University of Groningen

Thinking Apart and Together

Thelken, Hendrik

DOI:
[10.33612/diss.177424247](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.177424247)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2021

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Thelken, H. (2021). *Thinking Apart and Together: the role of cognition in managing multiple goals in sustainable enterprises*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen. <https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.177424247>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

university of
 groningen

campus fryslân

centre for sustainable entrepreneurship

Thinking Apart and Together:

The role of cognition in managing multiple
goals in sustainable enterprises

Hendrik Niklas Thelken

Hendrik Niklas Thelken

Thinking Apart and Together: The role of cognition in managing multiple goals in sustainable enterprises

Dissertation, University of Groningen, Campus Fryslân, Centre for Sustainable Entrepreneurship, Leeuwarden, the Netherlands (2021)

Graphic design cover and inside: Hendrik Niklas Thelken

Photo cover: Hendrik Niklas Thelken

Printed by GVO Drukkers & Vormgevers, Ede

Copyright © 2021 by Hendrik Niklas Thelken. All rights reserved. No parts of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the author.

university of
 groningen

Thinking Apart and Together:

The role of cognition in managing multiple goals in sustainable enterprises

PhD thesis

to obtain the degree of PhD at the
University of Groningen
on the authority of the
Rector Magnificus Prof. C. Wijmenga
and in accordance with
the decision by the College of Deans.

This thesis will be defended in public on

Thursday 26 August 2021 at 11.00 hours

by

Hendrik Thelken

born on 28 June 1993
in Barßel, Germany

Supervisor

Prof. G. de Jong

Co-supervisor

Dr. A.B. Ünal

Assessment Committee

Prof. J.M.L. van Engelen

Prof. J. Hoppmann

Prof. J.C. Brezet

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This book represents not only the end of my PhD journey but also the end of an important chapter in my life, in which many great people supported me in many different ways. Therefore, I would like to dedicate the first pages of this thesis to these people and thank them for their support and friendship.

First of all, I would like to thank my supervisors Gjalt and Berfu. I know that these past four years have been very hectic and tumultuous for the both of you. Nevertheless, you always managed to find time to chat, discuss, brainstorm and give feedback. Thank you!

Gjalt, thank you for making my PhD possible. Even though I only had very rough initial research ideas, you gave me the time and trust to explore where I would like to go with my research. You always supported me in my ideas and were always eager to brainstorm and I could feel the enthusiasm you had for my ideas. This really gave me the confidence and trust in myself to develop as a researcher. But you also always had an eye on my personal development and made sure that I found the right balance between academic and personal needs. Here I should also mention that I would like to thank you for introducing me to Frisian football culture!

Berfu, thank you for your constant academic, personal and also emotional support. From the minute we started working together, I could feel your positive energy. No matter what, you always have such a positive attitude, which really helped me to stay optimistic and motivated during my research. I always left our meetings with a smile! I also appreciate that you offered a different and critical view on my research ideas and helped me to really sharpen them. Without the countless brainstorming sessions, your input on how to best integrate psychology and sustainable entrepreneurship, and of course your invaluable feedback on conceptualizations, this PhD would not have been possible.

I want to also thank Prof. Han Brezet, Prof. Jo van Engelen and Prof. Jörn Hoppmann as the members of the reading committee for taking the time to critically read this thesis and providing important feedback for the further improvement of my research.

Doing research in the social sciences means that you are doing research about people, but most importantly you are doing research collaborating with people. I would therefore like to thank all the people who took the time to provide their insights, feedback or inspiration for my research projects. Your contributions have been invaluable and you really inspired me to pursue not only this research but to strive for a more sustainable future. A special thank you goes to the Management Research Group at the University of Oldenburg. I am very happy and grateful to have been able to work with you for a few months. Another special thank you goes to Arjen Boekhold, who provided valuable feedback for two of the empirical chapters in this thesis, which really helped to shape my ideas on the concepts and methods.

And, I would of course like to thank all the amazing people at Campus Fryslân, who are unfortunately too many to name them all. When I started my PhD in 2017, basically all the staff fit

around a single table. I am truly happy to say that while the faculty grew, this personal and familial feeling never left. I always felt right at home working at the faculty and I am truly grateful that I was given the chance to work with such a diverse group of people who are nevertheless all passionate about a common goal. This really gave me a feeling of shared purpose. I am very curious to see all the amazing things happening at the faculty in the coming years!

Not to forget, I would like to thank my fellow PhDs at Campus Frsylvân, who were not only my colleagues but also became my friends. I am really grateful I got to know all of you and you made the PhD life so much more fun! Thank you for all the events on and off Campus, all the occasional chats and coffee meetings, the activities organized by the amazing social club and the constant support and openness to discuss any issues throughout my PhD.

