could only be activated within 15 min after the signal to minimize any preemptive responses, and would otherwise be inaccessible by the participants. The questions were displayed one at a time, and each response was time-stamped. Participants were told to respond as soon as possible upon a signal.

The ESM questionnaire included items on NA and PA, psychotic symptoms, and current activity and environment. NA items measured subjective feelings of ‘irritated’, ‘low’, and ‘tense’, while PA items measured subjective feelings of ‘cheerful’, ‘relaxed’, and ‘content’ (Myin-Germey, Nicolson, & Delespauil, 2001; Myin-Germey, Birchwood, & Kwapil, 2011). Each affective item was phrased ‘Do you feel...right now?’. High internal consistency of NA (Cronbach’s α = 0.853) and PA (Cronbach’s α = 0.867), based on the data on day 1, was achieved. The AVH item was phrased as follows: ‘Do you hear voices that other people cannot hear right now?’ (Kimhy et al., 2017; Varese, Udachina, Myin-Germeys, Oorschot, & Bentall, 2011). Items on NA, PA, and AVH were rated on seven-point Likert scales, which ranged from one (not at all) to seven (very much).

Beliefs about voices and responses to voices

The Chinese version of the Revised Beliefs about Voices Questionnaire (BAVQ-R; Chadwick, Lees, & Birchwood, 2000) is a 35-item, four-point (0–3) rating self-report questionnaire that consists of three subscales pertaining to beliefs about voices: malevolence (i.e. voices as evil and persecutory), omnipotence (i.e. voices as controlling and powerful), and benevolence (i.e. voices as helpful), with scores of each subscale ranging from 0 to 18, and two subscales pertaining to emotional and behavioral responses to voices: resistance and engagement (four items for emotion and five items for behavior each), with score ranges from 0 to 27 and 0 to 24, respectively. Good internal consistency and test–retest reliability of the Chinese version have been reported (Wong & Chen, 2015).

Procedures

Upon written consent, participants completed the baseline interview conducted by a graduate-level research assistant and trainee clinical psychologists, closely supervised by the first author, and self-report questionnaires. Toward the end of the assessment, participants were trained to use the ESM application on their personal smartphone, or an iPod touch fifth generation (Model A1509) borrowed from the research team. A practice trial was completed with the guidance of a researcher. Participants were encouraged to maintain their daily routine while carrying the mobile devices with them at all times throughout the assessment period. A follow-up phone call was made on the next day. Additional technical support was provided as required. After 6 days, participants returned the device where applicable, and were debriefed.

Statistical analysis

Baseline variables were analyzed using SPSS version 24.0. Multilevel linear regression modeling with maximum likelihood estimation was used to evaluate the association between ESM variables (Goldstein, 1987). All regression models were tested using the XTMIXED command in STATA 11.2. ESM variables were entered as level-1 variables and participant was entered as a level-2 random-effect variable. In order to capture the mean relation between a patient’s time-specific deviation in a certain ESM variable, relative to the overall level of that variable for each patient, repeated ESM variables were group-mean centered (i.e. within each patient). In order to compare the effects of baseline variables across patients, baseline variables such as beliefs about voices were grand-mean centered (i.e. within the entire sample) (Curran & Bauer, 2011; Wang & Maxwell, 2015). As in previous ESM studies (Udachina, Bentall, Varese, & Rowse, 2017; Vaessen et al., 2018; Westermann et al., 2017), participants who completed <30% (i.e. 18 out of 60) of ESM observations were excluded from the analysis.

To model between-moment associations of ESM variables, time-lagged analyses were performed. The effect of the independent variable (IV) at a given moment t (IVt) on change in the dependent variable (DV) was modeled by regressing DV at the subsequent moment t + 1 (DVt+1) on IVt, controlling for DV at moment t (DVt). To test the effect of baseline variables (Malevolence, Omnipotence, Benevolence) on the moment-to-moment association between NA and AVH, separate multilevel linear regression models were estimated. With the level of NA as DV, Malevolence and Malevolence × AVH interaction, Omnipotence and Omnipotence × AVH interaction, Benevolence and Benevolence × AVH interaction, along with the level of AVH, were entered as IVs, respectively. With the level of AVH as DV, Malevolence and Malevolence × NA interaction, Omnipotence and Omnipotence × NA interaction, Benevolence and Benevolence × NA interaction, along with the level of NA, were entered as IVs, respectively.

