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Abstract
The European Resuscitation Council (ERC) and the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM) have collaborated to produce these post-resuscitation care guidelines for adults, which are based on the 2020 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Science with Treatment Recommendations. The topics covered include the post-cardiac arrest syndrome, diagnosis of cause of cardiac arrest, control of oxygenation and ventilation, coronary reperfusion, haemodynamic monitoring and management, control of seizures, temperature control, general intensive care management, prognostication, long-term outcome, rehabilitation and organ donation.
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Introduction and scope
In 2015 the European Resuscitation Council (ERC) and the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM) collaborated to produce their first combined post-resuscitation care guidelines, which were co-published in Resuscitation and Intensive Care Medicine [1, 2]. These post-resuscitation care guidelines have been extensively updated for 2020 and incorporate the science that has been published since 2015. The topics covered include the post-cardiac arrest syndrome, control of oxygenation and ventilation, haemodynamic targets, coronary reperfusion, targeted temperature management, control of seizures, prognostication, rehabilitation, and long-term outcome (Fig. 1).

Methods
A comprehensive description of the guideline development process is provided in an electronic supplement.

The international consensus on cardiopulmonary resuscitation science evidence review process
The International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR, www.ilcor.org) includes representatives from the American Heart Association (AHA), the European Resuscitation Council (ERC), the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada (HSFC), the Australian and New Zealand Committee on Resuscitation (ANZCOR), the Resuscitation Council of Southern Africa (RCSA), the Inter-American Heart Foundation (IAHF), and the Resuscitation Council of Asia (RCA). From 2000 to 2015 researchers from the ILCOR member councils evaluated resuscitation science in 5-yearly cycles. After publication of the 2015 International Consensus on CPR and ECC Science with Treatment Recommendations (2015...
Fig. 1 Post resuscitation care algorithm. SBP systolic blood pressure, PCI percutaneous coronary intervention, CTPA computed tomography pulmonary angiogram, ICU intensive care unit, EEG electroencephalography, ICD implanted cardioverter defibrillator
CoSTR) [3], ILCOR committed to a continuous evidence-evaluation process, with topics prioritised for review by the task forces and with CoSTR updates published annually [4–6]. For the 2020 CoSTR, the six ILCOR task forces performed three types of evidence evaluation: the systematic review, the scoping review, and the evidence update, which covered 184 topics in total [7]. It was agreed that only systematic reviews [these used Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) methodology] could result in new or modified treatment recommendations [8]. The data analysis from each systematic review was presented to the task force, and the task force drafted the summary consensus on science and the treatment recommendations. Each treatment recommendation indicated the strength of the recommendation (recommends= strong, suggests= weak) and the certainty of the evidence. Draft 2020 CoSTRs were posted on the ILCOR website (ilcor.org) for a 2-week comment period after which final wording of science statements and treatment recommendations were completed by the task forces and published in Resuscitation and Circulation as the 2020 Consensus on Science and Treatment Recommendations (CoSTR).

Summary of the key changes
A summary of the main changes from the 2015 ERC–ESICM Post-resuscitation care guidelines is set out in Table 1.

Concise guidelines for clinical practice
This section includes only a summary of the main recommendations. The evidence underpinning each recommendation is detailed in the section on ‘evidence informing the guidelines’.

Immediate post-resuscitation care
- Post-resuscitation care is started immediately after sustained ROSC, regardless of location (Fig. 1).
- For out-of-hospital cardiac arrest consider transport to a cardiac arrest centre.

Diagnosis of cause of cardiac arrest
- If there is clinical (e.g. haemodynamic instability) or ECG evidence of myocardial ischaemia, undertake coronary angiography first. This is followed by CT brain and/or CT pulmonary angiography if coronary angiography fails to identify causative lesions.
- Early identification of a respiratory or neurological cause can be achieved by performing a brain and chest CT-scan at hospital admission, before or after coronary angiography (see coronary reperfusion).
- If there are signs or symptoms pre-arrest suggesting a neurological or respiratory cause (e.g. headache, seizures or neurological deficits, shortness of breath or documented hypoxaemia in patients with known respiratory disease), perform a CT brain and/or a CT pulmonary angiogram.

Airway and breathing
Airway management after return of spontaneous circulation
- Airway and ventilation support should continue after return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) is achieved.
- Patients who have had a brief period of cardiac arrest and an immediate return of normal cerebral function and are breathing normally may not require tracheal intubation but should be given oxygen via a facemask if their arterial blood oxygen saturation is less than 94%.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Summary of changes since the 2015 Guidelines on Post-resuscitation care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CORONARY ANGIOGRAPHY</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 GUIDELINES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is reasonable to discuss and consider emergent cardiac catheterisation laboratory evaluation after ROSC in patients with the highest risk of a coronary cause for their cardiac arrest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021 GUIDELINES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In patients with ROSC after OHCA without ST-elevation on the ECG allocated to immediate coronary angiography versus delayed angiography [1]. Recent ESC guidelines state that ‘Delayed as opposed to immediate angiography should be considered in haemodynamically stable patients without ST-segment elevation successfully resuscitated after an out-of-hospital cardiac arrest’ [2].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TREATMENT OF SEIZURES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021 GUIDELINES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In a recently reported trial, valproate, levetiracetam and fosphenytoin were equally effective in terminating convulsive status epilepticus but fosphenytoin caused more episodes of hypotension [3].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TEMPERATURE CONTROL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 GUIDELINES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Maintain a constant, target temperature between 32°C and 36°C for those patients in whom temperature control is used (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Whether certain subpopulations of cardiac arrest patients may benefit from lower (32–34°C) or higher (36°C) temperatures remains unknown, and further research may help elucidate this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• TTM is recommended for adults after OHCA with an initial shockable rhythm who remain unresponsive after ROSC (strong recommendation, low-quality evidence).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• TTM is suggested for adults after OHCA with an initial non-shockable rhythm who remain unresponsive after ROSC (weak recommendation, very low-quality evidence).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• TTM is suggested for adults after IHCA with any initial rhythm who remain unresponsive after ROSC (weak recommendation, very low-quality evidence).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• If targeted temperature management is used, it is suggested that the duration is at least 24 h (weak recommendation, very low-quality evidence).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021 GUIDELINES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• We recommend TTM for adults after either OHCA or IHCA (with any initial rhythm) who remain unresponsive after ROSC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Maintain a target temperature at a constant value between 32°C and 36°C for at least 24 h.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Avoid fever (&gt;37.7°C) for at least 72 h after ROSC in patients who remain in coma.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **BLOOD PRESSURE TARGET**                                                  |
| 2015 GUIDELINES                                                            |
| Target the mean arterial blood pressure to achieve an adequate urine output (1 ml kg⁻¹ h⁻¹) and normal or decreasing plasma lactate values, taking into consideration the patient's normal blood pressure, the cause of the arrest and the severity of any myocardial dysfunction. |
| 2021 GUIDELINES                                                            |
| Avoid hypotension (MAP <65 mmHg). Target MAP to achieve adequate urine output (>0.5 mL kg⁻¹ h⁻¹) and normal or decreasing lactate. |
| Several studies show that hypotension (MAP <65 mmHg) is consistently associated with poor outcome. Although we have stated a threshold value for blood pressure, optimal MAP targets are likely to need to be individualised. |
Table 1 (continued)

A recent randomised controlled trial of both IHCA and OHCA patients with initial non-shockable rhythms showed a higher percentage of patients survived with a favourable neurological outcome when treated with TTM at 33°C versus 37°C. [4] This has enabled the recommendation to be extended to all rhythms and locations. The definition of fever (>37.7°C) is consistent with that used in the TTM2 trial [5].

GENERAL INTENSIVE CARE MANAGEMENT

Short-acting drugs (e.g., propofol, alfentanil, remifentanil) will enable more reliable and earlier neurological assessment and prognostication.

Following ROSC maintain the blood glucose at ≤10 mmol l⁻¹ (180 mg dl⁻¹) and avoid hypoglycaemia.

- Use short acting sedatives and opioids.
- Avoid using a neuromuscular blocking drug routinely in patients undergoing TTM, but it may be considered in case of severe shivering during TTM.
- Provide stress ulcer prophylaxis routinely in cardiac arrest patients.
- Provide deep venous thrombosis prophylaxis.
- Target a blood glucose of 7.8–10 mmol L⁻¹ (140–180 mg dl⁻¹) using an infusion of insulin if required; avoid hypoglycaemia (<4.0 mmol l⁻¹ (<70 mg dl⁻¹)).
- Start enteral feeding at low rates (trophic feeding) during TTM and increase after rewarming if indicated. If TTM of 36°C is used as the target temperature, trophic gastric feeding rates may be increased early during TTM.
- We do not recommend using prophylactic antibiotics routinely.

The 2015 guidelines included very few statements on general intensive care management. For 2020 we have several best practice statements based mainly on data extrapolated from other critically ill patient groups.

PROGNOSTICATION

The prognostication strategy algorithm is applicable to all patients who remain comatose with an absent or extensor motor response to pain at ≥72 h from ROSC. Results of earlier prognostic tests are also considered at this time point.

One or both of the following indicate that a poor outcome is very likely (FPR ≤5%, narrow 95% CIs):
- No pupillary and corneal reflexes
- Bilaterally absent N20 SSEP wave

Two or more of the following indicate that a poor outcome is likely:
- Status myoclonus ≤48h after ROSC
- High NSE levels
- Unreactive burst-suppression or status epilepticus on EEG
- Diffuse anoxic injury on brain CT/MRI

In a comatose patient with M≤3 at ≥72 h from ROSC, in the absence of confounders, poor outcome is likely when two or more of the following predictors are present:
- no pupillary and corneal reflexes at ≥72 h,
- bilaterally absent N20 SSEP wave at ≥72 h,
- highly malignant EEG (suppressed background or burst-suppression) at ≥24h,
- NSE >60 μg L⁻¹ at 48 h and/or 72 h,
- status myoclonus ≤72 h,
- or a diffuse and extensive anoxic injury on brain CT/MRI.

There has been a very large amount of data published on prognostication since the 2015 guidelines. A recent systematic review identified 94 studies that included over 30,000 patients, all published since January 2013 [6].

The two-stage prognostication algorithm in the 2015 guidelines has been simplified so that a poor outcome is considered likely when two or more of the listed predictors are present. The algorithm is valid for comatose patients with a Glasgow Motor Score ≤3 (compared with ≤2 in the 2015 version). A threshold value for NSE is now stated. The EEG patterns suppression and burst-suppression are the most consistent predictors of poor neurological outcome. Conversely, absence of EEG reactivity has been only inconsistently associated with poor neurological outcome in recent studies.

We suggest using the 2021 ACNS terminology when assessing these patterns for prognostication, to ensure an unequivocal identification.
Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REHABILITATION</th>
<th>CARDIAC ARREST CENTRES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2015 GUIDELINES</strong></td>
<td><strong>2015 GUIDELINES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up care should be organised systematically and can be provided by a physician or specialised nurse. It includes at least the following aspects:</td>
<td>No specific recommendation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Screening for cognitive impairments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Screening for emotional problems</td>
<td>- Adult patients with non-traumatic OHCA should be considered for transport to a cardiac arrest centre according to local protocol.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Provision of information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2021 GUIDELINES</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Perform functional assessments of physical and non-physical impairments before discharge from the hospital to identify early rehabilitation needs and refer to rehabilitation if necessary.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Organise follow-up for all cardiac arrest survivors within 3 months after hospital discharge, including:</td>
<td>- An expert consensus paper published by several European organisations including the Association of Acute Cardiovascular Care (ACVA) of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC), the ERC and the ESICM, states that the minimum requirements for a cardiac arrest centre are 24/7 availability of an on-site coronary angiography laboratory, an emergency department, an ICU, imaging facilities, such as echocardiography, CT, and MRI [7]. Based on evidence from a systematic review, ILCOR suggests that wherever possible, adult patients with non-traumatic OHCA cardiac arrest should be cared for in cardiac arrest centres [8].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Screening for cognitive problems.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Screening for emotional problems and fatigue.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Providing information and support for survivors and family members.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The authorship of the 2021 guidelines now includes 3 individuals with expertise on long-term outcomes and rehabilitation after cardiac arrest compared with one author in 2015. The 2021 guidelines include greater emphasis on functional assessments of physical and non-physical impairments before discharge and long-term follow up and rehabilitation. There is greater recognition of the importance of survivorship after cardiac arrest. The recommendations in this section are all best practice statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACNS</th>
<th>MRI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Clinical Neuropsychology Society</td>
<td>magnetic resonance imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>NSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>computed tomography</td>
<td>neuron specific enolase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESC</td>
<td>OHCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Society of Cardiology</td>
<td>out-of-hospital cardiac arrest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>ROSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>electroencephalogram</td>
<td>return of spontaneous circulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPR</td>
<td>SSEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>false positive rate</td>
<td>somatosensory evoked potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILCOR</td>
<td>TTM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation</td>
<td>targeted temperature management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHCA</td>
<td>VF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in-hospital cardiac arrest</td>
<td>ventricular fibrillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean arterial pressure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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• Patients who remain comatose following ROSC, or who have another clinical indication for sedation and mechanical ventilation, should have their trachea intubated if this has not been done already during CPR.
• Tracheal intubation should be performed only by experienced operators who have a high success rate.
• Correct placement of the tracheal tube must be confirmed with waveform capnography.
• In the absence of personnel experienced in tracheal intubation, it is reasonable to insert a supraglottic airway (SGA) or maintain the airway with basic techniques until skilled intubators are available.

Control of oxygenation
• After ROSC, use 100% (or maximum available) inspired oxygen until the arterial oxygen saturation or the partial pressure of arterial oxygen can be measured reliably.
• After ROSC, once SpO₂ can be measured reliably or arterial blood gas values are obtained, titrate the inspired oxygen to achieve an arterial oxygen saturation of 94–98% or arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂) of 10–13 kPa or 75–100 mmHg (Fig. 2).
• Avoid hypoxaemia (PaO₂ < 8 kPa or 60 mmHg) following ROSC.
• Avoid hyperoxaemia following ROSC.

Control of ventilation
• Obtain an arterial blood gas and use end tidal CO₂ in mechanically ventilated patients.
• In patients requiring mechanical ventilation after ROSC, adjust ventilation to target a normal arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO₂), i.e. 4.5–6.0 kPa or 35–45 mmHg.
• In patients treated with targeted temperature management (TTM) monitor PaCO₂ frequently as hypocapnia may occur.
• During TTM and lower temperatures use consistently either a temperature or non-temperature corrected approach for measuring blood gas values.
• Use a lung protective ventilation strategy aiming for a tidal volume of 6–8 mL kg⁻¹ ideal body weight.
Circulation

Coronary reperfusion
- Emergent cardiac catheterisation laboratory evaluation (and immediate PCI if required) should be performed in adult patients with ROSC after cardiac arrest of suspected cardiac origin with ST-elevation on the ECG.
- In patients with ROSC after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) without ST-elevation on the ECG, emergent cardiac catheterisation laboratory evaluation should be considered if there is an estimated high probability of acute coronary occlusion (e.g. patients with haemodynamic and/or electrical instability).

Haemodynamic monitoring and management
- All patients should be monitored with an arterial line for continuous blood pressure measurements, and it is reasonable to monitor cardiac output in haemodynamically unstable patients.
- Perform early (as soon as possible) echocardiography in all patients to detect any underlying cardiac pathology and quantify the degree of myocardial dysfunction.
- Avoid hypotension (< 65 mmHg). Target mean arterial pressure (MAP) to achieve adequate urine output (> 0.5 mL kg\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)) and normal or decreasing lactate (Fig. 2).
- During TTM at 33 °C, bradycardia may be left untreated if blood pressure, lactate, ScvO\(_2\) or SvO\(_2\) is adequate. If not, consider increasing the target temperature, but to no higher than 36 °C.
- Maintain perfusion with fluids, noradrenaline and/or dobutamine, depending on individual patient need for intravascular volume, vasoconstriction or inotropy.
- Do not give steroids routinely after cardiac arrest.
- Avoid hypokalaemia, which is associated with ventricular arrhythmias.
- Consider mechanical circulatory support (such as intra-aortic balloon pump, left-ventricular assist device or arterio-venous extra corporal membrane oxygenation) for persisting cardiogenic shock from left ventricular failure if treatment with fluid resuscitation, inotropes and vasoactive drugs is insufficient. Left-ventricular assist devices or arterio-venous extra corporal membrane oxygenation should also be considered in haemodynamically unstable patients with acute coronary syndromes (ACS) and recurrent ventricular tachycardia (VT) or ventricular fibrillation (VF) despite optimal therapy.

Disability (optimising neurological recovery)

Control of seizures
- We recommend using electroencephalography (EEG) to diagnose electrographic seizures in patients with clinical convulsions and to monitor treatment effects.
- To treat seizures after cardiac arrest, we suggest levetiracetam or sodium valproate as first-line antiepileptic drugs in addition to sedative drugs.
- We suggest that routine seizure prophylaxis is not used in post-cardiac arrest patients.

Temperature control
- We recommend targeted temperature management (TTM) for adults after either OHCA or in-hospital cardiac arrest (IHCA) (with any initial rhythm) who remain unresponsive after ROSC.
- Maintain a target temperature at a constant value between 32 and 36 °C for at least 24 h.
- Avoid fever (> 37.7 °C) for at least 72 h after ROSC in patients who remain in coma.
- Do not use pre-hospital intravenous cold fluids to initiate hypothermia.

