University of Groningen

When biology meets behaviour

van Boven, Job F M; Dierick, Boudewijn J H; Usmani, Omar S

Published in:
European Respiratory Journal

DOI:
[10.1183/13993003.00304-2021](https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00304-2021)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2021

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

van Boven, J. F. M., Dierick, B. J. H., & Usmani, O. S. (2021). When biology meets behaviour: can medication adherence mask the contribution of pharmacogenetic effects in asthma? *European Respiratory Journal*, 58(3), [2100304]. <https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00304-2021>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

When biology meets behaviour: can medication adherence mask the contribution of pharmacogenetic effects in asthma?

Copyright ©The authors 2021. For reproduction rights and permissions contact permissions@ersnet.org

Received: 31 Jan 2021
Accepted: 8 Feb 2021

To the Editor:

We read the study of RUFFLES *et al.* [1] with great interest. The authors undertook one of the first randomised controlled trials (RCTs) assessing the potential benefit of personalised prescription of bronchodilators according to Arg16Gly beta-2 genotype in adolescent patients with asthma. Following a 1-month run-in with inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) monotherapy, the intervention group received a bronchodilator according to their genotype, where AA and AG genotypes received an oral leukotriene antagonist (LTRA), montelukast, and GG genotype received an inhaled long-acting β_2 -agonist (LABA), salmeterol. The control group received usual care based on the British Thoracic Society guidelines, where addition of a LABA is first choice when patients are uncontrolled on ICS alone. The authors found a small but significant ($p=0.048$) benefit on the Pediatric Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire in the intervention group.

The authors are commended for delivering this unique study that may help us to further shape the future of personalised medicine in asthma. As with all interesting studies, it does however raise several questions to understand the results.

The authors explored the effect of a pharmacogenetically guided stratified approach in a pragmatic setting, and we wonder whether “real-life” everyday factors may have influenced the pharmacological responses observed; in particular, adherence to therapy and inhaler technique. The authors describe that 60–80% of the variability in asthma drug response may be explained by genetics [1, 2]. However, for some drugs, such as theophylline, it has been suggested that medication adherence may play a significant role in therapeutic response in contrast to genetics [3]. We acknowledge that theophylline has a narrower therapeutic interval compared to LABAs, yet importantly the inhaled route of administration of LABAs is prone to incorrect use and non-adherence [4]. We wonder if patients were assessed for inhaler adherence and whether inhaler technique was checked and patients trained, as these factors may have explained the heterogeneity in response to treatment and possibly, as reported by the authors, a lower than expected effect observed for personalised medicine in their study [1].

Generally, when aiming to understand the results of pharmacogenetically guided treatment choice on asthma outcomes, both biological and behavioural factors need to be considered (figure 1).

RUFFLES *et al.* [1] explain the intervention effects primarily through the biological route of the drug response equation. Indeed, in the intervention group, based on Arg16Gly beta-2 genetics, a different pharmacological substance was chosen that could have directly impacted drug exposure and response, and as such asthma outcomes. However, inherent to receiving a different pharmacological substance, being assigned to the intervention group (*i.e.* receiving more orally administered montelukast) may have also impacted the behavioural route by achieving higher medication adherence. Generally, in the real-world, oral drug therapy results in higher adherence rates, as reported in a 2-year pragmatic RCT in asthma patients, where higher adherence with LTRA (median 74%) *versus* LABA (median 46%) was observed [5]. These real-world differences in adherence may have induced less marked differences in drug effects compared to shorter-term highly controlled RCTs, where add-on LABA showed generally more improvement in lung function measures and quality of life *versus* add-on LTRA [6, 7]. In our view, the extent to which a potential difference in adherence (as a result of randomisation to the intervention group)

Shareable abstract (@ERSpublications)

Medication adherence and inhaler technique may confound, in both a positive and a negative manner, outcomes of pharmacogenetic asthma studies. The importance of understanding patient behaviour when interpreting their biology should be emphasised. <https://bit.ly/3tQdh1p>

Cite this article as: van Boven JFM, Dierick BJH, Usmani OS. When biology meets behaviour: can medication adherence mask the contribution of pharmacogenetic effects in asthma?. *Eur Respir J* 2021; 58: 2100304 [DOI: 10.1183/13993003.00304-2021].

FIGURE 1 Factors that explain variability in asthma drug response.

might have played a role in explaining the outcomes of the study of RUFFLES *et al.* [1] remains to be elucidated. Therefore, two issues in particular should be addressed.