Results

Demographic and clinical data

A total of 54 patients consented to participate in this study. After excluding seven patients who did not meet our inclusion criteria, the final sample consisted of 47 patients, among whom 41 completed at least 18 out of 60 ESM entries and were included in the analysis. There was no significant difference between completers and non-completers in age, gender, years of education, number of psychiatric admissions, or any of the clinical and self-report measures (p > 0.05). Four extreme responders for the AVH item of the ESM questionnaire were identified (three reported score of 1 for all entries and one reported score of 7 for all entries). Results did not differ after removing these individuals. Therefore, we kept them in the analysis, and reported results based on a sample of 41 individuals. In total, 1654 data points were obtained. The consecutive ESM moments within the same day were 18.92 to 172.78 min apart (mean = 71.77, S.D. = 24.26).

Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics of the sample (N = 41). The average baseline rating of SAPS hallucinations scale score was 14.41 (S.D. = 6.59, range 5–29), PSYRATS auditory hallucinations scale score was 25.49 (S.D. = 7.37, range 9–40), and PANSS item P3 (hallucinatory behavior) was 4.12 (S.D. = 0.81, range 3–6), indicating a moderate to moderate–severe level of severity (Kay et al., 1987). Moreover, the average PSYRATS AVH frequency and duration score were 2.49 (range 1–4) and 2.71 (range 1–4), respectively, indicating an hourly to daily occurrence of AVH that lasted for several minutes to at least an hour (Haddock et al., 1999).
Average baseline ratings of subscales of BAVQ-R were as follows: Malevolence = 6.32 (S.D. = 4.85, range 0–18). Omnipotence = 7.54 (S.D. = 3.85, range 2–18). Benevolence = 5.02 (S.D. = 5.20, range 0–18). Resistance = 14.83 (S.D. = 6.09, range 0–25), and Engagement = 6.37 (S.D. = 5.77, range 0–19). Given that the assumption of normality is violated, Spearman’s r was examined. Malevolence and Omnipotence were positively associated with each other [r(39) = 0.50, p = 0.001] and with Resistance [r(39) = 0.53, p < 0.001] and Engagement [r(39) = 0.38, p = 0.015], respectively, whereas Benevolence was positively associated with Engagement [r(39) = 0.82, p < 0.001] and negatively associated with Resistance [r(39) = −0.41, p = 0.008]. Omnipotence was also positively associated with Engagement [r(39) = 0.33, p = 0.037].

The average ESM compliance rate was 67.20 (S.D. = 20.47, range 30.00–98.33). The average ratings of ESM items, collapsed across 6 days, were as follows: NA = 2.81 (S.D. = 1.45, range 1–7), PA = 4.11 (S.D. = 1.65, range 1–7), and AVH = 3.00 (S.D. = 2.12, range 1–7). There was no significant change in momentary level of NA (β = −0.013, S.E. = 0.012, p = 0.290), level of PA (β = −0.015, S.E. = 0.012, p = 0.193), and intensity of AVH (β = −0.008, S.E. = 0.014, p = 0.568) over 6 days.

**Same-moment associations between NA and AVH**

Multilevel linear regression showed that NA was significantly and positively associated with AVH (β = 0.109, S.E. = 0.022, p < 0.001). Conversely, PA was significantly and negatively associated with AVH (β = −0.060, S.E. = 0.021, p = 0.004). Results did not differ after controlling for baseline CDSS score, BAI score, and gender.

**Across-moment associations between NA and AVH**

Time-lagged analysis showed that NA was significantly associated with AVH(1) (β = 0.130, S.E. = 0.034, p < 0.001). After controlling for AVH, the association between NA and AVH remained significant (β = 0.077, S.E. = 0.034, p = 0.022). Therefore, the level of NA predicted both the intensity of and increase in AVH at the following time point. Results did not differ after controlling for baseline CDSS score, BAI score, emotional content of AVH (on PSYRATS), age, and gender.