General intensive care management
- Use short acting sedatives and opioids.
- Avoid using a neuromuscular blocking drug routinely in patients undergoing TTM, but it may be considered in case of severe shivering during TTM.
- Provide stress ulcer prophylaxis routinely in cardiac arrest patients.
- Provide deep venous thrombosis prophylaxis.
- Target a blood glucose of 7.8–10 mmol L\(^{-1}\) (140–180 mg dL\(^{-1}\)) using an infusion of insulin if required; avoid hypoglycaemia (< 4.0 mmol L\(^{-1}\) (< 70 mg dL\(^{-1}\))
- Start enteral feeding at low rates (trophic feeding) during TTM and increase after rewarming if indicated. If TTM of 36 °C is used as the target temperature, gastric feeding rates may be increased early during TTM.
- We do not recommend using prophylactic antibiotics routinely.

Prognostication

General guidelines
- In patients who are comatose after resuscitation from cardiac arrest, neurological prognostication should be performed using clinical examination, electrophysiology, biomarkers and imaging, to both inform patient’s relatives and to help clinicians to target treatments based on the patient’s chances of achieving a neurologically meaningful recovery (Fig. 3).
• No single predictor is 100% accurate. Therefore, a multimodal neuroprognostication strategy is recommended.
• When predicting poor neurological outcome, a high specificity and precision are desirable, to avoid falsely pessimistic predictions.
• The clinical neurological examination is central to prognostication. To avoid falsely pessimistic predictions, clinicians should avoid potential confounding from sedatives and other drugs that may confound the results of the tests.
• When patients are treated with TTM, daily clinical examination is advocated but final prognostic assessment should be undertaken only after rewarming.
• Clinicians must be aware of the risk of a self-fulfilling prophecy bias, occurring when the results of an index test predicting poor outcome is used for treatment decisions, especially regarding life-sustaining therapies.
• Index tests for neurological prognostication are aimed at assessing the severity of hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury. The neurological prognosis is one of several aspects to consider in discussions around an individual’s potential for recovery.

**Multimodal prognostication**
• Start the prognostication assessment with an accurate clinical examination, to be performed only after major confounders (e.g. residual sedation, hypothermia) have been excluded (Fig. 4).
• In a comatose patient with $M \leq 3$ at $\geq 72$ h from ROSC, in the absence of confounders, poor outcome is likely when two or more of the following predictors are present: no pupillary and corneal reflexes at $\geq 72$ h, bilaterally absent N20 SSEP wave at $\geq 24$ h, highly malignant EEG at $> 24$ h, neuron-specific enolase (NSE) $> 60 \mu g L^{-1}$ at 48 h and/or 72 h, status myoclonus $\leq 72$ h, or a diffuse and extensive anoxic injury on brain CT/MRI. Most of these signs can be recorded before 72 h from ROSC; however, their results will be evaluated only at the time of clinical prognostic assessment.

**Clinical examination**
• Clinical examination is prone to interference from sedatives, opioids or muscle relaxants. A potential confounding from residual sedation should always be considered and excluded.
• A Glasgow Motor Score of $\leq 3$ (abnormal flexion or worse in response to pain) at 72 h or later after ROSC.

*Fig. 3 Prognostication modes. EEG electroencephalography, NSE neuron specific enolase, SSEP somatosensory evoked potential*
may identify patients in whom neurological prognostication may be needed.

- In patients who remain comatose at 72 h or later after ROSC the following tests may predict a poor neurological outcome:
  - The bilateral absence of the standard pupillary light reflex.
  - Quantitative pupillometry
  - The bilateral absence of corneal reflex
  - The presence of myoclonus within 96 h and, in particular, status myoclonus within 72 h

- We also suggest recording the EEG in the presence of myoclonic jerks in order to detect any associated epileptiform activity or to identify EEG signs, such as background reactivity or continuity, suggesting a potential for neurological recovery.

**Neurophysiology**

- Perform an EEG in patients who are unconscious after the arrest.
- Highly malignant EEG-patterns include suppressed background with or without periodic discharges and burst-suppression. We suggest using these EEG-patterns after the end of TTM and after sedation has been cleared as indicators of a poor prognosis.
- The presence of unequivocal seizures on EEG during the first 72 h after ROSC is an indicator of a poor prognosis.
• Absence of background reactivity on EEG is an indicator of poor prognosis after cardiac arrest.
• Bilateral absence of somatosensory evoked cortical N20-potentials is an indicator of poor prognosis after cardiac arrest.
• Always consider the results of EEG and somatosensory evoked potentials (SSEP) in the context of clinical examination findings and other tests. Always consider using a neuromuscular blocking drug when performing SSEP.

Biomarkers
• Use serial measurements of NSE in combination with other methods to predict outcome after cardiac arrest. Increasing values between 24 and 48 h or 72 h in combination with high values at 48 and 72 h indicates a poor prognosis.

Imaging
• Use brain imaging studies for predicting poor neurological outcome after cardiac arrest in combination with other predictors, in centres where specific experience in these studies is available.
• Use presence of generalised brain oedema, manifested by a marked reduction of the grey matter/white matter ratio on brain CT, or extensive diffusion restriction on brain MRI to predict poor neurological outcome after cardiac arrest.
• Always consider findings from imaging in combination with other methods for neurological prognostication.

Withdrawal of life-sustaining therapy
• Separate discussions around withdrawal of life-sustaining therapy (WLST) and the assessment of prognosis for neurological recovery; WLST decisions should consider aspects other than brain injury such as age, co-morbidity, general organ function and the patients' preferences.
• Allocate sufficient time for communication around the level-of-treatment decision within the team and with the relatives.

**Long-term outcome after cardiac arrest**

• Perform functional assessments of physical and non-physical impairments before discharge from the hos-
hospital to identify early rehabilitation needs and refer to rehabilitation if necessary (Fig. 5).
• Organise follow-up for all cardiac arrest survivors within 3 months after hospital discharge, including the following:
  1. Screening for cognitive problems.
  2. Screening for emotional problems and fatigue.
  3. Providing information and support for survivors and family members.

Organ donation
• All decisions concerning organ donation must follow local legal and ethical requirements.
• Organ donation should be considered in those who have achieved ROSC and who fulfil neurological criteria for death (Fig. 6).
• In comatose ventilated patients who do not fulfil neurological criteria for death, if a decision to start end-of-life care and withdrawal of life support is made, organ donation should be considered for when circulatory arrest occurs.

Cardiac arrest centres
• Adult patients with non-traumatic OHCA should be considered for transport to a cardiac arrest centre according to local protocol.

Evidence informing the guidelines
Post-cardiac arrest syndrome
The post-cardiac arrest syndrome comprises post-cardiac arrest hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury, post-cardiac arrest myocardial dysfunction, the systemic ischaemia/reperfusion response, and the persistent precipitating pathology [18–21]. The severity of this syndrome will vary with the duration and cause of cardiac arrest. It may not occur at all if the cardiac arrest is brief. Among patients surviving to intensive care unit (ICU) admission but subsequently dying in-hospital, withdrawal of treatment following prognostication of poor neurological outcome is the cause of death in approximately two-thirds after OHCA and approximately 25% after in-hospital cardiac arrest [22–26]. Cardiovascular failure accounts for most deaths in the first 3 days, while, in many countries, WLST based on a prognostication of severe hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury accounts for most of the later deaths [23, 26, 27]. Post-cardiac arrest hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury is associated with hypotension, hypoxaemia, hyperoxaemia, pyrexia, hypoglycaemia, hyperglycaemia and seizures. Significant myocardial dysfunction is common after cardiac arrest but typically starts to recover by 2–3 days, although full recovery may take significantly longer [28–33]. The whole-body ischaemia/reperfusion of cardiac arrest, CPR and ROSC activates immune and coagulation pathways contributing to multiple organ failure and increasing the risk of infection [34–43]. Thus, the post-cardiac arrest syndrome has many features in common with sepsis, including intravascular volume depletion, vasodilation, endothelial injury and abnormalities of the microcirculation [44–53].

Diagnosis of cause of cardiac arrest
These guidelines are informed by expert consensus.
Cardiac causes of OHCA have been studied extensively in the last few decades; conversely, little is known about non-cardiac causes. Early identification of a respiratory or neurological cause would enable transfer of the patient to a specialised ICU for optimal care. Improved knowledge of prognosis also enables discussion about the appropriateness of specific therapies, including TTM. Several case series showed that this strategy enables diagnosis of non-cardiac causes of arrest in a substantial proportion of patients [54, 55]. There is considerable regional variation in the incidence of sub-arachnoid haemorrhage as a cause of cardiac arrest among those with sustained ROSC at hospital admission. Published case series report 16.2% in Japan [56], 11.4% in Korea [57] and 7% in France [58]. In those with cardiac arrest associated with trauma or haemorrhage a whole-body CT scan is likely indicated [9, 59, 60].

Airway and breathing
Airway management after return of spontaneous circulation
These guidelines are informed by expert consensus.
Patients can have their trachea intubated before, during or following cardiac arrest depending on the setting or particular circumstances [61]. Following most cardiac arrests tracheal intubation will occur during CPR or if the patient remains comatose after ROSC [62]. Tracheal intubation following ROSC in comatose patients will facilitate post-resuscitation care that includes controlled oxygenation and ventilation, protection of the lungs from aspiration of stomach contents, control of seizures and TTM—see below for further details.
Post ROSC patients are haemodynamically unstable and, depending on their level of consciousness, may require drug assisted tracheal intubation. The same level of care should be provided as for any other critically ill patient in terms of skills of the provider, monitoring and choice of drugs [63, 64]. There are no recommendations for a specific drug combination [65], but use of a low
dose of a sedative, an analgesic and a rapid onset neuromuscular blocking drug is probably optimal.

Control of oxygenation

These guidelines are informed by the ILCOR systematic review on oxygenation and ventilation targets after cardiac arrest, which identified seven RCTs and 36 observational studies [66], and CoSTR [9]. The ILCOR treatment recommendations in relation to oxygenation are as follows:

- We suggest the use of 100% inspired oxygen until the arterial oxygen saturation or the partial pressure of arterial oxygen can be measured reliably in adults with ROSC after cardiac arrest in any setting (weak recommendation, very-low certainty evidence).
- We recommend avoiding hypoxaemia in adults with ROSC after cardiac arrest in any setting (strong recommendation, very-low certainty evidence).
- We suggest avoiding hyperoxaemia in adults with ROSC after cardiac arrest in any setting (weak recommendation, low-certainty evidence).

From a pathophysiological perspective, post cardiac arrest patients are at risk of developing hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury and accompanying organ dysfunction [9, 21, 67, 68]. The role of blood oxygen values in the disease process is poorly understood [69]. Studies show that cerebral ischaemia in post cardiac arrest patients is associated with poor outcome [70]. Administering more oxygen can increase brain oxygenation [71]. On the other hand, higher oxygen values would logically cause an increase in harmful oxygen free radicals [72]. It is also likely that the effect of oxygen values varies between different organs such as the heart and brain.

Numerous experimental studies have assessed the impact of hyperoxaemia on neurological injury with mixed findings [73]. Six randomised controlled trials (RCTs) have compared different oxygenation targets for varying durations immediately and up to 48 h after ROSC [74–79]. A sub-group analysis of a large RCT targeting an arterial blood oxygen saturation of 90–97% compared with 90–100% showed that in patients at risk of hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury 180-day mortality was lower in the lower oxygen target group [74]; however, this difference was no longer statistically significant when adjusted for baseline differences [80]. A pilot RCT targeting a PaO2 of 10–15 kPa compared with 20–25 kPa showed no difference in the values of biomarkers of neurological injury [75]. Overall the evidence is mixed but suggests targeting normal oxygenation rather than hyperoxaemia.

Observational data suggest avoiding hypoxaemia but there are no RCTs on this topic.

In most post-cardiac arrest patients, controlled oxygenation will require tracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation for at least 24–72 h, the exception being the completely conscious patient with a patent airway who should be treated with an oxygen mask or non-invasive ventilation targeting a peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO2) of 94–98%. During cardiac arrest, patients’ lungs are ventilated with the maximum feasible inspired oxygen, which is usually 100% during advanced resuscitation [9]. After ROSC the goal should be to monitor oxygenation either with a pulse oximeter or preferably with an early arterial blood gas sample. Oxygenation measured early after ROSC is highly variable, varying from hypoxaemia to extreme hyperoxaemia [81]. Thus, it is appropriate to titrate the inspired oxygen by adjusting either the oxygen flow if using bag-mask ventilation or the fraction inspired oxygen (FiO2) if using a mechanical ventilator [82]. Prolonged use of 100% inspired oxygen, for example during transport, will lead commonly to extreme hyperoxaemia [83]. Another method for monitoring is using cerebral oxygen monitoring with near infrared spectroscopy, but its role during post resuscitation care is uncertain [84, 85].

Control of ventilation

These guidelines are informed by the same ILCOR systematic review noted in the section on oxygenation [9, 66]. The ILCOR treatment recommendations in relation to ventilation are the following:

- There is insufficient evidence to suggest for or against targeting mild hypercapnia compared with normocapnia in adults with ROSC after cardiac arrest.
- We suggest against routinely targeting hypocapnia in adults with ROSC after cardiac arrest (weak recommendation, low-certainty evidence).

After ROSC, blood carbon dioxide values (PaCO2) are commonly increased because of intra-arrest hypoventilation and poor tissue perfusion [86], causing a mixed respiratory acidosis and metabolic acidosis [87]. Carbon dioxide is a well-known regulator of blood vessel tone and cerebral blood flow [88]. Increased PaCO2 (hypercapnia) increases cerebral blood flow, cerebral blood volume and intracerebral pressure. Hypocapnia causes vasoconstriction that may decrease blood flow and cause cerebral ischaemia [89].

The main method for controlling PaCO2 in a mechanically ventilated patient is adjusting the minute volume by changing the ventilation frequency and or tidal volume. In general, limiting the tidal volume and using a lung
protective ventilation strategy is the standard of care, especially in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [9, 90, 91]. Acute respiratory distress syndrome is not uncommon in cardiac arrest patients and is associated with worse outcomes [9, 92, 93]. Low lung compliance predicts poor functional outcome in OHCA patients [94]; however, ventilation with lower tidal volumes is not standard practice in neurointensive care [95].

Two pilot studies have compared different carbon dioxide targets during post resuscitation care [75, 96]. One study found targeting mild hypercapnia (50–55 mmHg) compared with normocapnia (35–45 mmHg) resulted in lower neuron specific enolase (NSE) values, a marker of neurological injury [96]. Another pilot study compared the lower and higher end of the range for normocapnia (33–45 mmHg) for the first 36 h of post resuscitation care and found no difference in markers of neurological injury [75]. Both of these studies showed that a higher PaCO2 was associated with higher cerebral oxygenation measured with near infrared spectroscopy (NIRS), but the clinical implications of this are uncertain [85]. Several large observational studies have aimed to define the optimal CO2 during post-cardiac arrest care [97–102]. The results are mixed, with some studies indicating harm from both hypo- and hypercapnia and some suggesting better outcome with mild hypercapnia. Recent UK observational data suggest a relationship between arterial oxygen and carbon dioxide. Data from the first 24 h of post resuscitation care observed a combination of hypoxia and hypocapnia was associated with a worse outcome and did not report harm from hypoxia [103]. Previous observational data from Finnish ICUs reported similar findings [97].

Observational data suggest that patients undergoing TTM are prone to hypocapnia [104]. This may be avoided by frequent measurement of carbon dioxide with arterial blood gas analysis and use of end tidal CO2 monitoring. In patients undergoing TTM with lower temperature targets, PaCO2 management including measurement is particularly challenging [105]. There is limited evidence to support a particular method for measuring PaCO2 during hypothermia, therefore to use either a temperature or non-temperature corrected approach for measuring blood gases is based on expert opinion [106].

The recommendation for tidal volume is based on current guidance for lung protective ventilation in the ICU [107] and limited observational data from post cardiac arrest patients [108]. One observational study suggests that using a tidal volume of 6–8 mL kg−1 to ventilate the lungs of post-cardiac arrest patients may be associated with improved outcome [108]. This study also showed that by using higher ventilation frequency normocapnia may be achieved [108].

**Circulation**

**Coronary reperfusion**

**Percutaneous coronary intervention following ROSC with ST-elevation**

Arrhythmia caused by myocardial ischaemia is the commonest cause of sudden cardiac death (SCD) in adults [109, 110]. Immediate reperfusion using percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) of the culprit coronary lesion has been used for more than 20 years. This strategy is supported by many observational studies that reported a significant association between early PCI with survival and favourable neurological outcome after OHCA. Whilst the benefit of early PCI in OHCA caused by a recent coronary occlusion is universally acknowledged, the main challenge is to identify the best candidates for coronary angiography (CAG) among all resuscitated patients. In patients with ST segment elevation (STE) or left bundle branch block (LBBB) on the post-ROSC electrocardiogram (ECG) more than 80% will have an acute coronary lesion [111]. A systematic review completed for the 2015 ILCOR CoSTR identified 15 observational studies enrolling 3800 patients showing a mortality benefit for emergent versus delayed or no cardiac catheterisation among patients with ROSC after cardiac arrest with evidence of STE on their ECG [112]. The treatment recommendation from 2015 was to recommend emergency cardiac catheterisation laboratory evaluation in comparison with cardiac catheterisation later in the hospital stay or no catheterisation in select adult patients with ROSC after OHCA of suspected cardiac origin with ST elevation on ECG (strong recommendation, low-quality evidence). The 2017 European Society of Cardiology Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction with ST-segment elevation state that ‘a primary PCI strategy is recommended in patients with resuscitated cardiac arrest and an ECG consistent with STEMI’ [113].

