University of Groningen

Blending of mathematics and physics

van den Eynde, Sofie

DOI:
[10.33612/diss.177955315](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.177955315)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2021

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
van den Eynde, S. (2021). *Blending of mathematics and physics: undergraduate students' reasoning in the context of the heat equation*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen. <https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.177955315>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Blending of mathematics and physics

Undergraduate students' reasoning in the context of
the heat equation

Sofie Van den Eynde

©2021 Sofie Van den Eynde

All rights reserved. No part of this thesis may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any way or by any means without the prior permission of the author, or when applicable, of the publishers of the scientific papers.

Printing: Ridderprint | www.ridderprint.nl

Cover design: Margo zonder t | www.margozondert.net |
@margo_zonder_t

university of
 groningen

KU LEUVEN

Blending of mathematics and physics

Undergraduate students' reasoning in the context of the heat equation

PhD thesis

to obtain the degree of PhD at the
University of Groningen
on the authority of the
Rector Magnificus Prof. C. Wijmenga
and in accordance with
the decision by the College of Deans

and

to obtain the degree of Doctor of Science (PhD) at
KU Leuven
on the authority of the
Rector Prof. Luc Sels.

Double PhD degree

This thesis will be defended in public on

Friday 10 September 2021 at 16:15 hours

by

Sofie Van den Eynde

born on 6 December 1993
in Asse, Belgium

Promotores

Prof. M.J. Goedhart

Prof. M. De Cock

Prof. J. Deprez

Assessment Committee

Prof. W. Van Dooren

Prof. R.G.E. Timmermans

Prof. S. Pollock

Prof. P.H.M Drijvers

Contents

Contents	i
List of Figures	v
List of Tables	ix
1 Introduction	1
1.1 The role of mathematics in physics and physics education	3
1.2 The heat equation	5
1.3 Theoretical frameworks to study the role of mathematics in physics . .	9
1.3.1 Transfer and resources	9
1.3.2 The mathematical modelling cycle	10
1.3.3 Mathematical modelling in physics	11
1.4 Conceptual blending	13
1.4.1 The original theory of conceptual blending	13
1.4.2 Conceptual blending to investigate the role of mathematics in science education	15
1.4.3 Conceptual blending as a lens in this project	22
1.5 Research aims	23
1.6 Educational context	23
1.6.1 KU Leuven	24
1.6.2 University of Groningen	25
1.7 Research overview	26
1.7.1 Study 1: Difficulties with boundary conditions for the diffusion equation	26
1.7.2 Study 2: Studying the blending process	28
1.7.3 Study 3: Making the structural role of mathematics in physics explicit for students: a tutorial in the context of the heat equation	29
2 Difficulties with boundary conditions for the diffusion equation	31

2.1	Introduction	32
2.2	Boundary conditions in the context of the diffusion equation	34
2.3	Analytical framework	35
2.4	Data collection	37
2.4.1	Participants	37
2.4.2	Interview content	37
2.4.3	Model solution to the interview question	38
2.4.4	Interview protocol	40
2.5	Data analysis	40
2.6	Results	41
2.6.1	Reasoning in the physics space	42
2.6.2	Reasoning in the mathematics space	43
2.6.3	Reasoning in the blended space	48
2.7	Discussion	52
2.8	Implications for teaching	55
2.9	Future research and limitations of the study	56
3	Dynamic conceptual blending analysis to model student reasoning processes while integrating mathematics and physics: a case study in the context of the heat equation	59
3.1	Introduction	60
3.1.1	Heat flow in one-dimensional systems	61
3.1.2	The conceptual blending framework	62
3.1.3	Conceptual blending to describe students' use of mathematics in physics	63
3.1.4	Research questions	67
3.2	Methods	68
3.2.1	Participants and context	68
3.2.2	Interview content	70
3.2.3	Interview protocol	70
3.3	Data analysis	71
3.3.1	Constructing a dynamic blending diagram	71
3.3.2	Reliability	73
3.4	Constructing a dynamic blending diagram in practice: two case studies	74
3.4.1	Case 1	74
3.4.2	Case 2	83
3.5	Discussion	88
3.6	Limitations and future research	91
4	Role of graphs in blending physical and mathematical meaning of partial derivatives in the context of the heat equation	93
4.1	Introduction	94
4.1.1	The heat equation as a context	95