First, it would be helpful to understand the post-randomisation distribution of the different asthma treatments in the intervention and control groups. Currently, only the baseline medications are provided and this hampers interpretation of the findings. It would be important to know whether the LABA was provided as a fixed dose combination inhaler with the ICS, or as separate monotherapy inhaler, the type of inhaler, with or without spacer, and whether the device type was similar or different from the ICS inhaler. Mixed device types are known to impact adherence and correct use of the inhaler [8].

Second, the authors comment on the novel methodology of their study, undertaken remotely, and this presents some additional questions related to inhaler use: 1) Was patient inhaler education performed, and if so, how and by whom? 2) How was medication adherence monitored (*e.g.* by prescription records, dose counter assessment, self-reporting or smart inhaler) and managed during the 12-month study? 3) Are differences in adherence rates available between the intervention and control groups (for both the add-on drug as background ICS)?

As medication adherence and inhaler technique in real-life are such a significant problem [9–11] they may confound, in both a positive and a negative manner, outcomes of clinical asthma studies and we assert the importance of understanding the behaviour of our patients when interpreting their biology.

Job F.M. van Boven^{1,2}, Boudewijn J.H. Dierick¹ and Omar S. Usmani³

¹Dept of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmacology, Groningen Research Institute for Asthma and COPD (GRIAC), University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands.

²Medication Adherence Expertise Center of the northern Netherlands (MAECON), Groningen, The Netherlands. ³Imperial College London and Royal Brompton Hospital, London, UK.

Corresponding author: Job F.M. van Boven (j.f.m.boven@rug.nl)

Conflict of Interest: J.F.M. van Boven reports grants from AstraZeneca, grants and personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi and Trudell Medical, personal fees from Menarini, Novartis and Teva, outside the submitted work; and has received funding from the European Commission to Chair the European Network to Advance Best

practices and technology on medication adherence (COST Action CA19132, ENABLE). B.J.H. Dierick has nothing to disclose. O.S. Usmani reports grants and personal fees from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi and GlaxoSmithKline, grants from Edmond Pharma, personal fees from Napp, Mundipharma, Sandoz, Takeda, Cipla, Covis, Novartis, Merebiopharma, Orion and Menarini, outside the submitted work.

References

- 1 Ruffles T, Jones CJ, Palmer C, *et al.* Asthma prescribing according to Arg16Gly beta-2 genotype: a randomised trial in adolescents. *Eur Respir J* 2021; 58: 2004107.
- 2 Drazen JM, Silverman EK, Lee TH. Heterogeneity of therapeutic responses in asthma. *Br Med Bull* 2000; 56: 1054–1070.
- 3 Harter JG, Peck CC. Chronobiology. Suggestions for integrating it into drug development. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1991; 618: 563–571.
- 4 van Boven JFM, Koponen M, Lalic S, *et al.* Trajectory analyses of adherence patterns in a real-life moderate to severe asthma population. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2020; 8: 1961–1969.
- 5 Price D, Musgrave SD, Shepstone L, *et al.* Leukotriene antagonists as first-line or add-on asthma-controller therapy. *N Engl J Med* 2011; 364: 1695–1707.
- 6 Ducharme FM, Lasserson TJ, Cates CJ. Long-acting beta2-agonists *versus* anti-leukotrienes as add-on therapy to inhaled corticosteroids for chronic asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006; 4: CD003137.
- 7 Joos S, Miksch A, Szecsenyi J, *et al.* Montelukast as add-on therapy to inhaled corticosteroids in the treatment of mild to moderate asthma: a systematic review. *Thorax* 2008; 63: 453–462.
- 8 Price D, Chrystyn H, Kaplan A, *et al.* Effectiveness of same versus mixed asthma inhaler devices: A retrospective observational study in primary care. *Allergy Asthma Immunol Res* 2012; 4: 184–191.
- 9 Dima AL, van Ganse E, Stadler G, *et al.* Does adherence to inhaled corticosteroids predict asthma-related outcomes over time? A cohort study. *Eur Respir J* 2019; 54: 1900901.
- 10 Dekhuijzen R, Lavorini F, Usmani OS, *et al.* Addressing the impact and unmet needs of nonadherence in asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Where do we go from here? *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2018; 6: 785–793.
- 11 Moore A, Preece A, Sharma R, *et al.* A randomised controlled trial of the effect of a connected inhaler system on medication adherence in uncontrolled asthmatic patients. *Eur Respir J* 2021; 57: 2003103.