**Percutaneous coronary intervention following ROSC without ST-elevation**

In OHCA patients without ST segment elevation, several large observational series showed that absence of ST segment elevation does not completely exclude the presence of a recent coronary occlusion [114]. Therefore, the decision for early CAG should be based on meticulous patient assessment for the presence of haemodynamic or electrical instability and ongoing myocardial ischaemia taking into account multiple factors including previous medical history, warning symptoms before arrest, initial cardiac rhythm for CA [115], ECG pattern post ROSC, and echocardiography, as well as comorbidities. When an ischaemic cause is considered likely, a similar approach as for patients with STEMI should be followed. In patients with a low probability of an ischaemic cause of cardiac
arrest, delaying CAG for few hours or days may buy time for initial management in ICU, enabling early initiation of post-resuscitation care (haemodynamic optimisation, protective ventilation, TTM) and prognostication. This ‘wait and see’ management may also avoid performing CAG in patients with the lowest probability of an acute coronary lesion. These two strategies (early versus delayed CAG) were evaluated in patients with VF arrest and without shock in an RCT that showed no difference in 90-day survival, the primary outcome [odds ratio 0.89; 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.62–1.27; P = 0.51] [10].

In this study, the median time to target temperature was 5.4 h in the immediate angiography group and 4.7 h in the delayed angiography group (ratio of geometric means 1.19; 95% CI 1.04–1.36). Another recently published pilot RCT comparing early with delayed CAG also showed no difference in the primary outcome, which was a composite of efficacy and safety measures [116]. Further trials testing the same hypothesis are ongoing (DISCO NCT02309151, COUPe NCT02641626, TOMAHAWK NCT02750462, EMERGE NCT02876458). The 2020 European Society of Cardiology Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients without persistent ST-segment elevation state that ‘delayed as opposed to immediate angiography should be considered in haemodynamically stable patients without ST-segment elevation successfully resuscitated after an out-of-hospital cardiac arrest’ [11].

Ideally, coronary interventions would be undertaken only in those patients without permanent severe neurological injury. Patients with irreversible hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury are unlikely to benefit from PCI, even if a culprit coronary lesion is successfully treated [117]. However, the absence of a universally acceptable prognostic tool in the first hours after ROSC makes it impossible to identify such patients with high sensitivity and specificity at the time of hospital admission.

**Haemodynamic monitoring and management**

**Haemodynamic monitoring**

Post-resuscitation myocardial dysfunction and low cardiac index may occur in up to 60% of post-cardiac arrest patients [30, 118] and may be even more common in patients with an acute myocardial infarction (AMI) as the cause of the arrest [119]. Early echocardiography can identify underlying cardiac pathology, quantify the degree of myocardial dysfunction and help guide haemodynamic management. Serial echocardiography or invasive monitoring with a pulmonary artery catheter quantifies myocardial dysfunction and indicates trends [28, 29, 120]. Impaired cardiac function is most common during the first 24–48 h after which it gradually resolves [30, 118]. Whether low cardiac output (or index) is associated with poor outcome is currently unclear. A sub-study of the TTM trial showed that low cardiac index may not be associated with outcome if lactate clearance is maintained [121]. These findings were independent of target temperature. Both non-invasive and invasive monitoring with echocardiography, arterial lines and measurement of cardiac output are commonly used in intensive care and it is reasonable to use these to guide treatment in cardiac arrest patients (best practice statement).

**Haemodynamic management: Mean arterial pressure and cerebral perfusion**

A systematic review completed for the 2015 ILCOR CoSTR searched for studies that compared titration of therapy to achieve a specific haemodynamic goal with no haemodynamic goal [122]. At that time, only observational studies were identified [123–127]. That systematic review also identified observational studies that compared a bundle of therapies with a specific blood pressure target with no bundle [128–130]. The 2015 CoSTR treatment recommendations were:

- We suggest haemodynamic goals (e.g. MAP, systolic blood pressure) be considered during post-resuscitation care and as part of any bundle of post-resuscitation interventions (weak recommendation, low-quality evidence).

- There is insufficient evidence to recommend specific haemodynamic goals; such goals should be considered on an individual patient basis and are likely to be influenced by post–cardiac arrest status and pre-existing comorbidities (weak recommendation, low-quality evidence).

An evidence update for this topic was included in the 2020 ILCOR CoSTR and included two RCTs [9, 131, 132] and 11 observational studies [121, 133–142] published since the 2015 systematic review [122]. Two RCTs (including 232 patients) compared a blood pressure target of 65–75 mmHg to 80–100 mmHg with [131] and without [132] goal-directed optimisation of cardiac function. These studies were not powered for clinical outcomes but used surrogate markers of neurological injury such as MRI [131] and NSE [132]. Whilst these studies showed that higher MAP targets with vasopressors are safe, and do not, for example, lead to cardiac arrhythmias, they failed to show any clear improvement in surrogate markers of brain injury with a higher MAP target.

Nine observational studies found hypotension was associated with poor outcome [134–139, 141, 142]. One study found time spent below optimal MAP (assessed by correlation between near-infrared spectroscopy and blood pressure) was associated with poor outcome [133];
one study did not find low cardiac output to be associated with poor outcome [121] while the last study documented better outcomes among patients given fluids compared with vasopressors to increase MAP [146]. These observations are similar to the five observational studies included in the 2015 ILCOR Guidelines [122]. While hypotension (<65 mmHg) is consistently associated with poor outcome, we do not have high certainty evidence to guide an optimal MAP target.

Mean arterial pressure (MAP) is one of the main determinants of cerebral blood flow (CBF) [143]. Although a high MAP is generally required in non-anoxic brain injured patients because of cerebral swelling and increased intracranial pressure (ICP) [144], few data on ICP values are available in cardiac arrest survivors. In many post-cardiac arrest patients, CBF autoregulation is impaired or the lower limit is right-shifted [133, 145]. This means that at lower MAP values, in some patients CBF may be MAP-dependent with an increased risk of cerebral hypoperfusion (i.e. hypotension) or hyperaemia and intracranial hypertension (i.e. hypertension).

The use of cerebral oxygen saturation or ICP monitoring to determine the presence of autoregulation and to determine an optimal MAP may enable a more individualised approach [146]. In a retrospective study, the estimated optimal MAP (i.e. MAP target at which the autoregulation is more effective) was 85 mmHg in post-cardiac arrest patients with preserved autoregulation and 100 mmHg when the autoregulation was impaired [133]. Another small observational study calculated a median optimal MAP of 89 mmHg in the same setting [147]. However, there are no prospective studies evaluating whether an autoregulation-driven MAP target may influence neurological injury and/or outcome. A more recent study has shown that after cardiac arrest, in particular in cases of non-cardiac origin, episodes of elevated ICP and/or brain hypoxia are frequent and a higher MAP is necessary to improve brain oxygenation [147]. Preliminary evidence based on measurement of brain tissue oxygenation (PbtO₂) has shown that in resuscitated comatose patients impairment of oxygen diffusion to the brain may cause persisting brain hypoxia despite optimisation of oxygen delivery to the brain [148]. The implementation and the safety of these invasive monitoring tools in cardiac arrest patients need to be further evaluated. While these are all observational findings, they indicate optimal MAP targets may need to be individualised and support further research into identification of optimal MAP targets for individual cardiac arrest survivors receiving intensive care. In the post cardiac arrest patient, transcranial Doppler (TCD) can give information about cerebral haemodynamics and, in the future, may have a role in optimising haemodynamics in these patients [149]. Changes in cerebral blood flow can be seen using TCD and this may be a target to for treatment [150–152]. However, the technique and interpretations of the images is operator dependent and requires an acoustic window in the patient. Moreover, cerebral haemodynamics are continuously changing and serial measurements are possible only intermittently and the monitoring is labour-intensive. Based on the evidence summarised by ILCOR [9] we suggest avoiding hypotension (MAP < 65 mmHg) and targeting MAP to achieve adequate urine output (> 0.5 mL·kg⁻¹·h⁻¹) and normal or decreasing lactate values (best practice statement).

Haemodynamic management: Heart rate
Tachycardia was associated with poor outcome in one retrospective study [153]. During mild induced hypothermia the normal physiological response is bradycardia. In animal models this has been shown to reduce the diastolic dysfunction that is usually present early after cardiac arrest [154]. Bradycardia was previously considered to be a side effect, especially below a rate of 40 min⁻¹; however, bradycardia has been shown to be associated with a good outcome [155, 156]. Similar association between bradycardia and improved long-term outcome has been shown in patients not treated with TTM [157].

Sedation, controlled ventilation and a temperature between 32 and 36 °C lower oxygen consumption in cardiac arrest patients. Although bradycardia generally reduces cardiac output, this is well tolerated in this post-arrest setting. We suggest bradycardia (heart rate < 30–40 min⁻¹) be left untreated as long as there are no signs of hypoperfusion (i.e. increasing lactate, reduced urinary output etc.) (best practice statement).

Haemodynamic management: Fluid resuscitation, vasoactive and inotropic drugs
There is limited evidence to guide optimal fluid therapy for post-cardiac arrest patients. One study during which invasive monitoring and filling pressures were used observed that up to 5–7 L of fluid were given during the first 24 h [30]. One retrospective study indicated that with a treatment algorithm involving the pulse contour continuous cardiac output (PiCCO) system larger fluid volumes (range 4–5 L during the first 24 h) were associated with a lower incidence of acute kidney injury [158].

There is little direct evidence comparing various vasoactive drugs for post-cardiac arrest patients; therefore, this recommendation is based on indirect evidence from critically ill patients in general. The most recent Cochrane review on vasopressors for hypotensive shock included 28 RCTs (n=3497 patients) and did not find any mortality benefit from any of the six vasopressors
assessed. Acknowledging noradrenaline as the most commonly used vasopressor, their suggestion was that major changes in clinical practice were not needed [159]. As noradrenaline is the most widely used vasoactive agent for post-cardiac arrest patients, we suggest using noradrenaline as the first-line vasoactive agent in hypotensive post-cardiac arrest patients. A recent RCT comparing noradrenaline with adrenaline in 57 patients with acute myocardial infarction and cardiogenic shock was terminated early because of significantly more refractory shock in patients treated with adrenaline [160]. The COMACARE and NEUROPROTECT pilot trials also used noradrenaline as the drug of choice to achieve higher MAP targets [131, 132]. None of the studies showed any evidence of relevant tachycardia, arrhythmias or recurrent shock in the higher MAP group, despite the use of significantly higher doses of noradrenaline compared with the lower MAP group. This suggests that noradrenaline is well tolerated in post-cardiac arrest patients [131].

Post-resuscitation myocardial dysfunction often requires inotropic support. Based on experimental data, dobutamine is the most established treatment in this setting [161, 162], but the systemic inflammatory response that occurs frequently in post-cardiac arrest patients also causes vasoplegia and severe vasodilation [30]. The NEUROPROTECT trial used dobutamine to increase cardiac index in the higher MAP group. Although this did not decrease neurological injury it also did not increase myocardial injury [131].

**Steroids**

ILCOR performed an evidence update on use of steroids for post-cardiac arrest patients for the 2020 guidelines [9]. Three small RCTs and a large observational study have addressed the use of steroids in post-cardiac arrest patients [163–166]. Two of the RCTs used steroids both during CPR for IHCA and after ROSC [163, 164]. The first of these RCTs showed improved survival to discharge with a combination of methylprednisolone, vasopressin and adrenaline during cardiac arrest and hydrocortisone after ROSC for those with shock, compared with the use of only adrenaline and placebo [9/48 (19%) versus 2/52 (4%); RR 4.87; 95% CI 1.17–13.79] [164]. The second RCT showed improved survival to discharge with favourable neurological outcome with methylprednisolone, vasopressin and adrenaline during cardiac arrest, and hydrocortisone in those with post-ROSC shock compared with only adrenaline and placebo [18/130 (13.9%) versus 7/138 (5.1%); RR 2.94; 95% CI 1.16–6.50] [163]. Only the third RCT confined the use of steroids to the post-resuscitation phase; it did not show any benefit for steroid post-ROSC but included only 50 patients [166].

One trial has recently been completed but is not yet published (NCT02790788). ILCOR recommended a systematic review be undertaken once the recently completed trial is published and, therefore, left the treatment recommendation unchanged from 2010 [167]:

- There is insufficient evidence to support or refute the use of corticosteroids for patients with ROSC following cardiac arrest.

Until there is higher-certainty evidence supportive of their use, we suggest that steroids are not given routinely to post-cardiac arrest patients (weak recommendation, low-certainty evidence).

**Potassium**

Hyperkalaemia is common immediately after cardiac arrest. Subsequent endogenous catecholamine release and correction of metabolic and respiratory acidosis promotes intracellular transportation of potassium, causing hypokalaemia. Hyperkalaemia in the post-cardiac arrest period is associated with worse outcome [168]: hypokalaemia, on the other hand may predispose to ventricular arrhythmias. Based on these observational studies we suggest that potassium be given to maintain the serum potassium concentration between 4.0 and 4.5 mmol L\(^{-1}\) (best practice statement).

**Mechanical circulatory support**

If treatment with fluid resuscitation, inotropes and vasodilatory drugs is insufficient to support the circulation, consider insertion of a mechanical circulatory assistance device (e.g. IMPELLA, Abiomed, USA) [126, 169, 170]. One study indicated that 10–15% of patients with OHCA and ongoing cardiogenic shock eventually require mechanical circulatory support [171]. In patients with cardiogenic shock without cardiac arrest some centres still advocate use of an intra-aortic balloon pump (IABP), although the IABP-SHOCK II Trial failed to show that use of the IABP improved 30-day mortality in patients with myocardial infarction and cardiogenic shock [172, 173]. One recent small RCT found no difference in outcome in patients with acute myocardial infarction and cardiogenic shock treated with an IMPELLA device compared with an IABP [174]. Another retrospective study including only post-cardiac arrest patients found no difference in clinical outcome but higher incidence of bleeding with the use of IMPELLA compared with IABP [169]. Thus far, the evidence about which type of mechanical device is superior appears inconclusive and thus their use should be decided on a case-by-case basis.
The 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death include the following recommendation for the use of mechanical circulatory support: left-ventricular assist devices or arterio-venous extra corporal membrane oxygenation should also be considered in haemodynamically unstable patients with acute coronary syndromes (ACS) and recurrent ventricular tachycardia (VT) or ventricular fibrillation (VF) despite optimal therapy [175].

**Implantable cardioverter defibrillators**
An implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) is a device used for the treatment of certain life-threatening arrhythmias. The European Society of Cardiology has published guidelines on the indications for ICD therapy [175]. An ICD may be implanted for primary or secondary prevention. The former applies to those who have not experienced a dangerous arrhythmia but who are considered at high risk of one. This group includes patients with cardiomyopathies, inherited primary arrhythmic syndromes, congenital heart disease but also individuals with primary arrhythmias in structurally normal hearts [176, 177]. Secondary prevention refers to patients who have already survived a dangerous arrhythmic event and are still considered at risk of further events. Careful selection of patients is needed to identify those who may benefit from ICD implantation and whose lives can be prolonged by preventing arrhythmic SCD.

**Disability (optimising neurological recovery)**

**Control of seizures**
Seizures are reported in 20–30% of cardiac arrest patients in the ICU and are usually a sign of a severe hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury. Seizures may be observed as clinical convulsions (clinical seizure) and/or as typical activity in the EEG (electrographic seizure).

Myoclonus are sudden, brief, shock-like involuntary muscle contractions and by far the most common type of clinical seizure in post-arrest patients [178, 179]. It is often generalised but may be focal (periodic eye-opening, swallowing, diaphragmatic contractions etc.) or multi-focal [180]. It typically develops during the first 1–2 days after the arrest and is often transient during the first days-week. It is associated with a poor prognosis but some patients survive with a good outcome [181, 182]. Most post-hypoxic myoclonus has a cortical origin [183] and the EEG shows synchronous time-locked discharges or burst-suppression in a substantial proportion of patients [181].

Focal and generalised tonic–clonic seizures also occur after cardiac arrest, and it is not uncommon that an individual patient has several seizure sub-types [178].

Lance–Adams syndrome is a less frequent form of myoclonus usually developing in a patient who has regained consciousness [184, 185]. It is more common after hypoxic cardiac arrest and mainly affects the limbs where it is induced by purposeful actions or sensory stimulation. It may be disabling and often becomes chronic [182].

Some of the evidence informing this guideline is set out in a systematic review that informed the ILCOR 2015 CoSTR [122] and updated in 2020 [9]. The 2020 updated treatment recommendations are as follows:

- We suggest against seizure prophylaxis in adult post–cardiac arrest survivors (weak recommendation, very-low certainty evidence).
- We suggest treatment of seizures in adult post–cardiac arrest survivors (weak recommendation, very-low certainty evidence).

Studies using continuous EEG-monitoring reveal that electrographic epileptiform activity and clinical convulsions are equally common and that there is a substantial overlap [186]. The evaluation of electrographic seizures is often confounded by the concomitant effects of brain injury, metabolic factors and sedation, making possible clinical correlates and effects of treatment harder to evaluate. New definitions of electrographic status epilepticus have been published recently by the American Clinical Neurophysiology Society (ACNS) [187]. The ACNS uses strict and conservative criteria which are typically not fulfilled by post-arrest patients [186]. Instead, most of these patients have EEG-patterns that may or may not be defined as electrographic ‘seizures’ or, if prolonged as ‘status epilepticus,’ and depend on the local EEG-interpreter.