**Table 1. Sample characteristics (N = 41)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean (S.D.)</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male, number (%)</td>
<td>15 (36.59%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>43.83 (12.40)</td>
<td>19–64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of education</td>
<td>10.88 (2.87)</td>
<td>5–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of psychiatric admissions</td>
<td>3.12 (3.48)</td>
<td>0–20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dosage in CPZ (mg/day)</td>
<td>564.49 (338.47)</td>
<td>68.00–1631.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dosage in HAL (mg/day)</td>
<td>13.94 (8.81)</td>
<td>1.97–43.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS positive</td>
<td>15.56 (5.59)</td>
<td>9–32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS negative</td>
<td>11.37 (3.99)</td>
<td>7–23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS general psychopathology</td>
<td>25.05 (7.02)</td>
<td>16–45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS total</td>
<td>51.98 (12.93)</td>
<td>33–83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDSS total</td>
<td>3.51 (3.46)</td>
<td>0–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAI total</td>
<td>17.12 (13.02)</td>
<td>0–50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CPZ, chlorpromazine equivalent; HAL, haloperidol equivalent; PANSS, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; CDSS, Calgary Depression Scale for Schizophrenia; BAI, Beck Anxiety Inventory.

**Moderating effect of baseline beliefs about voices (malevolence, omnipotence, and benevolence) on the moment-to-moment associations between NA and AVH**

Regression analyses revealed no main effect of Malevolence, Omnipotence, or Benevolence on either NA or AVH (p > 0.05). Main effects of Resistance and Engagement were also non-significant (p > 0.05). This indicated that none of the BAVQ-R scores was associated with momentary levels of NA and AVH.

In the model with NA as DV, there was a significant interaction effect between Malevolence and AVH (β = 0.016, S.E. = 0.005, p < 0.001), and Omnippetence and AVH (β = 0.017, S.E. = 0.006, p = 0.004). However, there was no significant interaction effect between Benevolence and AVH (β = −0.007, S.E. = 0.004, p = 0.067). This indicated that beliefs about voices as malevolent and omnipotent exacerbated the relationship between AVH and NA within the same moment.

In the model with NA(1) as DV and NA as covariate, there was no significant interaction effect between Malevolence and AVH (β = −0.008, S.E. = 0.005, p = 0.151), Omnippetence and AVH (β = −0.009, S.E. = 0.007, p = 0.197), or Benevolence and AVH (β = 0.003, S.E. = 0.004, p = 0.551). This indicated that beliefs about voices did not predict a change in NA at the subsequent time point, following AVH.

In the model with AVH(1) as DV and AVH as covariate, there was a significant interaction effect between Omnipotence and NA (β = 0.015, S.E. = 0.008, p = 0.046). However, there was no significant interaction effect between Malevolence and NA (β = 0.010, S.E. = 0.007, p = 0.168), and Benevolence and NA (β = −0.008, S.E. = 0.006, p = 0.187). This indicated that the belief about voices as omnipotent exacerbated an increase in AVH at the subsequent time point, following NA.

Results remained unchanged after controlling for baseline CDSS score, BAI score, age, and gender. The key findings are summarized in Fig. 1 in a schematic manner.
more NA that further triggered subsequent hallucinations, leading to a perpetual negative feedback loop.

This study added to the current ESM literature on AVH and emotions in several ways. Firstly, we replicated Peters et al.’s (2012a) finding of a positive association between AVH and negative emotions within the same moment, and further revealed that such positive association was also true across moments. Secondly, rather than setting an arbitrary threshold of a ‘hallucinatory episode’ like Delespaul et al. (2002) and Oorschot et al. (2012), we treated the severity of AVH as a continuous variable which allowed for greater statistical power and sensitivity to examine variations across time points. Thirdly, we included multiple NA items and adopted an aggregate score rather than restricted to one single emotion (e.g. anxiety, Delespaul et al., 2002). This is supported by previous ESM studies (Kimhy et al., 2006; Myin-Germeys, Delespaul, & van Os, 2005; So et al., 2013) where a high correlation between the NA items was consistently reported.