A special thank you goes to Femke, Angela, Manon and Margo, who not only shared an attic office with me in the early days of my PhD, but also left their mark on every chapter of this thesis through their feedback, constructive criticism and being always available for brainstorming.

Femke, thank you that I could always rely on you. It did not matter if I needed help with data collection, had questions on methodologies or simply needed a coffee break, you were always available and had an open ear. Thank you!

Angela, I feel like you are the perfect counter-part to my “Germaness”. Thank you for sharing my sense of humor and bringing a wonderful amount of chaos and shenanigans into my PhD life, which made the days in the attic office so much more fun. Also thank you for being there for me when I needed it, even if it meant helping me bake a cake the night before my birthday so I did not have to disappoint my colleagues.

Margo, I am grateful to have had you along during my PhD journey because I always felt we are on the same wavelength and you understood the struggles I had. Thank you for countless feedback sessions and countless hours spend analyzing interview data with me. I am happy to have shared authorship with you for two papers and I am grateful for all your music and exercise suggestions which brought much needed distractions into the PhD routine.

Manon, you were the first of my future fellow PhDs I met back in 2016. Since then, I have gotten to know you as a really inspiring and helpful person and friend. You never shied away from challenging my research and were also always the first to offer help and feedback. This really pushed my research for the better and I am immensely grateful for it. Also you joined me on countless train rides from and back to Groningen, which made the commute so much more entertaining!

Finally, I would like to thank my friends and family. To my friends, both near and far: thank you for giving me a break from PhD life, for taking me on trips and adventures and for giving me a call and support when I needed it. To my girlfriend: thank you for being my safe haven and thank you for always being there for me through the ups but most importantly also the downs. And to my

parents: ich bin euch unendlich dankbar, dass ihr immer an mich geglaubt und mich unterstützt habt. Ihr wisst, dass das hier nicht ohne euch möglich gewesen wäre!

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF APPENDICES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	xii
CHAPTER 1	1
1.1 Introduction	2
1.2 Sustainable Entrepreneurship	4
1.3 Sustainable entrepreneurial cognition.....	7
1.3.1 The relevance of individual-level factors to understand sustainable entrepreneurial cognition and behavior.....	7
1.3.2 Sustainable entrepreneurial cognition and goal conflicts	8
1.3.3 (Philosophical) foundations of paradox	10
1.3.4 A paradox lens as a tool to describe goal conflict in sustainable entrepreneurship	12
1.4 Problem statement and research aim.....	13
1.5 Outline of this dissertation.....	16
CHAPTER 2	21
2.1 Introduction	22
2.2 Theory and hypotheses.....	23
2.2.1 CFC as a measurement of future orientation	23
2.2.2 Personality Traits as precursors of CFC.....	25
2.2.3 Hypotheses	26
2.3 Method.....	29
2.3.1 Data and Sample	29
2.3.2 Measures and Control Variables.....	29
2.3.3 Procedure	30
2.4 Findings.....	31
2.4.1 Factor analysis of consideration of future consequences	31
2.4.2 Sample characteristics.....	34
2.4.3 Regression results	36
2.5 Robustness checks.....	38
2.6 General discussion.....	38
2.6.1 Sustainable entrepreneurship literature.....	39

2.7 Limitations.....	41
2.8 Conclusion.....	41
CHAPTER 3	48
3.1 Introduction	49
3.2 Literature and hypotheses.....	50
3.2.1 The process of intention formation	50
3.2.2 Intention formation in sustainable entrepreneurship	52
3.3 Data and method.....	57
3.3.1 Research design.....	57
3.3.2 Participants	57
3.3.3 Measures.....	58
3.4 Results	61
3.4.1 Alternative model specification	64
3.5 Discussion	65
3.5.1 Practical implications.....	67
3.5.2 Limitations and future research	68
3.6 Conclusion.....	69
CHAPTER 4	73
4.1 Introduction	74
4.2 Literature review	75
4.2.1 Tensions between sustainability and economic performance	75
4.2.2 Paradoxical mindset as a tool to manage tensions in sustainable entrepreneurship	76
4.3 Method.....	78
4.3.1 Research setting and sampling	78
4.3.2 Data collection	80
4.3.3 Data analysis	81
4.4 Results	82
4.4.1 Categorization of experienced tensions.....	82
4.4.2 Cognitive strategies for managing tensions in sustainable enterprises.....	86
4.4.3 Acceptance as a cognitive strategy to approach product development tensions	88
4.4.4 Embracement as a cognitive strategy to approach performance tensions	89
4.5 Discussion	90
4.5.1 Mission confidence and cognitive management strategies	91
4.5.2 Perception and (goal) framing of tensions	92
4.6 Limitations and Future Research	94