4.1.2	Mathematics and physics in thermodynamics	96
4.1.3	Graphical reasoning in connecting mathematics and physics	97
4.1.4	The conceptual blending framework as a lens	98
4.2	Methodology	99
4.2.1	Participants and context	99
4.2.2	Interview content	100
4.2.3	Interview protocol	101
4.2.4	Data selection and analysis	101
4.2.5	Reliability	103
4.3	Results	104
4.3.1	Case 1: Brooke and Caitlyn – Interview Task 1	104
4.3.2	Case 2: Brooke and Caitlyn – Interview Task 2C (parts II and III)	106
4.3.3	Case 3: David and Evan – Interview Task 1 (parts B and C)	107
4.3.4	Case 4: David and Evan – Interview Task 2D	108
4.3.5	Case 5: Fin and Glen – Interview Task 2D	111
4.4	Discussion and implications	112
4.5	Limitations	114
5	Making the structural role of mathematics in physics explicit for students: a tutorial in the context of the heat equation	117
5.1	Framing the problem	118
5.2	Theoretical frameworks	120
5.2.1	Zandieh’s framework for the concept of derivative	120
5.2.2	Conceptual blending	122
5.2.3	The blended partial derivative framework	123
5.2.4	Encapsulation and de-encapsulation	126
5.3	Development of the tutorial	127
5.3.1	General design	128
5.3.2	Introducing the relation between $\frac{\partial T}{\partial x}$ and heat flow	129
5.4	Teaching-learning interviews	135
5.4.1	Context and participants	135
5.4.2	Implementation of the interviews	136
5.5	Data analysis	137
5.6	Results: three case studies	137
5.6.1	Group 1	138
5.6.2	Group 2	142
5.6.3	Group 3	150
5.6.4	Exercises 2 and 3	155
5.7	Discussion	159
5.7.1	Evaluating the blended encapsulation approach	160
5.7.2	The role of time in the description of heat flow	162
5.7.3	Microscopic versus macroscopic reasoning	164
5.7.4	Concluding remarks	165

6 General discussion	167
6.1 Main findings	168
6.1.1 Study 1: Difficulties with boundary conditions for the diffusion equation	168
6.1.2 Study 2: Studying the blending process	171
6.1.3 Study 3: Making the structural role of mathematics in physics explicit for students: a tutorial in the context of the heat equation	173
6.2 The different roles of conceptual blending in this dissertation	175
6.3 Implications for instruction	177
6.3.1 Teaching mathematics and physics in a blended way	177
6.3.2 Teaching the structural role of mathematics in physics	179
6.3.3 Graphical reasoning as a way to support blending	180
6.3.4 Stimulating conceptual and semi-quantitative reasoning	181
6.3.5 Stimulating active engagement	182
6.4 Future research	183
References	187
A Interview study 1	199
B Interview study 2	204
C Tutorial study 3	207
Summary	215
Samenvatting	219
Dankwoord	223
Curriculum vitae	227

List of Figures

1.1	Graphical representation of a temperature distribution $T(x)$ at a certain time t . The arrows visualize the heat flow through points nearby x_1 (direction and strength).	8
1.2	Visualization of the mathematical modelling cycle (Blum & Leiß, 2007).	10
1.3	Representation of physical modelling according to Redish (2006).	11
1.4	Model of the use of mathematics in physics by Uhden, Karam, Pietrocola, and Pospiech (2012).	12
1.5	Schematic representation of a blending diagram from the original work of Fauconnier and Turner (2003a).	14
1.6	Example of a blending diagram from the work of Bing and Redish (2007).	16
1.7	Example of a blending diagram from the work of Hu and Rebello (2013).	16
1.8	Diagram of conceptual blending incorporating symbolic forms to investigate equation construction Schermerhorn (2018).	17
1.9	Blending diagram from the work of Gerson and Walter (2008).	19
1.10	Huynh and Sayre (2019) distinguish three different blends between directionality and sign.	21
1.11	Research overview.	27
2.1	Example of a blending diagram from the work of Hu and Rebello (2013).	36
2.2	Interview question which is the focus of the analysis.	38
2.3	This figure shows a possible sketch of the way the graphical representation of the concentration distribution changes over time. The black graph shows the initial condition, which is provided in the problem statement. The two gray graphs show the distribution after some time t_n and after a long time t_∞	39
2.4	Graphs sketched by student H.	50
3.1	Schematic representation of a blending diagram from the original work of Fauconnier and Turner (2003a).	63