Mapping out the vicious cycle between AVH and negative emotions carries treatment implications. In cognitive behavioral therapy for psychosis (CBTp), individuals are typically asked to identify triggers and situations that are associated with higher or lower severity of AVH, a procedure called functional analysis (van der Gaag, Valmaggia, & Smit, 2014). Individuals are also encouraged to identify behavioral and emotional responses to AVH, and how they in turn lead to more AVH (Tarrier et al., 1993; Thomas et al., 2014). In addition to recent ESM studies (Chan et al., 2019; Kimhy et al., 2017; Leung et al., 2019; So et al., 2013, 2014), we have demonstrated the feasibility and reliability of mapping out moment-to-moment dynamics between symptoms and subjective experiences. This indicates that ESM, with assistance from a therapist, can be used more routinely in clinical practice to assist patients’ understanding of their illness and to facilitate illness management (Bell, Lim, Rossell, & Thomas, 2017; Bell et al., 2018a, 2018b; Myin-Germeys et al., 2011; Simons et al., 2017; van Os et al., 2017). Our results further suggest that activities to lift affect may be effective in reducing the frequency and severity of AVH. Indeed, singing and physical activity have frequently been described as effective coping strategies (Carter, Mackinnon, & Copolov, 1996).

Consistent with Peters et al. (2012b) and others, we found that, at baseline, beliefs about voices as malevolent and omnipotent were associated with resistance of voices, whereas the belief about voices as benevolent was associated with engagement with voices. However, we found omnipotence to also be significantly associated with engagement with voices. Although beliefs about voices were not significantly associated with momentary levels of NA or AVH, omnipotence was associated with subsequent increase in hallucinatory experiences following NA. Our result is in line with van der Gaag et al.’s (2003) argument of differential effects of the two types of beliefs about voices (malevolence and omnipotence). Believing one’s voices as powerful (omnipotent) may or may not lead to resistance against the voices, but what matters to a resistant response is when the power is interpreted as carrying a bad intention. Considering the potential collinearity between malevolence and omnipotence on NA, we conducted a post-hoc test of Malevolence × Omnipotence interaction. We found no significant interaction effect. Our results raised the possibility that, for some patients who believe their voices to be powerful, they may engage with voices more in times when they are in distress. Indeed, Hacker, Birchwood, Tudway, Meaden, and Amphlett (2008) found that individuals who believed their voices as omnipotent engaged more frequently in safety-seeking behaviors, which included conversing with their voices. These suggest that the relationship between beliefs about voices and engagement/resistance may be less straightforward than previously expected, which warrants further research.

There are several limitations to this study. Firstly, beliefs about voices were only assessed once at baseline. Although appraisals about hallucinations were assumed to be habitual (Csapke & Kinderman, 2006), Peters et al. (2012a) reported that beliefs about power and control of voices may fluctuate across moments. This may explain the absence of associations between beliefs about voices and momentary AVH and NA. The one-time assessment of beliefs about voices in the present study also did not allow us to test for mediation effects. Secondly, although the focus of this study was voices, other co-occurring psychotic symptoms (such as visual hallucinations, delusions, and negative symptoms) may have convoluted the relationships between affect and AVH, and factors such as life events and emotional processing may also affect hallucinatory experiences in ways that we did not measure (Laroi et al., 2019). Thirdly, the random-signal contingency led to varying duration between beeps. We are unsure whether the results would be different if the duration was consistent, or that any between-moment relationships may have been lost due to the duration being too long or too short.

This study demonstrated a vicious cycle between hallucinatory experiences and emotional experiences, which occur in real time.

Fig. 1 Schematic diagram illustrating relationships between variables within and across time points. All relationships shown are positive.
in the flow of daily life among patients with drug-resistant hallucinations. How an individual interprets the power and intent of their voices has an impact on their hallucinatory experiences and emotions. The interaction between hallucinations, emotions, and beliefs about voices reported in this study is consistent with cognitive models of psychosis and may inform CBTp.
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