Sedative drugs have potent seizure-suppressing effects and are recommended as third-line treatment of status epilepticus. Propofol and benzodiazepines are used routinely during the first days after cardiac arrest while the patient is mechanically ventilated and treated with TTM. Depending on the dosing, these drugs will suppress clinical myoclonus and epileptiform activity in the EEG [188, 189]. The seizures may be unmasked during sedation holds. There is limited evidence that conventional antiepileptic drugs (mainly valproate and levetiracetam) suppress epileptic activity on the EEG of post cardiac arrest patients [190]. These drugs are known to suppress myoclonus of other origins [191]. Phenytoin and the pro-drug fosphenytoin are still used widely for the treatment of status epilepticus. In post-cardiac arrest patients, however, their negative inotropic and vasodilating effects make
Seizures may increase the cerebral metabolic rate and have the potential to exacerbate brain injury caused by cardiac arrest: treat seizures with levetiracetam and/or sodium valproate. Consider possible drug interactions. After the first event, start maintenance therapy. Additional treatment options include perampanel, zonisamide or topiramate. Consider increased dose of propofol or benzodiazepines to suppress myoclonus and electrographic seizures. Thiopental or phenobarbital may be considered in selected patients.

Temperature control
A comprehensive systematic review of TTM was conducted for the 2015 COSTR [122, 205–207]. Following an evidence review for the 2020 CoSTR, these ILCOR treatment recommendations remained unchanged from 2015 [9].

• We recommend selecting and maintaining a constant target temperature between 32 and 36 °C for those patients in whom temperature control is used (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence). Whether certain subpopulations of cardiac arrest patients may benefit from lower (32–34 °C) or higher (36 °C) temperatures remains unknown, and further research may help elucidate this.

• We recommend targeted temperature management as opposed to no targeted temperature management for adults with OHCA with an initial shockable rhythm who remain unresponsive after ROSC (strong recommendation, low-quality evidence).

• We suggest targeted temperature management as opposed to no targeted temperature management for adults with IHCA with any initial rhythm who remain unresponsive after ROSC (weak recommendation, very-low-quality evidence).

• We suggest targeted temperature management as opposed to no targeted temperature management for adults with IHCA with any initial rhythm who remain unresponsive after ROSC (weak recommendation, very-low-quality evidence).
• We suggest that if TTM is used, duration should be at least 24 h (weak recommendation, very-low-quality evidence).
• We recommend against routine use of prehospital cooling with rapid infusion of large volumes of cold IV fluid immediately after ROSC (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).
• We suggest prevention and treatment of fever in persistently comatose adults after completion of TTM between 32 and 36 °C (weak recommendation, very-low-quality evidence).

Treatment of fever
The definition of fever varies in different studies and no specific evaluation of the cause (i.e., ischaemia–reperfusion, neurogenic fever, infection) is generally reported. A large observational study investigating serial measurements in more than 35,000 individuals concluded that mean body temperature measured in the oral cavity was 36.6 °C (99% ranges 35.3–37.7 °C) in healthy adults [208]. A reasonable definition of fever is, therefore, body temperature above 37.7 °C, as recently used in a large randomised cardiac arrest trial [14]. However, this definition in critically ill patients usually relies on measurement of ‘core’ temperature (i.e., blood, bladder, oesophagus) and is only an estimation of brain temperature, which could exceed it by 0.4–2.0 °C [209].

Fever is common during the first 2–3 days after cardiac arrest and is associated with worse outcomes in observational studies [210]. Fever following TTM (i.e., induction of hypothermia at 32–36 °C) is also called rebound hyperthermia and is associated with worse outcomes, in particular with high temperatures [211, 212]. Whether fever contributes to poor neurological outcome or is just a marker of severe brain injury remains unknown. To date, no randomised trial has compared controlled normothermia (i.e. keeping target temperature below 37.8 °C) with no fever control.

Targeted Temperature Management: Cooling versus normothermia
A meta-analysis shows that mild induced hypothermia is neuroprotective and improves outcomes in animal models of cardiac arrest [213]. The authors conclude that there may be translational gaps because research on large (gyrencephalic) and comorbid animals is uncommon. The theoretical background that lowering core temperature suppresses several detrimental pathways leading to neuronal death is well established, but the specific mechanisms of hypothermic neuroprotection remain unclear [214]. Hypothermia decreases the cerebral metabolic rate for oxygen \( \text{(CMRO}_2 \) by about 6% for each 1 °C reduction in core temperature and this reduces the release of excitatory amino acids and the production of free radicals [215, 216]. In the temperature range of 33–36 °C, however, there is no difference in the inflammatory cytokine response in adult patients [217].

All studies evaluating post-cardiac arrest patients and mild induced hypothermia included only patients with altered consciousness (i.e., Glasgow Coma Scale < 9). One randomised trial and a quasi-randomised trial demonstrated improved neurological outcome at hospital discharge or at 6 months in comatose patients after out-of-hospital witnessed cardiac arrest with an initial shockable rhythm [218, 219]. Cooling was initiated within minutes to hours after ROSC and a target temperature of 32–34 °C was maintained for 12–24 h. These two trials represented the beginning of modern post-cardiac arrest care. More recently, a French multicentre trial randomised 581 adult patients who were comatose after resuscitation of either an IHCA or OHCA with an initial non-shockable rhythm (i.e., asystole or pulseless electrical activity) to either TTM with a target temperature of 33 °C or a target temperature of 37 °C, for 24 h [13]. The use of TTM at 33 °C led to a higher percentage of patients who survived with a favourable neurological outcome at day 90, assessed as a cerebral performance category score (CPC) of 1–2, (10.2% versus 5.7%, difference 4.5%; 95% CI 0.1–8.9; \( P = 0.04 \)), while mortality did not differ (81.3% versus 83.2%, difference = 1.9; 95% CI = 8.0 to 4.3). The benefit of a lower target temperature was more evident in patients with shorter time to ROSC (< 15 min) and among in-hospital cardiac arrest patients. These results differ from a previous retrospective registry study of 1830 patients with non-shockable OHCA where poor neurological outcome was more common among those receiving mild induced hypothermia (adjusted OR 1.44 (95% CI 1.04–2.01)) [220]. The ongoing targeted hypothermia versus targeted normothermia after OHCA (TTM2) trial will compare a target temperature of 33 °C with strict normothermia (< 37.8 °C) during a 40-h intervention period in 1900 patients and will address the effectiveness of cooling procedures in cardiac arrest patients in comparison with fever management [14].

Targeted Temperature Management: Timing to initiate hypothermia
Animal data suggest that TTM should be initiated as soon as possible [221], although delays of several hours seem to be neuroprotective in several species [213]. Early cooling, i.e., initiated in the pre-hospital field after ROSC, has been tested in some RCTs [222, 223]; although target temperature could be achieved faster than with standard in-hospital cooling, no significant effect on patient outcomes was reported. Moreover, in one study pre-hospital use of cold fluids to induce early hypothermia was associated with more re-arrests in the field and more frequent
pulmonary oedema on admission than the control group [224].

Intra-arrest hypothermia (i.e. initiated during CPR) has been proposed as an effective method to provide TTM; however, use of cold fluids during CPR in a large RCT including OHCA patients showed no improvement in outcome from this strategy and even a decreased ROSC rate in patients with an initial shockable rhythm [224]. One small feasibility trial [225] and one RCT [226] have tested the use of trans-nasal evaporative cooling, which could induce rapid cooling in OHCA patients. Both trials reported no significant benefits on patient outcomes, although in the latter trial a post-hoc analysis of the subgroup of patients with an initial shockable rhythm and in whom cooling was initiated <20 min from collapse showed improved neurological outcome at 90 days [226, 227].

**Targeted Temperature Management: Optimal target temperature during hypothermia**

The Targeted Temperature Management after Cardiac Arrest trial (TTM-trial) randomised 950 OHCA patients with both initial shockable and non-shockable rhythms to a strategy including 36 h of temperature control (i.e. 28 h at target temperature followed by slow rewarming) and fever control up to 72 h after randomization; the two target temperatures during the intervention phase were 33 °C or 36 °C [27]. Strict protocols were followed for assessing prognosis and for withdrawal of life-sustaining treatment (WLST). There was no difference in the primary outcome [i.e. all-cause mortality; hazard ratio 1.06 (95% CI 0.89–1.28)] or in neurological outcome at 6 months [relative risk 1.02 (0.88–1.16)]. Neurological outcome and cognitive function were also similar [228, 229], as were brain injury biomarker values [230, 231]. TTM at 33 °C was associated with decreased heart rate, elevated lactate, the need for increased vasopressor support, and a higher extended cardiovascular SOFA score compared with TTM at 36 °C [136, 232]. A small three-armed randomised trial compared 32 °C with 33 °C and 34 °C and found no difference in good neurological outcome, assessed as a modified Rankin Score (mRS) of 0–3 at day 90 [62.3% (95% CI 48.3–76.6) vs 68.2% 95% CI 52.4–81.4) vs 65.1% (95% CI 49.0–79.0)] [233].

Since the publication of previous guidelines, many sites have changed to a target temperature of 36 °C in routine practice [234, 235]. There have been reports that a change to 36 °C has led to worse temperature control and more early fever [236], but there are other reports showing good compliance with a 36 °C-protocol and a possible clinical advantage, such as earlier awakening and less sedative use [237]. Results from two large registry analyses, one from the cardiac arrest registry to enhance survival (CARES) surveillance group in the US [238] and one from the Australian and New Zealand Intensive Care Society Centre for Outcome and Resource Evaluation (ANZICS-CORE) [239] indicate that a widespread change in TTM-use has occurred after publication of the TTM-trial, with a rising average lowest temperature in the ICU and reduced use of TTM. Furthermore, survival decreased, but was not statistically associated with a decreased use of TTM [238]. In this setting, the optimal temperature during mild induced hypothermia is therefore unknown and more high-quality large trials are needed [240].

**Targeted Temperature Management: Duration of hypothermia**

The optimal duration for mild induced hypothermia and TTM is unknown although the period of hypothermia is most commonly 24 h. Previous trials treated patients with 12 to 28 h of TTM [27, 218, 219]. Two observational trials found no difference in outcomes with 24 h compared with 72 h of TTM [241, 242]. A recent randomised trial (n=351) investigated TTM at 33 °C during 48 h or 24 h in unconscious patients after OHCA [243]. There was no significant difference in poor neurological outcome between groups (absolute difference 4.9%; relative risk (RR) for a cerebral performance category 1–2 at 6 months 1.08, 95% CI 0.93–1.25). Adverse events were more common in the prolonged cooling group (RR 1.06, 95% CI 1.01–1.12).

**Contraindications to targeted temperature management**

Within the recommended TTM range of 32–36 °C, there are few, if any, recognised contraindications. Results from a post hoc analysis of the TTM-trial suggest that if there is severe cardiovascular impairment at 33 °C a higher temperature might be targeted [232].

**Other therapies to improve neurological outcome**

In contrast to a number of positive results from studies in experimental settings [18], several neuroprotective drugs failed to demonstrate a positive clinical effect [164, 193, 194, 244–247]. More recently, erythropoietin [248], cyclosporine [249] and exenatide [250], used alone, or as an adjunct to mild induced hypothermia, have also not been shown to increase neurologically intact survival when included in the post arrest treatment of cardiac arrest patients. The combination of xenon and mild induced hypothermia, which is beneficial and superior to mild induced hypothermia alone in experimental settings [18, 251], has been studied in several trials with no convincing effects [252–254] and is undergoing further clinical evaluation (XePOHHCAS, EudraCT Number 2017-00251432). Moreover, volatile anaesthetic drugs
have demonstrated positive effects on cardiac and cerebral recovery in experimental settings [255], and clinical feasibility studies [256–258], but outcome data are lacking. Most recently, it has been shown that pig brain cells can survive and show electrical activity for more than 4–6 h after decapitation, when reperfusion of the brain was performed in highly artificial experimental settings [259]. Very specific extracorporeal life support concepts (i.e. controlled reperfusion of the whole body) have also demonstrated good neurological survival following 15–20 min of experimental cardiac arrest and in humans [260, 261]. These concepts are currently also undergoing further clinical evaluation [262].

General intensive care management

There has been a recent systematic review and an ILCOR CoSTR on the subject of prophylactic antibiotics [9, 263]. The ILCOR recommendation states the following:

- We suggest against the use of prophylactic antibiotics in patients following ROSC (weak recommendation, low certainty of evidence).

The remaining guidelines for the general ICU management of post-cardiac arrest patients are based on expert opinion. Most aspects of post cardiac arrest care follow general ICU practices. Some differences and nuances are inherent. Few aspects of general intensive care have been studied separately in the cardiac arrest population, but cardiac arrest patients have been included in trials on general intensive care practices. Specific features of the post cardiac arrest patients include the risk of brain injury and need to apply neurointensive care principles, the high occurrence of myocardial dysfunction, the use of anticoagulants and anti-platelet drugs and the high risk of aspiration pneumonia among others. The typical length of stay in cardiac arrest patients will vary from 3 days to several weeks because of differences in time to awakening. This will influence certain aspects of care such as the initiation of and management of nutrition.

Many post cardiac arrest patients will require appropriate sedation and pain management, particularly those who are treated with TTM. During TTM, shivering is common—this can be managed with opioids and sedation. TTM influences the metabolism of several drugs and effects are in general prolonged. One RCT has compared the use of propofol and fentanyl with midazolam and fentanyl [264]. In a trial of 59 patients, the use of propofol and remifentanil resulted in shorter time to awakening but was associated with more frequent need of noradrenaline [264]. Similar findings have been shown in observational studies [265]. Sedation breaks are best initiated after TTM and rewarming has been completed.

Routine use of neuromuscular blocking drugs has been shown to be beneficial in observational studies [266, 267], but one small randomised pilot trial failed to show any such benefit [268]. In patients with ARDS and critical hypoxaemia, a meta-analysis has shown beneficial effects on outcome with the use of neuromuscular blockers [269]. Thus, in patients with critical hypoxaemia and ARDS following cardiac arrest, the use of a neuromuscular blocker may be considered, given the evidence for their use in ARDS. Patients should be nursed 30° head-up. This may decrease intracranial pressure (ICP) and decrease the risk of aspiration pneumonia. Many patients are at high risk of developing aspiration and ventilator-associated pneumonia [270]. A recent RCT examined the prophylactic use of antibiotics in OHCA patients [271]. Whilst the study showed a decrease in ventilator-associated pneumonia it did not find any other differences in other clinical outcomes; therefore, prophylactic antibiotics are not recommended. However, antibiotics can be considered in cases with clear suspicious infiltrates on the chest X-rays.

Patients require a nasogastric tube to decompress any abdominal distension. One small observational study has indicated that low-dose enteral feeding is tolerated during TTM after OHCA [272]. Gastric feeding may be initiated at low rates (trophic feeding) during TTM and increased after rewarming if indicated. If TTM of 36 °C is used as the target temperature, gastric feeding rates may be increased early during TTM.

Routine use of ulcer prophylaxis in intensive care patients does not decrease mortality [273, 274]. However, a recent meta-analysis showed that in high-risk patients, the use of ulcer prophylaxis decreased gastrointestinal bleeding [275]. Post-cardiac arrest patients are likely to be at higher risk than general ICU patients given the use of anticoagulant and antiplatelet agents both pre and post arrest [276]. Therefore, it appears reasonable to administer stress ulcer prophylaxis in post-cardiac arrest patients, especially in those with coagulopathy [35].

Unless patients receive anticoagulation because of a myocardial infarction or ischaemia, deep venous thrombosis prophylaxis is recommended in critically ill patients [277, 278]. The use of antiplatelet drugs do not prevent DVTs [279]. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients are at risk for developing DVTs, especially if treated with TTM [280]. These appear more common in those treated with an invasive TTM device, likely related to catheter placement in the femoral vein [281]. No specific evidence exists on DVT prophylaxis in cardiac arrest patients. Thus, treatment should be individualised and be based on general ICU recommendations [277].

Hyperglycaemia is common after OHCA [168]. Hyperglycaemia is best managed with continuous infusion
of insulin. The 2019 Guidelines of the American Diabetes Association recommend a target glucose range of 7.8–10.0 mmol L\(^{-1}\) (140–180 mg dL\(^{-1}\)) for the majority of critically ill patients [282]. Tight glucose control does not appear to convey benefit and may be associated with hypoglycaemia (< 4.0 mmol L\(^{-1}\) (<70 mg dL\(^{-1}\)) [283], which is harmful in critically ill patients [284]. In general, glucose-containing solutions are not recommended in patients with brain injury [285], but they may be needed to treat hypoglycaemia [284].

**Prognostication**

About two-thirds of in-hospital deaths in patients who are admitted to an intensive care unit in a coma following resuscitation from OHCA are caused by hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury [23, 24]. In a minority of cases these deaths occur as a direct consequence of hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury which results in an irreversible loss of all brain function, i.e. brain death [286]. However, most of these neurological deaths result from active withdrawal of life-sustaining treatment (WLST) in patients where the severity of hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury indicates that survival with a poor neurological outcome is very likely [26, 287]. Accurate prognostication is, therefore, essential in order to avoid an inappropriate WLST in patients who still have a chance of a neurologically meaningful recovery and to avoid futile treatment in patients with a severe and irreversible neurological injury.