3.2	Example of a blending diagram from the work of Bing and Redish (2007).	64
3.3	Example of a blending diagram from the work of Hu and Rebello (2013).	64
3.4	Blending diagram from Schermerhorn (2018). In this example, students' construction of a differential length vector comes from attending to the magnitude and direction of each component and to the sum of components from three different directions. Combining their content knowledge with the mathematical templates, the students write the final expression. The determination of the terms in each magnitude (not shown here) is also the result of blending different symbolic forms with content knowledge.	66
3.5	Blending diagram from the work of Gerson and Walter (2008).	67
3.6	Interview question: Task A.	69
3.7	Interview question: Task B.	69
3.8	Schematic overview of the necessary steps to construct a DBD.	72
3.9	DBD 1: David and Evan's answer to question B-I. At this point in the transcript, the students only construct parts of the sketch (top sketch: the coordinate system and the two horizontal lines starting at (10,0,50) and (0,0,0). Bottom sketch: the two dots on the sides (at 0 and 50)).	75
3.10	DBD 2: David and Evan's answer to question B-II.	77
3.11	DBD 3: David and Evan's answer to question B-III.	80
3.12	DBD 4: Fin and Glen's answer to tasks A-II and III.	84
3.13	IC given in the previous problem (which is not discussed in this paper). It has the shape of a shifted sine curve.	86
4.1	Interview task 1 with its three subquestions.	99
4.2	Interview task 2 with its three subquestions. Task 2 is asked in four versions, each with a different set of boundary conditions (marked as A, B, C and D).	100
4.3	The four sets of boundary conditions in interview task 2.	100
4.4	Schematic overview of the four steps in constructing a dynamic blending diagram.	102
4.5	DBD of case 1, the reasoning of Brooke and Caitlyn in response to interview task 1 (see Figure 4.1).	105
4.6	DBD of case 2, the reasoning of Brooke and Caitlyn in response to interview task 2C (see Figures 4.2 and 4.3).	106
4.7	DBD of case 3, the reasoning of David and Evan in response to interview task 1 (see Figure 4.1).	108
4.8	DBD of case 4, the reasoning of David and Evan in response to interview task 2D (see Figures 4.2 and 4.3).	109
4.9	DBD of case 5, the reasoning of Fin and Glen in response to interview task 2D (see Figures 4.2 and 4.3).	111

5.1	Outline of the framework for the concept of derivative as presented in Zandieh (2000).	121
5.2	The blended partial derivative framework (part 1).	125
5.3	The blended partial derivative framework (part 2).	126
5.4	Visualization of the third design principle: blended encapsulation.	130
5.5	Introduction to the tutorial.	130
5.6	Initial temperature distribution of the physical system that is subject to exercise 1.	131
5.7	Plot in three dimensions of the temperature as a function of position and time for exercise 1.	131
5.8	Tasks 1.j to 1.l of the tutorial. These tasks are designed with the intention to guide students through the different layers of the blended encapsulation framework.	132
5.9	Selection from the blended partial derivative framework. The table shows how the developed tutorial tasks relate to the framework.	133
5.10	Task 1.m of the tutorial. This task asks the students to formulate a summary of how all relevant concepts are related.	134
5.11	Task 1.n of the tutorial, which asks the students to think about the case when there is no heat flow.	135
5.12	Overview of the elements from the blended partial derivative framework used by group 1 in each task.	142
5.13	Overview of the elements from the blended partial derivative framework used by group 2 in each task.	149
5.14	Overview of the elements from the blended partial derivative framework used by group 3 in each task.	155
5.15	Visualization of the relation between heat flow and its descriptions in terms of time and position.	163
6.1	Overview of the research findings per chapter.	169
B.1	Initial temperature distribution in the rod.	204
C.1	Initial temperature distribution in the physical system (exercise 1).	208
C.2	Three-dimensional plot of the temperature at every point in the physical system and its evolution over time (exercise 1).	209
C.3	Initial temperature distribution in the physical system (exercise 2).	210
C.4	Three-dimensional plot of the temperature at every point in the physical system and its evolution over time (exercise 2).	210
C.5	Initial temperature distribution in the physical system (exercise 3).	211
C.6	Three-dimensional plot of the temperature at every point in the physical system and its evolution over time (exercise 3).	212
C.7	Initial temperature distribution in the physical system (exercise 4).	213

C.8	Three-dimensional plot of the temperature at every point in the physical system and its evolution over time (exercise 4).	213
-----	---	-----

List of Tables

- 3.1 Definitions of the different mental spaces used in this study. 72
- 3.2 Percentages of agreement between two authors that coded three pieces of data independently (R1, R2, and R3). For each piece of data, we add the amount of identified elements between brackets (# el.). Reliability is discussed on four levels: the selection of elements, the categorization of elements, the number of connections and the type of connection. . . 73
- 4.1 Color codes used in the dynamic blending diagrams. 102
- 4.2 Definitions of the different mental spaces used in this study. 103
- 4.3 Percentages of agreement between two authors that coded three pieces of data independently (R1, R2, and R3). For each piece of data, we add the amount of identified elements between brackets (# el.). Reliability is discussed on four levels: the selection of elements, the categorization of elements, the number of connections and the type of connection. . . 104