4.7 Conclusion.....	96
CHAPTER 5	98
5.1 Introduction	99
5.2 Literature review	101
5.2.1 Sustainable entrepreneurship and paradoxical framing.....	101
5.2.2 Hypotheses	102
5.3 Methodology	105
5.3.1 Participants	105
5.3.2 Experimental procedure	106
5.3.3 Measures.....	107
5.3.4 Analysis.....	108
5.4 Results	109
5.5 Discussion	113
5.5.1 Practical Implications	117
5.5.2 Limitations and future research	118
5.6 Conclusion.....	119
CHAPTER 6	127
6.1 Introduction	128
6.2 Summary of the findings	129
6.2.1 The Influence of the Big Five Personality Traits on Consideration of Future Consequences (Chapter 2)	130
6.2.2 The Impact of Values and Future Orientation on Intention Formation within Sustainable Entrepreneurship (Chapter 3).....	131
6.2.3 Cognitive Strategies to Resolve Paradoxical Tensions in Sustainable Enterprises (Chapter 4).....	132
6.2.4 The paradox of sustainability and profitability: mission confidence and paradoxical mindset (Chapter 5)	133
6.3 Main conclusion.....	134
6.4 Theoretical contributions	136
6.5 Practical contributions	140
6.6 Limitations and future research agenda	143
6.7 Concluding remarks	145
BIBLIOGRAPHY	146
NEDERLANDSE SAMENVATTING.....	166
ABOUT THE AUTHOR	172

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1 Research model	56
Figure 3.2 Summary of study results.....	63
Figure 3.3 Summary of study results with alternative model specification	64
Figure 4.1 Cognitive strategies to deal with paradoxical tensions in different development stages of the company	88
Figure 5.1 Conceptual model	105
Figure 5.2 Average marginal effects of mission confidence with 95% confidence intervals dependent on biospheric and altruistic value orientations	113
Figure 5.3 Average marginal effects of mission confidence with 95% confidence intervals dependent on egoistic and hedonic value orientations	113

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Chapter overview.....	20
Table 2.1 Confirmatory Factor Analysis: Goodness-of-fit measures.....	31
Table 2.2 Exploratory Principal Components Factor Analysis: Factor Matrix	32
Table 2.3 Exploratory Principal Components Factor Analysis: Promax-Rotated Factor Loadings Matrix	33
Table 2.4 Summary statistics dependent and independent variables.....	34
Table 2.5 Mean-level changes dependent variables over time	35
Table 2.6 Results two-way random effects models including Mundlak fixed effects	37
Table 3.1 Descriptive statistics and correlation matrix	59
Table 3.2 Summary of study results	62
Table 4.1 Overview Cases	78
Table 4.2 Overview different types of tensions.....	83
Table 5.1 Descriptive statistics	109
Table 5.2 Mean comparison between treatment and control group to check randomization and priming	110
Table 5.3 Regression results	111
Table 5.4 Moderation effects for specific scale points of each value.....	112

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 2.1 Big Five personality trait definitions	43
Appendix 2.2 Overview CFC scale questions from the DHS	44
Appendix 2.3 Overview on the items for each of the Big Five traits as asked within the Questionnaire 6 of the DNB Household Survey	45
Appendix 2.4 Overview variables and description	46
Appendix 2.5 Correlation matrix.....	47
Appendix 3.1 Overview survey items	70
Appendix 4.1 Coding scheme	97
Appendix 5.1 Flow-chart experiment.....	120
Appendix 5.2 Scenario and treatment exercise	120
Appendix 5.3 Overview variables	123
Appendix 5.4 Correlation matrix.....	126

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AF	Agriculture/Food
AIC	Akaike information criterion
AoM	Academy of Management
AMOS	Analysis of a moment structures
CEO	Chief executing officer
CFA	Confirmatory factor analysis
CFC	Consideration of future consequences
CFC-F	Consideration of future consequences – Future
CFC-I	Consideration of future consequences – Immediate
CFI	Comparative fit index
COO	Chief operating officer
DHS	DNB Household Survey
DNB	De Nederlandsche Bank
EFA	Exploratory factor analysis
IFI	Incremental fit index
M	Mean
NEO	Neuroticism-Extraversion-Openness
NFI	Normed fit index
PCA	Principal components analysis
RE	Renewable energy
RENT	Research in Entrepreneurship and Small Business
RMSEA	Root mean square error of approximation
SD	Standard deviation
SRMR	Standardized root mean square residual
TBL	Triple bottom line
TLI	Tucker-Lewis index
TPB	Theory of planned behavior
UN	United Nations