**Outcomes measures in neuroprognostication studies**

Neurological outcome after cardiac arrest is most commonly reported using Cerebral Performance Categories (CPC) [288]. The CPC is expressed as a five-point scale: CPC 1 (no or minimal neurological disability); CPC 2 (minor neurological disability); CPC 3 (severe neurological disability); CPC 4 (persistent vegetative state); and CPC 5 (death). Another commonly used outcome measure is the modified Rankin Score (mRS) [289], which includes 7 scores, from 0 (no symptoms) to 6 (dead). In 2018, a statement from ILCOR [290] suggested using mRS rather than CPC for measuring functional recovery after cardiac arrest, because mRS is more suitable than CPC for discriminating between mild and moderate disability [291, 292] and has a substantial interrater reliability [293]. However, most studies on neurological prognosis after cardiac arrest still use CPC.

For both clarity and statistical purposes in studies on neuroprognostication after cardiac arrest the outcome is dichotomised as ‘good’ or ‘poor’. However, there is no universal consensus on what represents a poor neurological outcome. Up to 2006, most neuroprognostication studies reported CPC 4 or 5 (vegetative state or death) as a poor outcome, and CPC from 1 to 3 (from absent to severe neurological disability) as a good outcome, while after that date an increasing number of studies included CPC 3 (severe neurological disability) among poor neurological outcomes [294]. In a recent systematic review [15], among 94 total studies on neurological prognostication after cardiac arrest, 90 (96%) defined poor neurological outcome as CPC 3–5 and only four defined poor outcome as CPC 4–5.

In prognostic accuracy studies, a predictor (index test) is assessed for its ability to predict an outcome. This design is like that of diagnostic accuracy studies. However, while in diagnostic accuracy studies the index test is evaluated against another test which represents the reference standard, or gold standard, prognostic accuracy studies evaluate the index test against the occurrence of the predicted event (target condition) after test recording [295]. When test results are expressed in binary format (i.e. positive vs. negative) the accuracy is expressed using sensitivity and specificity, which measure the ability of the test to identify those who will develop or not develop the target condition, respectively. Since most neuroprognostic tests predict poor neurological outcome, having a high specificity (i.e. a very-low rate of falsely pessimistic predictions potentially leading to an inappropriate WLST) is desirable. Ideally, an index test should be 100% specific, i.e. its false positive rate (FPR) should be zero, but this is difficult to achieve in practice. There is no universal consensus on how specific an index test should be for neuroprognostication after cardiac arrest. In a recent survey of 640 healthcare providers, the majority (56%) felt an acceptable FPR for WLST from patients who might otherwise have recovered was ≤ 0.1% [296]. Along with the absolute value of specificity, precision of its estimate is important. A very specific test predicting poor outcome is of little clinical use when its precision is low, [i.e., when the confidence intervals (CIs) around the point estimate of its specificity are wide], because this indicates a high degree of uncertainty around the estimated specificity. In the 2014 ERC–ESICM Advisory Statement on neuroprognostication after cardiac arrest [297], the most robust predictors were identified as those in which the upper boundary of the 95% CI of the FPR was below 5%.

For some neuroprognostic tests used after cardiac arrest, such as the blood values of biomarkers of neurological injury or the grey matter to white matter density ratio on brain CT, the results are expressed as a continuous variable. In this case, sensitivity and specificity will depend on the value of the variable that is chosen as a threshold to separate positive from negative test results, and the values of sensitivity and specificity that are obtained by varying the positivity threshold across all its possible values are expressed by a receiver operating
characteristic (ROC) curve. The problem with dichotomising continuous predictive variables to obtain a binary test result is that finding a consistent threshold for 100% specificity is difficult. Very high values of test results can be caused by outliers, which cause distortion and reduce test sensitivity.

**Main sources of bias in neuroprognostication**

One of the major biases in neuroprognostication after cardiac arrest is self-fulfilling prophecy. This occurs when the treating team is aware of the result of the prognostic test and uses it for decisions that affect patient's outcome, e.g. WLST. This leads to an overestimation of the test performance, and—potentially—to an inappropriate WLST. In a systematic review on neuroprognostication after cardiac arrest published in 2013 [298, 299], 64/73 (88%) studies were at risk of self-fulfilling prophecy bias.

Ideally, to avoid self-fulfilling prophecy bias, the index tests should be investigated blindly. However, this is difficult to achieve in practice. Concealing results of clinical examination from the treating team is almost impossible, while concealing results of EEG or brain imaging would be unethical, since they may reveal the presence of potentially treatable complications (e.g. seizures or intracranial hypertension, respectively). Nevertheless, some predictors such as biomarkers have been evaluated blindly [230]. A special condition limiting the risk of self-fulfilling prophecy bias is the absence of an active WLST policy. This has been described in some studies conducted in countries or communities where treatment limitations are not accepted due to cultural, legal or religious reasons [300, 301].

Other strategies to reduce the risk of falsely pessimistic predictions include avoiding confounding from treatments (e.g. sedatives or other drugs) affecting the results of some predictors, such as clinical examination or EEG; avoiding basing decisions on life-sustaining treatments on the results of a single index test, but rather using a multimodal approach (see Fig. 4—multimodal prognostication algorithm); and always interpreting the results of the index tests within the clinical context.

A specific source of bias in neuroprognostic studies after cardiac arrest is the presence of a time lag between the recording of the index test, which is usually done very early after the arrest, and the assessment of the target condition, i.e. neurological outcome. Since recovery from hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury following cardiac arrest requires time, the minimum recommended timing for its assessment is 30 days or later from the event or neurological discharge [290]. However, further neurological recovery can occur later. Consequently, an early prediction of outcome which is confirmed by CPC or mRS measured at hospital discharge may occasionally prove false when the outcome is reassessed later [302]. For that reason, guidelines suggest reassessing neurological outcome at 3 or 6 months after the event [295]. The majority of studies included in the systematic review informing the present guidelines reports neurological outcome at least 6 months after cardiac arrest [15].

Another bias which is partly related to the time delay between index test assessment and outcome is the interference from extracerebral causes of death after cardiac arrest. These include cardiovascular instability, which is the second most common cause of in-hospital death after cardiac arrest [23], and multiple organ failure due to global ischaemia–reperfusion injury [303, 304]. Although the incidence of these complications is maximal early after arrest, death from extracerebral organ failure may occur after neurological recovery [305]. The prevalence of death after awakening was 16% in ICU in a single-centre study [306], and 4.2% during hospital stay in a recent multicentre European study including 4646 patients [307]. In that study, death occurred at a median time of 9 (3–18) days after awakening, and it was more common after IHCA than after OHCA.

**Clinical examination**

These guidelines are supported by evidence derived from a systematic review on prognostication and 2020 ILCOR CoSTRs [9, 15]. The relevant treatment recommendations in the 2020 ILCOR CoSTR are the following:

- We suggest using pupillary light reflex at 72 h or later after ROSC for predicting neurological outcome of adults who are comatose after cardiac arrest (weak recommendation, very-low-certainty evidence).
- We suggest using quantitative pupillometry at 72 h or later after ROSC for predicting neurological outcome of adults who are comatose after cardiac arrest (weak recommendation, very-low-certainty evidence).
- We suggest using bilateral absence of corneal reflex at 72 h or later after ROSC for predicting poor neurological outcome in adults who are comatose after cardiac arrest (weak recommendation, very-low-certainty evidence).
- We suggest using presence of myoclonus or status myoclonus within 96 h after ROSC, in combination with other tests, for predicting poor neurological outcome in adults who are comatose after cardiac arrest (weak recommendation, very-low-certainty evidence). We also suggest recording EEG in the presence of myoclonic jerks in order to characterise the phenotype of the myoclonus.
Ocular reflexes currently used for neurological prognostication after cardiac arrest include pupillary reflex and corneal reflex. The pupillary light reflex (PLR) comprises a temporary reduction of pupil size induced by a light stimulus. Standard PLR (s-PLR) is evaluated visually and elicited generally using a penlight. In recent years, a quantitative evaluation of PLR using portable pupillometers has become available in the ICU. A bilaterally absent s-PLR has low specificity for predicting poor outcome in the first hours after ROSC, but its accuracy progressively increases, and it achieves 100% specificity after 96 h from ROSC with 20–25% sensitivity [15]. This is presumably due to the process of brain recovery after anoxic-ischaemic injury, but it may be due partly to interference from sedatives used in the early post-resuscitation phase to maintain TTM. Standard PLR is inexpensive and easy to use, but it is subjective and prone to interrater variability [308]. Quantitative evaluation of PLR (automated pupillometry) provides an objective and quantifiable measurement of the pupillary response. The most common pupillometry measures are the percentage reduction of pupillary size, generally indicated as qPLR [309] and the neurological pupil index (NPi) [310]. NPi is calculated from several dynamic parameters of the pupillary response (including constriction and dilation velocity, size and percentage size reduction after stimulation) using a proprietary algorithm. A NPi value $\geq 3$ is considered normal. Limited evidence showed that, unlike s-PLR, NPi can predict unfavourable outcome with no false positive results from 24 h or less to 72 h from ROSC [15]. In one study this was because of the ability of pupillometer to detect a response even when the pupil size was very small, presumably from sedation [310]. Results of pupillometry are expressed as a continuous measure, and threshold for 100% specificity varied among studies. In three studies included in a recent review this threshold for NPi was $< 2.4$ before 24 h and 2.0 at 24–72 h [15]. Another limitation of automated pupillometry is its additional cost.

The corneal reflex (CR) is elicited by touching the outer margin (limbus) of the cornea with a cotton wisp. Alternatively, in order to minimise the risk of corneal abrasion, an air or water squirt can be used [311]. The corresponding response is represented by an eye blink. In patients who are comatose after cardiac arrest, an absent CR predicts poor neurological outcome after 72 h from ROSC with 100% specificity and 25–40% sensitivity [15]. Like PLR, CR is prone to interference from sedation. In addition, it may be affected by muscle relaxants. A recent survey showed that the modalities with which CR is assessed in comatose patients are inconsistent [312].

Motor response
An absent or extensor motor response to pain (motor component [M] 1 or 2 of the Glasgow Coma Score) is associated with poor neurological outcome after cardiac arrest [15]. However, its specificity is low, almost never achieving 100%, even when it is assessed after 96 h from ROSC. Like CR, motor response is based on striate muscle contraction and, as such, it can be affected by muscle relaxants. Because of its high sensitivity (>60% at 72 h or later from ROSC) a $M = 1–2$ can be used as a criterion for identifying patients needing prognostication after cardiac arrest. However, recent evidence showed that using $M \leq 3$ as an entry point increases the sensitivity for prediction of poor outcome without reducing specificity [313].

Myoclonus and status myoclonus
Myoclonus consists of sudden, brief, involuntary jerks caused by muscular contractions or inhibitions. Their distribution can be focal, multifocal or generalised [314]. Presence of myoclonus within 96 h from ROSC in patients who are comatose after cardiac arrest is associated with poor neurological outcome in most cases [15]. However, a false positive rate of up to 22% has been described [315]. Most prognostication studies did not provide a definition or description of myoclonus. In some patients with favourable outcome, myoclonus may persist after recovery of consciousness in a chronic form of action myoclonus (i.e. triggered by spontaneous movements) known as Lance–Adams syndrome [182, 316].

Clinical myoclonus can inconsistently be associated with electrical seizures; therefore, recording an EEG can be useful. Some studies have identified specific EEG features associated with benign myoclonus, such as a reactive [179, 184] and/or continuous EEG background [179, 181]. The presence of diffuse and continuous myoclonic jerks is usually described as status myoclonus. However, a consensus definition of status myoclonus is lacking. The 2014 ERC–ESICM Advisory Statement on neurologic prognostication after cardiac arrest suggested that in comatose survivors of cardiac arrest status myoclonus should be defined as a continuous and generalised myoclonus persisting for 30 min or more [297]. Evidence from two studies that did not distinguish electrographic features of status myoclonus [15] showed that status myoclonus within 24 h [317] or within 7 days from ROSC [178, 317] is almost invariably associated with poor neurological outcome (specificity 99–100%).

The advantages of predictors based on clinical examination include minimal equipment and costs (except pupillometry) and availability at the bedside. Their major limitations include interference from sedatives, opioids, and—except for the PLR—from muscle relaxants. In
addition, their assessment is prone to subjectivity. Use of automated assessment, like pupillometry for PLR, may at least address these limitations. Finally, results of clinical examination cannot be concealed from the treating team, potentially causing a self-fulfilling prophecy bias.

**Neurophysiology**

These guidelines are supported by evidence derived from a systematic review on prognostication and 2020 ILCOR CoSTRs [9, 15]. The relevant treatment recommendations in the 2020 ILCOR CoSTR are:

- **We recommend that neuroprognostication always be undertaken using a multi-modal approach because no single test has sufficient specificity to eliminate false positives (strong recommendation, very-low-certainty evidence).**
- **We suggest using a bilaterally absent N20 wave of somatosensory evoked potential (SSEP) at ≥ 24 h from ROSC in combination with other indices to predict poor outcome in adult patients who are comatose after cardiac arrest (weak recommendation, very-low-certainty evidence).**
- **We suggest against using the absence of EEG background reactivity alone to predict poor outcome in adult patients who are comatose after cardiac arrest (weak recommendation, very-low-certainty evidence).**
- **We suggest using the presence of seizure activity on EEG in combination with other indices to predict poor outcome in adult patients who are comatose after cardiac arrest (weak recommendation, very-low-certainty evidence).**
- **We suggest using burst-suppression on EEG at ≥ 24 h from ROSC in combination with other indices to predict poor outcome in adult patients who are comatose and who are off sedation after cardiac arrest (weak recommendation, very-low-certainty evidence).**

**Electroencephalography (EEG)**

Electroencephalography (EEG) is one of the most widely used and studied methods to assess brain function and prognosis after cardiac arrest [318]. EEG is also important for diagnosing and treating seizures.

The main aspects when assessing EEG are the background activity, superimposed discharges and reactivity. The EEG background continuity is most important for the prognosis and is commonly categorised as continuous, discontinuous, burst suppression (50–99% suppression periods) or suppression (> 99% activity < 10 μV amplitude) [319]. A standardised terminology for critical care EEG has been proposed by the ACNS [187].

Immediately after a cardiac arrest, the EEG is suppressed in many patients, but it returns to a continuous normal voltage EEG within the first 24 h in most patients who ultimately achieve a good outcome [320, 321]. The time for this restitution is correlated with outcome [319, 322]. The EEG-background is often discontinuous and of low frequency on its first appearance [320, 323]. Sedative drugs affect background continuity and have the potential to induce discontinuous or burst-suppression background in a dose-dependent manner [324, 325].

**Background pattern: Suppression**

A suppressed (<10 μV) or low-voltage (<20 μV) background is relatively common during the first day after a cardiac arrest in patients who later recover [300, 320, 321]. However, 24 h after ROSC, a suppressed EEG-background <10 μV is a reliable sign of a poor prognosis [326–331] although two false positive predictions by this pattern 48–72 h after cardiac arrest were reported in one study [328]. There was moderate interrater agreement for suppressed background among senior neurophysiologists [328, 332].

**Background pattern: Burst suppression**

According to the ACNS-terminology, burst suppression (BS) is defined as 50–99% of the recording consisting of suppression alternating with bursts. The terminology does not have any amplitude criteria for the bursts but these may be defined further as ‘highly epileptiform bursts’, based on appearance [187]. ‘Presence of ‘identical bursts’ (either the first 0.5 s of each burst or each stereotyped cluster of ≥ 2 bursts appears visually similar in >90% of bursts in each channel) portend a poor prognosis in post-anoxic coma [333]. One research group also proposed a separation of BS-patterns into ‘synchronous’ (with highly epileptiform or identical bursts) and ‘heterogenous’ (not ‘synchronous’) [331]. The criteria used for burst amplitude and appearance varies considerably between studies. A substantial portion of patients with BS during the first 24 h and occasional patients with BS-pattern after 24 h still have a good outcome, which is possibly related to sedation use [302, 320, 326–328, 334–336]. There was substantial interrater agreement among experienced neurophysiologists for BS [328].

**Background pattern: Discontinuous**

A discontinuous background with suppression periods >10% of the recording has low prognostic performance during the first 24 h after cardiac arrest [337, 338] and an inconsistent performance after 24 h [326–328, 338].
Background pattern: Reactivity

EEG-reactivity is a measurable change in amplitude or frequency upon external stimulation (auditory and pain). There is no generally acknowledged standard for reactivity testing and the prognostic performance of this feature varied substantially between studies [15, 339]. Absence of EEG-reactivity during the first 24 h after cardiac arrest is an indicator of a poor outcome with high sensitivity but low specificity (41.7–87.5%) [336, 340–342]. After 24 h, the sensitivity of absent reactivity remains high but the specificity varied from 50 to 100% [326, 328, 334, 336, 341–345]. Interrater agreement for the assessment of EEG-reactivity varied from slight-almost perfect [328, 346]. Stimulus-evoked rhythmic, periodic or ictal discharges (SirPIDS) are not a manifestation of normal background reactivity— their prognostic significance is still undefined [203, 347].

Superimposed patterns: Periodic discharges

A ‘periodic’ pattern is a waveform that occurs repeatedly, with a quantifiable interval between discharges. If no such interval exists, the pattern is termed ‘rhythmic’ [187]. Periodic discharges (PDs) may be superimposed on various backgrounds and are related to a worse prognosis. Generalised periodic discharges (GPDs) are a sign of a poor prognosis with limited specificity [326, 327, 330, 334]. In general, the background on which PDs appear is more related to the neurological outcome [319]. PDs on a continuous and reactive EEG-background should not be considered as an indicator of a poor outcome [181].

Superimposed patterns: Sporadic epileptiform discharges

‘Sporadic epileptiform discharges’ describes sharp waves or spikes resembling those seen in patients with epilepsy, but without the regularity of a periodic pattern. The frequency by which they appear may vary extensively from ‘rare’ (<1/h) to ‘abundant’ (≥1/10 s), bordering on periodic discharges. While their appearance is related to a worse outcome, their specificity to predict poor outcome varied from 66.7 to 100% [15] and reports on the potentially important frequency or number of discharges was lacking in studies [300, 328, 330, 331]. Presence of sporadic epileptiform discharges is NOT a reliable indicator of a poor neurological prognosis.

Sporadic epileptiform discharges: Electrographic seizures and electrographic status epilepticus

The ACNS defines ‘unequivocal seizures’ as generalised rhythmic spike-and-wave discharges with a frequency ≥3 Hz or clearly evolving discharges of any type >4 Hz [187]. This definition was inconsistently used in studies. Seizures had a low sensitivity but high specificity for a poor outcome regardless of timing [326, 328, 330, 334, 348].

The term ‘electrographic status epileptics’ (ESE) is defined as an electrographic seizure for ≥10 continuous minutes or for a total duration of ≥20% of any 60-min period of recording. This definition has been included for the first time in the 2021 update of the ACNS terminology and none of the currently available prognostic studies has incorporated it yet. Some studies based their definition of ESE on the ACNS classification of unequivocal seizures extending ≥30 min but also included epileptiform discharges ≥1 Hz [197, 322], and in one study ≥0.5 Hz as ESE [349]. Other studies had an unclear definition of ESE [302, 334, 335, 341]. The proportion of patients classified with ESE varied considerably between studies, possibly reflecting differences in definitions. One study showed that ESE evolves from high frequency discharges early after onset to progressively slower frequencies during the following days and weeks [186]. Regardless of the classification used, ESE is associated with a worse prognosis after cardiac arrest, but some patients have a good outcome [196, 197, 199]. As with periodic discharges, it is important to consider if the EEG-background is continuous and reactivity is present, which are both favourable features [197, 199]. Because of the lack of a standardised classification, we recommend avoiding the term ‘status epilepticus’ for assessments of prognosis but instead to classify the EEG-background and superimposed discharges and unequivocal seizures according to the standardised ACNS terminology [187].

Categories of patterns

In several studies, the most unfavourable patterns were grouped as ‘malignant’ or ‘highly malignant.’ The most common grouping included suppressed background with or without periodic discharges and burst-suppression as ‘highly malignant patterns’ [326]. There was substantial interrater agreement for these ‘highly malignant patterns’ [346] and the specificity for poor outcome was 90.6–100% [326, 327, 329, 336, 338, 340, 350]. An alternative categorisation of ‘unfavourable patterns’ using a stricter definition of burst-suppression has been suggested [331].

Quantitative EEG-indices

Automated assessment of quantitative EEG-features such as the burst-suppression amplitude ratio and reactivity has been tested in individual studies [351, 352]. Combinations of quantitative EEG-features include the Bi-spectral index (BIS) and the Cerebral Recovery Index [353]. The threshold value and specificity for BIS to predict poor outcome varied widely between studies [354–356]. Automated assessments may decrease subjectivity in EEG assessments. Prospective multi-centre studies are needed to assess the prognostic performance after cardiac arrest.
**Evoked potentials: Somatosensory evoked potentials (SSEPs)**

When performing SSEPs the median nerve is electrically stimulated and the ascending signals are recorded from the peripheral plexus brachialis, cervical level, subcortical level and the sensory cortex (N20-potential). SSEPs may be depressed by barbiturate coma but are preserved with other sedative drugs such as propofol and midazolam [357]. A bilateral absence of the short-latency N20-potentials over the sensory cortex is a reliable sign of a poor prognosis after cardiac arrest with high specificity and limited sensitivity both early and late after cardiac arrest [201, 202, 302, 310, 331, 335, 337, 338, 340, 342, 343, 350, 352, 358–366]. Occasional false positive predictions were reported [367]. The interrater reliability for interpretation of SSEPs was moderate to good [368, 369]. The quality of the recording is very important and noise from muscle activity is an important limiting factor which may be eliminated by neuromuscular blocking drugs [357, 368].

**Evoked potentials: Visual evoked potentials (VEP) and auditory evoked potentials (AEP)**

There are few data supporting the use of visual evoked potentials (VEPs) [358] and auditory evoked potentials (AEPs) [361, 364] to prognosticate outcome after cardiac arrest. These results need validation before VEPs or AEPs can be recommended in this context.

**Biomarkers**

These guidelines are supported by evidence derived from a systematic review on prognostication and 2020 ILCOR CoSTRs [9, 15]. The relevant treatment recommendations in the 2020 ILCOR CoSTR are as follows:

- **We recommend that neuroprognostication always be undertaken using a multi-modal approach because no single test has sufficient specificity to eliminate false positives (strong recommendation, very-low-certainty evidence).**
- **We suggest using neuron-specific enolase (NSE) within 72 h after ROSC, in combination with other tests, for predicting neurological outcome of adults who are comatose after cardiac arrest (weak recommendation, very-low-certainty evidence).**
- **We suggest against using S-100B protein for predicting neurological outcome of adults who are comatose after cardiac arrest (weak recommendation, low-certainty evidence).**
- **We suggest against using serum levels of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), serum tau protein, or neurofilament light chain (Nfl) for predicting poor neurological outcome of adults who are comatose after cardiac arrest (weak recommendation, very-low-certainty evidence).**

Protein biomarkers that are released following injury to neurons and glial cells may be measured in blood and are likely to correlate with the extent of brain injury and with neurological outcome. Neuron-specific biomarkers include NSE, Nfl and tau protein, while S100B and GFAP originate from astrocytes. Neuron specific enolase has been recommended for assessment of brain injury and to help prognosticate outcome after cardiac arrest since the last revision in 2015 [2]. Their actual use in clinical practice, however, is not known. Several reports on novel biomarker candidates have been published since 2015 [231, 370–372].

Importantly, a multimodal approach should be used for assessment of comatose survivors after cardiac arrest. Advantages of biomarkers include quantitative results, the relative ease of sampling and interpretation and their independence from the effects of sedatives. Limitations include availability, lack of robust laboratory references, insufficiently large study populations and lack of external validation for some. Most of the available evidence is limited to a time span of up to 72 h after cardiac arrest which is relevant for most patients. However, it necessitates a strategy for prospective sampling before the assessment of prognosis>72 h post-arrest. Very limited evidence supports the use of biomarkers after 72 h in patients who fail to awaken. Large studies investigating and validating promising novel biomarkers are needed to confirm their predictive value, to assess their reproducibility and to identify consistent thresholds for a specificity that should be close to 100%. The rationale for accepting a specificity of less than 100% would be that using blood biomarkers, there will always be outliers that must be taken into consideration, e.g. due to poor calibration or issues with laboratory standards, haemolysis or due to poor technique in handling of samples. Demanding 100% specificity from a blood biomarker will lower the sensitivity to levels where their clinical use can be questioned, while allowing an FPR of 1% or 2% will increase their clinical relevance. With a multimodal approach, every prognostic method used for assessment of an individual patient must point in the same direction in order to be trusted. This may be particularly true for biomarkers because of their continuous nature; normal or mildly elevated levels (at correct sampling time) should always alert the clinician of potential error in other methods indicating poor prognosis.
Neuron-specific enolase (NSE)

Neuron-specific enolase has been studied extensively; since the last systematic reviews [298, 299], at least 13 observational studies have been published with threshold values ranging from 33 to 120 µg L\(^{-1}\) within 72 h predicting poor neurological outcome from hospital discharge to 6 months with specificity ranging from 75 to 100% and sensitivity ranging from 7.8 to 83.6%. In the largest study to date, outliers were described [373]. Patients with high NSE (>90 µg L\(^{-1}\)) and good outcome had confounders for NSE elevation and most patients with low NSE (<17 µg L\(^{-1}\)) who died had a cause of death other than hypoxic/ischaemic encephalopathy. The study was excluded from the recent systematic review because the primary outcome was CPC at ICU discharge [15]. A large substudy of the TTM-trial identified a threshold of 48 µg L\(^{-1}\) at 48 h and a threshold of 38 µg L\(^{-1}\) at 72 h with a specificity of 98% (FPR 2%) for poor neurological outcome at 6 months [230]. In another study, NSE with a threshold of 50.2 µg L\(^{-1}\) at day 4 predicted poor neurological outcome at 1 month with 100% specificity and 42.1% sensitivity [374].

NSE decreases after 24 h in patients with good outcome and typically increases in patients with a poor outcome to peak at 48–96 h. NSE performs poorly at 24 h and best at 48 or 72 h. High NSE at 48 h or 72 h after cardiac arrest is a robust predictor of a poor outcome [230, 365, 373–378]. Increasing NSE from 24 to 48 or 48–72 h is a reliable indicator of a poor prognosis with similar performance as the absolute value [379]. One small study found that a 48:24 h NSE ratio ≥ 1.7 had a 100% specificity for poor outcome [375]. In a recent study, the prognostic performance of NSE was clearly dependent on age and severity of the insult (time to ROSC) [380]. It performed best in the youngest quartile and in patients with longer time to ROSC. Several different analytical assays were used in the included studies but the methodology for routine clinical use provided by Roche and Brahms was most frequent. NSE has been used as a surrogate marker for brain injury in two recent trials [75, 96].

Thresholds for high NSE values must be established in collaboration with the local laboratory considering the analytical method. Red blood cells contain NSE so haemolysis (free haemoglobin) must be measured and samples discarded if the haemolysis index threshold is exceeded because this may generate a falsely high NSE value [381]. The half-life of free haemoglobin is approximately 2–4 h compared with the 30-h half-life of NSE. Thus, the NSE value may be inappropriately increased (by NSE from red blood cells) at a time when free haemoglobin is no longer detectable, which is a concern when using NSE for prognostication after cardiac arrest [381].

S100B

Three observational studies have been published since 2013 [376, 377, 382], two of them investigated S100B immediately after ROSC and identified threshold values ranging from 3.56 to 16.6 with 100% specificity of poor outcome but with low sensitivities of 2.8% to 26.9%. In the largest study, S100B discriminated best at 24 h with a threshold value of 2.59 µg L\(^{-1}\) for 100% specificity but with a low sensitivity of 10%, the corresponding sensitivity for 98% specificity (2% FPR) was 32% (threshold value 0.36 µg L\(^{-1}\)) [382]. The authors concluded that S100B did not add any real value to present prognostication models with or without NSE. S100B is also very rarely used in clinical practice and for these reasons is not included in our recommendations.

Glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP)

In one observational study with 100 patients, GFAP with a threshold value of 0.08 µg L\(^{-1}\) at 48 h ± 12 h predicted poor neurological outcome at 1 month with 100% specificity and 21.3% sensitivity [370].

Serum tau

In one study, serum tau protein with a threshold value ranging from 72.7 to 875.6 ng L\(^{-1}\) predicted poor neurological outcome at 6 months with 100% specificity and a sensitivity of 4–42% (very-low certainty of evidence) [371]. An ultra-sensitive single molecule assay (SIMoA) was used, with a detection limit at the single molecular level [383].

Serum neurofilament light chain (Nfl)

In one large study, serum Nfl with a threshold value ranging from 1539 to 12,317 pg mL\(^{-1}\) at 24–72 h predicted poor neurological outcome (CPC 3–5) at 6 months with 100% specificity and sensitivity ranging from 53.1 to 65% (moderate certainty of evidence) [231]. The same ultra-sensitive SIMoA technique was used for detection of Nfl as was used for tau protein (see above). In a post-hoc analysis of the COMACARE trial, which used the same SIMoA technique for analysis, thresholds for serum Nfl that achieved 99% specificity for a poor outcome were 127, 262 and 344 pg mL\(^{-1}\) at 24 h, 48 h and 72 h, respectively; sensitivities ranged from 78 to 85% [384]. In another study that did not use the SIMoA technique, serum Nfl with a threshold value ranging from 252 to 405 pg mL\(^{-1}\) from day 1 to day 7 predicted poor neurological outcome (CPC 4–5) at 6 months with 100% specificity and sensitivity ranging from 55.6 to 94.4% [372].

Imaging

These guidelines are supported by evidence derived from a systematic review on prognostication and 2020 ILCOR
Following cardiac arrest, hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury causes cytotoxic oedema, which appears as an attenuation of the GM/WM interface, and vasogenic oedema leading to brain swelling, visible as an effacement of cortical sulci [385]. Measurement of the ratio between the GM and WM densities (GWR), expressed in Hounsfield units, is a method to quantify the degree of oedema. The density of the GM is higher than that of the WM, so that GWR is normally higher than 1. The lower the GWR, the greater the severity of brain oedema.

GWR reduction occurs early in patients with severe hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury. In a recent systematic review, most studies on reduced GWR showed that this sign was 100% specific for poor neurological outcome as early as 1 h after ROSC [15]. However, in other studies [301, 386–388], a reduced GWR was 100% specific for poor neurological outcome up to 72 h after ROSC. The methods for GWR measurement varied across studies. In most of them, GWR was calculated between GM and WM areas within the basal ganglia. In others, measurements within the cerebrum (centrum semiovale and high convexity area) were performed [389–391]. In almost all studies, a GWR threshold for 100% specificity was identified. However, its value varied across studies. For instance, the threshold for 100% specificity of the average GWR measured at the basal ganglia and the cerebrum ranged between 1.1 and 1.23 within 2 h from ROSC [15]. GWR sensitivity also varied widely across studies, probably reflecting differences between scanners and software [392], in the methods of calculation, or in the aetiology of the arrest [390, 393]. In one sub study of the TTM trial, oedema on brain CT was assessed visually without formal GWR measurement [394]. In that study, specificity for poor neurological outcome was 98.4 (94.3–99.6)% with 33.6 (28.1–39.5)% sensitivity. Most studies on brain CT were single centre with retrospective design.

**Computed tomography (CT) of the brain**

Following cardiac arrest, hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury appears as a hyperintensity on diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) sequences [395]. In several studies, presence of DWI lesions is associated with poor neurological outcome after cardiac arrest [389, 396–399]. However, the assessment was done qualitatively, and specificity was inconsistent (range 55.7–100%). Apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) enables a semiquantitative assessment of DWI changes, therefore limiting subjectivity. However, the ADC metrics in prognostication studies varied [15]. These include lowest minimum or mean ADC [400], mean ADC [401], the proportion of brain volume below a given ADC threshold [401, 402] and the maximum size of the MRI clusters with minimum ADC [400]. Most of these studies assessed global ADC, while one of them assessed regional ADC [400]. In all these studies, an ADC threshold for 100% specificity was identified, often with sensitivities above 50%. All studies on ADC MRI had a small sample size, which limited their precision. In many studies, imaging was performed at the discretion of the treating physician, which may have introduced a selection bias.

Unlike clinical examination and EEG, imaging studies are not prone to interference from sedative drugs. In addition, they can be assessed blindly. Their major limitation is the lack of standardisation of measurement techniques. Despite the available studies showed a high accuracy both for brain CT and MRI, the number of studies was limited with a wide variability in the adopted measurement techniques which greatly limits the reproducibility of their results. For this reason, it is reasonable to reserve the use of imaging studies for prognostication only in centres where specific experience is available. Since there is currently no standard for CT-GWR or MR-ADC measurements these techniques can be recommended to confirm the presence of generalised and extensive ischemic injury apparent from conventional visual analysis by an experienced neuroradiologist. Finally, imaging studies cannot be performed at the bedside and MRI may not be feasible in the most unstable patients, which limits its applicability especially in the early post-resuscitation period.
Multimodal prognostication

In 2015, the ERC–ESICM Guidelines on Post-Resuscitation Care included an algorithm for the prediction of poor neurological outcome in patients who are comatose after cardiac arrest [1]. This algorithm has been validated in recent retrospective studies. One study in 226 patients showed that the 2015 ERC/ESICM prognostication guidelines had a 0% FPR for predicting poor outcome (CPC from 3 to 5) both at hospital discharge and at 6 months [302]. Similarly, in a larger single-centre cohort including 485 comatose resuscitated patients the ERC–ESICM algorithm predicted CPC 3–5 with 0% FPR in 155 patients [403]. Among the remaining 330 patients in whom no major predictor or combination of predictors suggesting poor outcome were detected, two thirds had good neurological outcome at 3 months. Finally, in a retrospective multicentre cohort of 585 patients from the TTM trial, the ERC–ESICM algorithm had 0% (95% CI 0–1.2%) FPR for predicting poor neurological outcome at 6 months [313].

The 2015 ERC–ESICM prognostication algorithm was based on a combination of predictors including results of clinical examination (absent or extensor motor response, absent pupillary and corneal reflexes, status myoclonus), biomarkers (high blood values of NSE), electrophysiology (unreactive burst-suppression or status epilepticus on EEG, bilaterally absent N20 SSEP wave) and imaging (signs of diffuse anoxic brain injury on CT or MRI). The evidence supporting these predictors had been assessed in two reviews published in 2013 [298, 299]. In order to provide an update for the present guidelines, a new review has been conducted and its results are reported in the previous paragraphs of the present guidelines focusing on individual prognostication modalities [15]. The 2020 review largely confirmed the results of the 2013 reviews and the reliability of the predictors suggested in the 2015 algorithm. However, some important differences were noted:

- Absent pupillary and corneal reflexes achieved 0% FPR consistently only after day 4, rather than after day 3 as in the previous review
- Automated measurement of absent pupillary reflex using pupillometry may enable a more accurate prediction than standard (manual) assessment of pupillary reflex (s-PLR), and it is more reproducible
- The accuracy of NSE was higher at 48–72 h than at 24 h from ROSC
- The low FPR of unreactive EEG background documented in a few of the studies on TTM-treated patients in the 2013 review was not confirmed in the 2020 review
- No consistent definition was found for status epilepticus, a predictor suggested in the 2015 guidelines
- Presence of a suppressed EEG background or burst-suppression predicted poor outcome with very-low FPR, especially when recorded after 24–72 h from ROSC; in the previous reviews, evidence supporting suppression was negligible, and definitions of burst-suppression were heterogeneous
- Several prognostication studies classified EEG according to the Standardized Critical Care EEG Terminology (2012 version) of the American Clinical Neurophysiology Society (ACNS) [404].

The risk of bias for most of the available studies was high. As in previous reviews, a major limitation in most studies was lack of blinding; furthermore, several predictors of poor neurological outcome were used as criteria for WLST. In both cases, this may have resulted in a self-fulfilling prophecy. However, the 2020 review included studies where no WLST was performed, therefore limiting the risk of self-fulfilling prophecy [300, 358, 387, 393, 398]. Predictors assessed in these studies included EEG, SSEPs and brain CT. Based on results of the 2020 review, most of the recommendations included in the 2015 prognostication algorithm remain valid.

Suggested prognostication strategy (Fig. 4)

Prognostic assessment should start with an accurate clinical examination [405]. Its main scope is to confirm that the patient is comatose because of hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury. Clinical examination should be performed daily to detect signs of neurological recovery such as purposeful movements or to identify a clinical picture suggesting impending brain death. The latter may include fixed, dilated pupils, diabetes insipidus and cardiovascular changes suggesting herniation, such as bradycardia associated with hypertension or an otherwise unexplained haemodynamic instability. Brain death occurs in 5–10% of patients who die after cardiac arrest resuscitated with conventional CPR and in about 25% of patients who die after resuscitation with extracorporeal CPR [286]. In most cases, brain death occurs during the first 3–4 days after ROSC. A suggested algorithm for brain death screening after cardiac arrest is shown in Fig. 6. The World Brain Death Project (WBDE) consensus group has published detailed guidance on the determination of brain death after treatment with targeted temperature management (TTM) [406].

In most patients, awakening from coma following cardiac arrest occurs within 3–4 days from ROSC [202, 305]. However, patients who are initially unconscious following cardiac arrest are usually treated with sedatives and neuromuscular blocking drugs to enable targeted
temperature management (TTM), and to facilitate mechanical ventilation and other life support measures. Therefore, to enable a reliable clinical examination, these drugs should be stopped for sufficient time to avoid interference from their effects. The WBDP consensus group recommends that clinical examination be delayed until at least five elimination half-lives of the drug administered with the longest half-life [406]. Although this recommendation has been made in the context of diagnosing brain death, it is equally relevant to prognostic assessment if this is being used to make a WLST decision. Short-acting drugs are preferred whenever possible but even a short-acting drug such as propofol has a half-life of 2.3–4.7 h, which implies the need to stop sedatives for at least 24 h in most cases. This will be much longer if there is renal and/or hepatic impairment or if longer acting drugs have been given. When residual sedation or paralysis is suspected, consider using antidotes to reverse the effects of these drugs. Use caution when administering flumazenil to reverse the effect of benzodiazepines because this may precipitate seizures. Apart from sedation and neuromuscular blockade, other major confounders include hypothermia, severe hypotension, sepsis and metabolic or respiratory derangements.

A poor motor response has a relatively low specificity, but a high sensitivity for prediction of poor neurological outcome after cardiac arrest. Therefore, it can be used to identify patients needing prognostication. An absent or extensor motor response \((M \leq 2)\) of the Glasgow Coma Scale was the entry point of the 2015 prognostication algorithm. However, recent evidence showed that using \(M \leq 3\) as an entry point increases the sensitivity for prediction of poor outcome without reducing specificity [313, 407]. The prognostication strategy described below applies to patients who are comatose with a motor response (\(M\)) equal to or below 3 (abnormal flexion, extension, or nil) at \(\geq 72\) after ROSC. Results of earlier prognostic tests are also considered at this time.

Signs suggesting the potential for recovery should be actively sought. These are often identified early in the clinical course after resuscitation. In a study on 357 comatose survivors of cardiac arrest [338], a benign EEG (continuous, reactive, non-suppressed background without epileptiform discharges) recorded within 24 h from ROSC predicted good neurological outcome with 76 [69–82]% sensitivity and 88 [82–92]% specificity. In 250 patients with indeterminate outcome on day 3 according to the 2015 ERC–ESICM prognostication algorithm presence of a benign EEG was associated with good neurological outcome in 184 cases (positive predictive value 74%) [403]. Among 14 patients who recovered after their outcome was defined as indeterminate in another validation study, the majority had low and decreasing NSE values and all but one had ventricular fibrillation on the initial ECG [313]. Other potentially useful indices of good neurological outcome include absence of diffusion changes on brain MRI and low blood values of neurofilament light chain within 72 h from ROSC [231, 389, 397, 398]. Recent evidence showed that a benign EEG is not associated with the presence of other predictors of poor neurological outcome, especially a bilaterally absent N20 SSEP wave [408–410]. Therefore, when predictors suggesting a potential for recovery coexist with others suggesting a poor outcome, there is a chance that the latter signal is a false positive. We suggest that in this case the results of predictive indices are reassessed, and index tests be repeated if possible.

In a comatose patient with \(M \leq 3\) at \(\geq 72\) h from ROSC, in absence of confounders, poor outcome is likely when two or more of the following predictors are present: no pupillary and corneal reflexes at \(\geq 72\) h, bilaterally absent N20 SSEP wave at \(\geq 24\) h, highly malignant EEG at \(\geq 24\) h, NSE \(> 60 \mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1}\) at 48 h and/or \(72\) h, status myoclonus \(\leq 72\) h, or a diffuse and extensive anoxic injury on brain CT/MRI. Most of these signs can be recorded before \(72\) h from ROSC, however their results will be evaluated only at the time of clinical prognostic assessment. A recent study has shown that a strategy of using \(\geq 2\) predictors had 0 [0–8] % FPR compared with 7 [1–18] % of the 2015 ERC–ESICM stepwise strategy (due to false positives for pupillary light reflexes) [411].

Evidence from both the 2013 and the 2020 reviews showed that a bilaterally absent N20 SSEP wave is the most widely documented predictor of poor outcome and the one most consistently associated with 100% specificity. However, false positive predictions have occasionally been reported. In some of these cases, the cause of a false positive result was an incorrect reading of the SSEP record because of artefacts [411]. Neuromuscular blockade improves readability of SSEPs and it should be considered whenever possible [413].

Pupillary light reflex and corneal reflex are also very specific for poor outcome when bilaterally absent at \(72\) h or more after ROSC. Based on expert opinion, we suggest that both reflexes should be absent at the time of prognostic assessment for them to reliably predict poor outcome. Unlike SSEPs, ocular reflexes are prone to interference from sedation. Corneal reflexes may also be affected by neuromuscular blocking drugs. These confounders should be excluded before ocular reflexes are assessed. Visual evaluation of PLR may be hampered when the pupil size is less than 6 mm [308]. Limited evidence shows that in resuscitated comatose patients automated pupillometry is more sensitive than s-PLR in detecting pupil response to light when pupil size is small, which reduces the risk of false positive results [310].
Unlike s-PLR, automated pupillometry delivers a stimulating light source with standard characteristics (intensity, duration, and distance from the eye) and measures pupillary response quantitatively, which ensures reproducibility. For this reason, we suggest detecting the absence of PLR with a pupillometer, if available.

Status myoclonus is a prolonged period of myoclonic jerks. Although there is no universal definition for status myoclonus, based on our previous definition [1] we suggest that, in comatose survivors of cardiac arrest, status myoclonus should be defined as a continuous and generalised myoclonus persisting for 30 min or more. In the 2020 review informing the present guidelines, status myoclonus was documented in two studies, one of which used a definition comparable to that given above. In total, among 113 patients showing this sign, there was only one false positive result. Aside from duration and continuity, other clinical features of myoclonus suggest poor outcome. These include a generalised (vs. focal), axial (vs. distal), or stereotyped (vs. variable) distribution. Conversely, some EEG features, such as a continuous or reactive background or presence of spike-wave discharges synchronised with the myoclonic jerks indicate a potential for good outcome [181]. We suggest recording an EEG in patients with post-arrest status myoclonus, in order both to identify an associated epileptiform activity and to detect signs associated with potential recovery.

Among unfavourable EEG patterns, those more consistently associated with poor neurological outcome are suppression and burst suppression. According to the ACNS, a suppressed EEG background is defined as >99% of activity having a voltage less than 10 μV, while burst-suppression is defined as 50–99% of the record consisting of suppression, alternated with bursts. In the 2013 reviews, definitions of these patterns were inconsistent. We suggest using the ACNS terminology when assessing these patterns for prognostication, in order to ensure an unequivocal identification [187]. During the first 12–24 h after ROSC, both these patterns have a greater prevalence, but also a higher risk of false positive prediction. Confounding from sedatives used to facilitate TTM may contribute to this. We suggest using these EEG patterns for prognostication only after 24 h from ROSC. Absence of EEG background reactivity has an inconsistent specificity for poor neurological outcome and we no longer recommend using it for this purpose.

High blood NSE values are a sign of neuronal cell damage and have long been recommended as a predictor of poor neurological outcome after cardiac arrest [414]. However, there is still uncertainty about what are the optimal timings and thresholds. Evidence from our review showed that, while prediction with 0% FPR can be achieved anytime from 24 h to 7 days after ROSC, the sensitivity of an individual NSE measurement for prediction of poor neurological outcome with 0% FPR is highest at 48–72 h after ROSC [15]. However, our review confirmed that the NSE threshold value for 0% FPR is inconsistent because of a few patients with good neurological outcome despite very-high NSE values. The presence of these outliers can be partly explained with a release of NSE from extracerebral sources, such as red blood cells or neuroendocrine tumours. Repeated blood sampling and careful exclusion of extracerebral sources is recommended when using NSE for neuroprognostication. Another cause of variability for the NSE thresholds is represented by the different measurement techniques used [381]. In our 2020 review, the highest recorded NSE thresholds for 0% FPR at 48 and 72 h from ROSC were 120 μg L\(^{-1}\) and 79 μg L\(^{-1}\), respectively. However, these data refer to outliers, and in most studies the 0% FPR threshold was 60 μg L\(^{-1}\) and 50 μg L\(^{-1}\), respectively. Based on these data, we presume that the risk of a false positive prediction associated with an NSE value of 60 μg L\(^{-1}\) is minimal, especially because the NSE signal should be confirmed by at least another predictor. Nevertheless, we suggest that hospital laboratories using NSE create their own normal values and cut-off levels based on the test kit used. Increasing NSE values between 24 and 48 h or between 24/48 h and 72 h also suggests a poor outcome even if the incremental prognostic value of adding NSE trends to a single NSE value is uncertain [15, 375, 379]. We suggest performing serial NSE samples at 24, 48 and 72 h after ROSC so that NSE trends can be detected and confounding from occasional haemolysis can be minimised.

Signs of diffuse and extensive hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury on brain CT include an effacement of cortical sulci and reduced ventricle size (mainly from vasogenic oedema) and a reduced density of the grey matter with reduction or loss of the grey matter/white matter (GM/WM) interface due to cytotoxic oedema. In the review informing these guidelines, the first sign was evaluated qualitatively in one study [394], based on visual inspection from a neuroradiologist, while most studies assessed the reduced GM/WM interface as the ratio of the densities of the grey matter and the white matter (GWR) measured in Hounsfield units. This was generally done within 2 h from ROSC, but some studies assessed GWR within 24 h [301, 386], and one within 72 h [388]. As for other predictors based on continuous variables, the GWR thresholds for 0% FPR varied across studies, presumably because of variations in the methods for GWR calculation, or in the software or scanner’ characteristics [15].

Hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury reduces water diffusivity, which appears on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) as a hyperintensity on diffusion weighted imaging
(DWI) with corresponding low apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values. In severe hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury, hyperintensity on DWI involves the cerebral cortex extensively and the basal ganglia. Measurement of ADC enables a quantitative assessment of the severity of diffusion changes. In studies on prognostication after cardiac arrest, three methods for ADC measurement were described: the mean global or regional ADC value of the brain [401], the proportion of voxels with low ADC [402] and the maximum size of the MRI clusters with minimum ADC [400]. All these studies identified ADC thresholds for 0% FPR, often with a corresponding high sensitivity. However, these thresholds were inconsistent across different areas of the brain within the same study and the same technique.

Because of the lack of standardisation in measurement methods and the lack of multicentre validation studies using comparable measurement techniques, we suggest that predictive indices based on neuroimaging are used only in places where specific experience is available. We also suggest that centres using neuroimaging for prognostication after cardiac arrest create their own normal values and threshold values based on the technique used.

When none of the criteria for poor outcome described above are present, neurological outcome remains indeterminate (Fig. 4). We, therefore, suggest observing and re-evaluating patients with indeterminate outcome over time in order to detect signs of awakening. In three studies conducted in resuscitated comatose patients treated with TTM for 24 h, the prevalence of late awakening, defined as a recovery of consciousness at ≥48 h from suspension of sedation was 20/89 (22%) [415], 56/194 (29%) [305] and 78/228 (34%) [204]. Last awakening occurred on day 11, day 12 and day 23 from suspension of sedation, respectively. In two other studies, the last patient awoke on day 22 and day 29 [403, 416]. Organ dysfunction, such as post-resuscitation shock or renal failure [204, 305] and use of midazolam instead of propofol for sedation [204, 265] were associated with a higher likelihood of late awakening, which suggests that at least some of these cases may have been due to a reduced clearance of sedation. In a before-and-after study comparing two sedative regimens (propofol–remifentanil versus midazolam–fentanyl) in 460 comatose resuscitated patients undergoing TTM, use of propofol–remifentanil was associated with significantly lower odds of delayed awakening after adjustment [OR 0.08 (0.03–0.2)] [305], confirming indirect evidence from a previous smaller study [264].

Late awakening does not preclude full neurological outcome are generally lower in late vs. early awakeners [204, 305, 416].

The present guidelines apply only to neurological prognostication. Besides hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury, other, albeit less common, causes of death in resuscitated comatose patients include cardiovascular instability [23], and multiple organ failure [303, 304]. These factors may result in treatment limitations independently from the patient’s neurological status or cause non-neurological death even after neurological recovery has occurred [295, 307, 417]. In clinical practice, a comprehensive prognostic approach in resuscitated comatose patients should inevitably consider the role of extracerebral factors as well as patient characteristics such as age, comorbidities, and functional status.

**Withdrawal of life-sustaining therapy**

While a minority of the resuscitated patients treated in an ICU die during the first few days due to cardiovascular collapse or massive brain swelling causing brain death, most deaths will be secondary to a decision to withdraw life-sustaining therapy (WLST) [22, 23, 26, 303]. Generally, a presumption that the final neurological outcome of the patient will be poor is central to this decision [26]. Pre-existing co-morbidities may also contribute to a WLST decision [22]. The clinical team discussing the prognosis of an individual patient need to consider that inaccurately pessimistic prognostication could lead to WLST in patients who might otherwise achieve a good functional outcome but also that overly conservative prognostication could leave patients in a severely disabled state undesired by themselves and their relatives [418]. Patients may not receive specific treatments because they are not available, or because there is an active decision to withhold them. The main reasons for withholding treatments are that they will not benefit the patient or, if known, the patient’s wishes not to have a specific treatment [418, 419]. There are few specific data on withholding life sustaining therapies in post-cardiac arrest patients specifically.

The practice of WLST varies widely across Europe and impacts the proportion of CA-patients surviving with severe brain injury (CPC 3–4). Lacking high-quality data, this fraction appears to vary widely from approximately 10–50% [243, 300, 417]. The most apparent effects are seen for patients who remain in an unresponsive wakefulness/vegetative state (CPC 4). As an example, 1/243 (0.4%) survivors in a northern European study [243] compared with 61/195 (31%) in an Italian multi-centre study [300] were in CPC 4 at 6 months. Evidence for variation in WLST practice across Europe was also found in the Ethicus Study: physicians from southern Europe were less prone to withdraw treatment.
compared with those from northern Europe, and there was also an effect of religion [420]. The Ethicus-2 Study has shown that the frequency of WLST and withholding decisions among general ICU patients has increased over the past 15–20 years [421].

Recent studies, based on propensity score matching, indicate that premature (<72 h) WLST for neurological reasons are common and may be the cause of death for a substantial proportion of patients who might have recovered to a good outcome if their intensive care treatment had been prolonged [422, 423]. The brain stem is more resistant to hypoxic–ischaemic injury than the cerebrum and the recovery of functions such as spontaneous breathing and sleep–wake cycle is part of the trajectory towards an unresponsive wakefulness/vegetative syndrome. The period when the patient is still dependent on intensive care is sometimes referred to as the ‘window of opportunity for death’ [424]. This perception may cause a sense of urgency for the relatives and treating team indirectly impacting decisions on premature WLST [425, 426]. One qualitative study identified limitations in family-team communication as an important factor for premature WLST after cardiac arrest [426]. Caregivers’ inappropriate avoidance of uncertainty may also be important, leading to overly pessimistic perceptions of the prognosis [427].

Although some tests show high specificity for predicting a poor outcome before 72 h, we recommend that, in general, conclusions about the neurological prognosis are postponed until at least 72 h after the cardiac arrest and the influence of sedative and metabolic factors have been ruled out. This will enable most patients with good outcome to awaken before the prognostic assessment, decreasing the risk of false predictions [265]. We encourage local protocols on how to collect information about the extent of brain injury during the first days. Use all available resources to inform a multimodal assessment [9, 15]. Relatives will require regular clear and structured information and an understanding of their role in decision-making. Early indicators of poor prognosis may be conveyed in a balanced fashion to inform relatives that the situation is grave and enable time for adjustment before critical decisions are made. The bedside nurses are confronted by grieving caregivers, which may be very stressful [426]. Allocate sufficient time for communication around the prognosis within the team and with the relatives [428].

While the assessment of post-cardiac arrest neurological prognosis and discussions about WLST are most often linked, try to separate these processes in discussions and documentation. Decisions about WLST need to consider several aspects other than the perceived brain injury; for example, age, co-morbidities and the prognosis for general organ function [22]. Consequently, for ethical reasons, WLST may be considered for patients in whom the neurological prognosis is uncertain or even favourable. Conversely, intensive care may be prolonged despite dismal neurological prognosis because absolute certainty is unobtainable for an individual patient [429]. The patient’s preferences are central. Since the patient cannot be asked and advance directives are rare among cardiac arrest victims, the relatives are usually the primary source of information about the patient’s likely wishes.

Long-term outcome after cardiac arrest

Long-term outcome

In countries where WLST is not practiced widely, poor outcome because of hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury is common [387, 430]. The prognosis of patients who are still comatose or in an unresponsive wakefulness state 1 month after the cardiac arrest is poor and they rarely recover [430, 431]. In contrast, in countries practising WLST, the majority of survivors are defined as having a ‘good’ neurological outcome based on global outcome measures such as Cerebral Performance Categories (CPC), modified Rankin Scale (mRS) or the Glasgow Outcome Scale/Extended (GOS/E) [290, 412, 432–434]. However, these measures are not sufficiently sensitive to capture the problems that many of the survivors experience, including cognitive, emotional and physical problems and fatigue [435–437]. In fact, approximately 40–50% of the survivors have long-term cognitive impairments [229, 438, 439]. Impairments are mostly mild to moderate and, although all cognitive domains can be affected, most problems are seen in memory, attention, processing speed and executive functioning (e.g. planning, organisation, initiation, flexibility) [229, 435, 438–440]. In general, most cognitive recovery occurs during the first 3 months after the cardiac arrest [441–443].

Emotional problems are also common. 3–6 months after the cardiac arrest anxiety is present in 15–30% of the survivors and remains in 15–23% at 12 months [444–446]. Depressive symptoms range from 13 to 32% at 3–6 months and decrease to 5–15% at 12 months [444–447]. Symptoms of post-traumatic stress remain in about a quarter of the survivors [436, 444, 447, 448]. Furthermore, some survivors show behavioural problems, such as aggressive/uninhibited behaviour or emotional lability [439].

Fatigue is also frequently reported and is present in approximately 70% of the survivors at 6 months and remains in half of the survivors 1 year after the event [444, 449, 450]. Physical problems, including rib fractures, muscle weakness and ambulation difficulties, have also been reported [437, 444, 451, 452]. However,
the impact of survival on physical function has received little attention; when compared with age and gender-matched populations, reduced physical functioning has been reported in survivors at 3 months [437], 6 months [452], 12 months [434] and 3 years [451]. Almost half of survivors report limitations because of physical difficulties at 6 months [452], with up to 40% describing mobility problems [434, 439, 444, 454] and limitations in usual activities at 12 months [434, 444, 454].

After discharge, most survivors are able to return home and only a small percentage (1–10%) need to be admitted to a long-term care facility [444, 454, 455]. The large majority (82–91%) are independent in their basic activities of daily living (ADL) [228, 438, 451, 454]. Although most survivors are able to resume their pre-arrest activities, they experience more restrictions in societal participation compared with myocardial infarction patients [444, 450]. Cognitive impairments, depression, fatigue and restricted mobility are negative predictors for future participation [450].

Of those who were previously working, 63–85% are able to return to work, although some need to adapt their working hours or activities [434, 444, 450, 451, 454, 456–458]. Decreased likelihood of return to work is associated with cognitive problems and fatigue, unwatched OHCA, absence of bystander CPR, female gender, higher age and lower socio-economic status [450, 453, 456–458].

Cognitive impairments, emotional problems and female gender are associated with a lower quality of life [434, 442, 452, 453, 459–464]. However, general health-related quality of life is, on average, reported as good with overall scores approaching normal population values, as was shown in two systematic reviews and confirmed in several more recent studies [228, 434, 454, 465, 466]. Such generic assessments lack sufficient granularity to comprehensively capture the breadth of problems experienced by survivors, with the result that the impact of cardiac arrest survival may be incompletely captured [290]. Supplementing such generic assessment with condition or problem-specific assessment is recommended [290].

More detailed information on recovery and long-term outcome after cardiac arrest, as well as a description of the current rehabilitation practices in Europe can be found in the epidemiology section of the 2021 European Resuscitation Council Guidelines [467].

**In-hospital assessment and follow-up after hospital discharge**

*Early rehabilitation and assessment during hospital phase*

There are no studies of early rehabilitation interventions for cardiac arrest survivors specifically but there is substantial overlap with the post-intensive care syndrome (PICS). For other ICU patients, interventions of early mobilisation and prevention of delirium are described, and similar interventions are thought to be useful for cardiac arrest patients as well [437, 468–470]. Recommendations in the UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines for rehabilitation after critical illness suggest that individualised rehabilitation plans and information should be provided before discharge from the ICU and the hospital, based on functional assessments of physical and non-physical (e.g. cognitive and emotional) impairments [471]. However, a recent AHA Scientific Statement focusing on survivorship highlights that discharge planning and organisation of further rehabilitation needs after cardiac arrest is often lacking [437].

We, therefore, recommend providing information and performing functional assessments of physical and non-physical impairments before discharge from the hospital to identify potential rehabilitation needs and arrange referral for rehabilitation if indicated (Fig. 5).

*Follow-up and screening after hospital discharge*

Although cognitive impairments, emotional problems and fatigue are common after cardiac arrest, these ‘invisible problems’ are not always recognised by healthcare professionals [442, 450, 453, 457, 464]. Since these problems have a significant impact on long-term outcome and quality of life, follow-up should be organised in such a way that these problems are detected early enabling appropriate care or rehabilitation to be arranged [472–474].

Evidence on this subject is scarce but results from one RCT showed that an early intervention service for cardiac arrest survivors and their caregivers improved emotional well-being and quality of life, resulted in a faster return to work and was cost-effective [475, 476]. This individualised programme is provided by a specialised nurse, starts soon after discharge from the hospital and comprises one to six consultations during the first 3 months. The intervention consists of screening for cognitive and emotional problems, provision of information and support and referral to further specialised care if indicated [477, 478]. There are several other examples of how follow-up after cardiac arrest can be organised [474, 479, 480]. UK NICE guidelines for rehabilitation after critical illness likewise recommend a follow-up and reassessment for physical and non-physical problems 2–3 months after discharge to enable identification of remaining problems and to provide further support as needed [471]. For cardiac arrest survivors, reassessments have also been suggested at 3, 6 and 12 months [437].

We, therefore, suggest the systematic follow-up of all cardiac arrest survivors within 3 months following...
hospital discharge which should, at least, include cognitive screening, screening for emotional problems and fatigue and the provision of information and support for patients and their family (Fig. 5).

**Screening for cognitive problems**

To screen for cognition, the patient can be asked about common cognitive complaints, such as memory problems, attention difficulties, distractibility, slowness in thinking, irritability and problems in initiation, planning, multi-tasking or flexibility. Family members can also provide useful insight into changes in cognition and behaviour. A structured questionnaire, such as the Informant Questionnaire of Cognitive decline in the Elderly—Cardiac Arrest version (IQCODE-CA) or the Checklist Cognition and Emotion (CLCE-24), may be used [481, 482]. Formal cognitive screening is recommended because patients are not always aware of their cognitive impairments [443, 472, 483]. We suggest use of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) tool, which takes approximately 10 min to administer, is easy to use and available in many languages (see www.mocatess.org) [480, 483–485]. If there are signs of cognitive impairment, consider referral to a neuropsychologist for more extensive neuropsychological assessment or another specialist in cognitive rehabilitation, such as an occupational therapist, should be considered [486].

**Screening for emotional problems and fatigue**

To screen for emotional problems, the presence of emotional symptoms, including symptoms of anxiety, depression and posttraumatic stress, can be explored. Questionnaires, such as the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), may be useful [437, 473, 480, 487]. If severe emotional problems are detected we suggest referral to a psychologist or psychiatrist for further evaluation and treatment. We also suggest assessing the presence of fatigue; however, assessment guidance in this population is currently lacking. In case of severe fatigue consider referral to a specialist in rehabilitation medicine for advice on appropriate care.

**Provision of information and support for survivor and family members**

Exploring the need for and subsequent provision of appropriate information to patients and their family, preferably both in oral and written form, is recommended [488]. The active engagement of survivors and their family members to better understand their needs and how they would like to receive such information, is recommended as part of this process [437]. Information should cover not only medical subjects such as cardiac disease, risk factors, medication and ICD, but can also address other topics such as potential physical, cognitive and emotional changes and fatigue, resuming daily activities, driving and work, relationship and sexuality [477, 488–491].

It is also important to monitor the well-being of family members because the impact and burden can be substantial [490, 492]. Partners often have emotional problems, including symptoms of anxiety and posttraumatic stress, especially in women and those who witnessed the resuscitation [493, 494]. Consider referral to a social worker, psychologist or psychiatrist when indicated.

**Rehabilitation after cardiac arrest**

**In-patient neurological rehabilitation**

In the presence of significant hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury, patients may require inpatient neurological rehabilitation and, although the evidence is limited, several small retrospective studies have shown that functional improvements can be achieved, reducing the burden of care on the family and society [495–497]. Although specific guidelines and evidence for neurological rehabilitation after cardiac arrest is lacking, there is more evidence and multiple clinical practice guidelines for other types of acquired brain injury such as traumatic brain injury and stroke which can guide the treatment of patients with hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury due to cardiac arrest [498–500]. These guidelines provide practical recommendations on topics such as motor function, physical rehabilitation, cognition, communication, activities of daily living and psychosocial issues. Guidelines on rehabilitation after critical illness/post-intensive care syndrome (PICS) can also be useful [471, 501–503].

**Cardiac rehabilitation**

Many cardiac arrest survivors are eligible to enrol in a cardiac rehabilitation programme [504]. There is evidence that cardiac rehabilitation reduces cardiovascular mortality and hospital admissions, improves quality of life, and is cost-effective [504–507]. Cardiac rehabilitation programmes are mostly generic programmes, in which patients with different cardiac diseases, e.g. postacute coronary syndrome, heart failure or post cardiac surgery, can participate. It involves exercise training, risk factor management, lifestyle advice, education and psychological support [505]. Cardiac rehabilitation is frequently offered as a centre-based out-patient service, but can also be organised in a home-based setting in combination with telemonitoring [508]. In specific cases it can be provided as an inpatient programme [505]. Not all cardiac arrest survivors are eligible for or have access to cardiac rehabilitation, either because of the cause of the cardiac arrest or because of variation in national or insurance policies [509].
Within cardiac rehabilitation programmes little attention is paid to potential cognitive problems. Among cardiac patients in general, cognitive and emotional problems have not been addressed well in cardiac rehabilitation programmes [510–512]. For cardiac arrest survivors, there are some examples in which cardiac and cognitive rehabilitation have been integrated, although evidence of effects is still lacking [474, 480].

**Cognitive rehabilitation, fatigue management and psychosocial interventions**

The goal of cognitive rehabilitation is to reduce the impact of cognitive impairments and to improve overall well-being and daily functioning [513]. It can include additional neuropsychological assessment to get more insight into the nature and severity of the cognitive impairments and other influencing factors. Extensive patient education is essential to give the patient and their family more insight into what has changed in their cognition and behaviour. Compensation strategies, such as memory strategy training and metacognitive strategy training (e.g. self-monitoring, self-regulation and planning ahead) and the use of external (memory) aids may be helpful [486]. Although there are no specific studies on the effects of cognitive rehabilitation in patients with brain injury caused by cardiac arrest, a recent evidence-based review on cognitive rehabilitation after stroke and traumatic brain injury, can serve as a guideline [486].

Fatigue management can be included in cognitive rehabilitation or provided alone [514, 515]. There is weak evidence that a 4-week telephone intervention, based on energy conservation and problem-solving therapy, can be of benefit for cardiac arrest survivors with moderate to severe fatigue [516, 517].

There is also evidence that psychosocial interventions specifically designed for cardiac arrest survivors can be valuable. Two RCTs showed benefit from nurse-led psychosocial interventions, either by telephone or face-to-face [518, 519]. These interventions addressed self-management, coping strategies, relaxation, information and health education [519, 520].

There are currently no studies on the effectiveness of social support networks or virtual/online forums, but these may have additional value as a new and easily accessible form of psychosocial support and information after cardiac arrest [437].

**Organ donation**

Comatose post cardiac arrest patients who do not survive have the potential to become organ donors. This is important as demand for organs exceeds supply [521]. Post cardiac arrest patients are an increasing source of solid organ donors [522]. This guideline supports giving the opportunity for organ donation to patients and families when brain death occurs or there is a decision to withdraw life sustaining treatment.

This guideline specifically addresses the organ donation pathways following neurological (brain) death or controlled donation after circulatory death (Maastricht category III donors) in patients that achieve ROSC or are treated with E-CPR [523] (Fig. 6). Uncontrolled donation after circulatory death uDCD (Maastricht category I/II donors) is addressed in the Advanced Life Support section of the guidelines [523].

A previous 2015 ILCOR CoSTR and an ILCOR Scientific Statement on organ donation following CPR underpin this guideline [122]. Recent CPR should not prevent organ donation. Observational studies show that organs (heart, lung, kidney, liver, pancreas, intestine) from donors who have had CPR have similar graft survival rates compared with donors who have not had CPR [524, 525].

A systematic review identified 26 studies that showed the prevalence of brain death in comatose ventilated patients with hypoxic–ischaemic brain injury who died following CPR was 12.6% (95% CI 10.2–15.2%) with a higher prevalence following eCPR [27.9% (19.7–36.6%) vs. 8.3% (6.5–10.4%)] and that approximately 40% of these proceeded to organ donation [286]. The median time to diagnose brain death was 3.2 days. This systematic review concluded that patients who are unconscious after resuscitation from cardiac arrest, especially when resuscitated using e-CPR, should be assessed for signs of brain death.

Furthermore, in those who do not fulfil criteria for neurological death, WLST because of a poor neurological prognosis is a common cause of death. After OHCA, approximately two-thirds of deaths will be following WLST because of a poor neurological prognosis [22, 23]. This group of patients provides an increasing source of donors following controlled donation after circulatory death [526].

There is variation between countries regarding organ donation practices and clinicians must follow local legal and ethical requirements.

**Investigating sudden unexplained cardiac arrest**

Many sudden cardiac death victims have silent structural heart disease, most often coronary artery disease, but also primary arrhythmia syndromes, cardiomyopathies, familial hypercholesterolaemia and premature ischaemic heart disease. In the course of an autopsy of victims of sudden unexplained death (SUD), blood or tissue samples should be taken and stored for future genetic analysis [527]. Screening for genetic disorders is crucial for primary prevention in relatives as it may enable
preventive antiarrhythmic treatment and medical follow-up [528–530]. A multidisciplinary cardiogenetic team should perform the family investigation. Initial evaluation may include clinical examination, electrophysiology and cardiac imaging. A genetic test should be considered according to the combination the results of cardiac family screening and pathology findings. The genetic test should be performed initially on the DNA of the deceased and testing of relatives should then be offered if a pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant is identified [527, 531]. Given the implications for relatives, there may be local ethical guidelines for genetic testing.

Cardiac arrest centres
There is wide variation among hospitals in the availability and type of post resuscitation care, as well as clinical outcomes [532–534]. Cardiac arrest centres are hospitals providing evidence-based resuscitation treatments including emergency interventional cardiology, and bundled critical care with targeted temperature management, and protocolised cardiorespiratory support and prognostication [122, 207]. An expert consensus paper published by the Association of Acute Cardiovascular Care (ACVA), and many other European organisations including the ERC and ESICM, states that the minimum requirements for a cardiac arrest centre are 24/7 availability of an on-site coronary angiography laboratory, an emergency department, an intensive care unit (ICU), imaging facilities, such as echocardiography, computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging [16].

ILCOR suggests that wherever possible, adult patients with non-traumatic OHCA cardiac arrest should be cared for in cardiac arrest centres [17]. This weak recommendation is based on very-low-certainty evidence from a systematic review that included 21 observational studies [535–555] and 1 pilot randomised trial [556]. Seventeen of these studies were included in a meta-analysis that found that patients cared for at cardiac arrest centres had improved survival to hospital discharge with favourable neurological outcome, but this was non-significant at 30 days [535–541, 545–552, 554, 555].

One observational study reported higher adjusted patient survival associated with direct transfer to a cardiac arrest centre compared with secondary interfacility transfer [552], but two other studies making the same comparisons report no difference in adjusted survival [536, 541]. One observational study reported higher adjusted survival in patients who underwent secondary transfer to a cardiac arrest centre compared with remaining at the initial non-cardiac arrest centre [550].
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