An assessment of the correlation between robust CT-derived ventilation and pulmonary function test in a cohort with no respiratory symptoms
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INTRODUCTION

Conventional CT imaging of the thorax offers detailed information concerning the structural integrity of the lung parenchyma. While this information is valuable, a normal structural appearance does not guarantee that the underlying lung function is also normal. As such, direct visualization of lung function is a valuable compliment to conventional CT that enables the identification of low functional regions with apparently normal parenchymal structure.  

Automated methods for categorizing lung parenchyma based on imaging features and textures have demonstrated a good correlation with lung function parameters and pathological changes.  

Objective: To evaluate CT-ventilation imaging (CTVI) within a well-characterized, healthy cohort with no respiratory symptoms and examine the correlation between CTVI and concurrent pulmonary function test (PFT).

Methods: CT scans and PFTs from 77 Caucasian participants in the NORM dataset (clinicaltrials.gov NCT00848406) were analyzed. CTVI was generated using the robust Integrated Jacobian Formulation (IJF) method. IJF estimated total lung capacity (TLC) was computed from CTVI. Bias-adjusted Pearson’s correlation between PFT and IJF-based TLC was computed.

Results: IJF- and PFT-measured TLC showed a good correlation for both males and females [males: 0.657, 95% CI (0.438–0.797); females: 0.667, 95% CI (0.416–0.817)]. When adjusting for age, height, smoking, and abnormal CT scan, correlation moderated [males: 0.432, 95% CI (0.129–0.655); females: 0.540, 95% CI (0.207–0.753)]. Visual inspection of CTVI revealed participants who had functional defects, despite the fact that all participants had normal high-resolution CT scan.

Conclusion: In this study, we demonstrate that IJF computed CTVI has good correlation with concurrent PFT in a well-validated patient cohort with no respiratory symptoms.

Advances in knowledge: IJF-computed CTVI’s overall numerical robustness and consistency with PFT support its potential as a method for providing spatiotemporal assessment of high and low function areas on volumetric non-contrast CT scan.
for ventilation imaging. CTVI was originally developed for radiation oncology applications where dynamic free-breathing CT (4DCT) is acquired as standard-of-care. However, issues related to low reproducibility have hindered wide-spread clinical adoption of CTVI and limited the range of its potential applications to those that are generally qualitative in nature. For instance, CTVI has demonstrated effectiveness as the basis for radiotherapy functional avoidance planning in lung cancer. A recently derived mathematical theory identified numerical instability as a key driver of the CTVI variability reported in the literature. This allowed for the development of a new class of robust CTVI algorithms designed to address reproducibility issues. In addition to improved robustness, one such algorithm, the Integrated Jacobian formulation (IJF) method, was recently shown to have a higher spatial correlation with single positron emission computed tomography (SPECT) ventilation than any other CTVI method previously reported in the literature. Moreover, the increased physiological fidelity afforded by IJF has widened the scope of potential applications to include estimating lung compliance and quantifying disease progression of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis.

The purpose of this cross-sectional study is to evaluate IJF computed CTVI among patients from a well-characterized, healthy cohort with no respiratory symptoms and examine the correlation between IJF-measured total lung capacity and concurrent pulmonary function test (PFT).

**METHODS AND MATERIALS**

**Integrated Jacobian formulation images and TLC**

The IJF method was applied to IE-CTs to recover the apparent voxel volume changes, induced by varying lung inspiratory levels, as a surrogate for pulmonary ventilation. The utilized software implementation of IJF follows the description in. Briefly, like other CTVI methods, IJF requires three computational steps: (1) lung volume delineation (segmentation), (2) deformable image registration (DIR) for lung motion recovery, and (3) the IJF CTVI calculation. In contrast to other CTVI approaches that are based on numerically unstable single voxel measurements, IJF estimates the Jacobian factor of the DIR transformation (i.e., volume change) from a series of spatially corresponding inhale/exhale subregions. The numerical uncertainty in the subregional volume change measurements is modeled with gaussian statistics, which allows for the uncertainty associated with the measurements to be both characterized and controlled. IJF computes CTVI by solving a linear least squares problem which defines individual voxel volume changes in terms of the series of robust subregional measurements.

**Images and patient data**

CT scans from 77 Caucasian participants in the NORM data set (clinicaltrials.gov NCT00848406) were used for this study. All included patients were older than 18 years of age and had no respiratory symptoms or diseases. Participants were classified into smokers (n = 44) vs non-smokers (n = 33) (Table 1). All subjects had normal pulmonary function. Total lung capacity (TLC) is the volume of air retained within the lungs upon the maximum inhalation. Similar to findings in other studies, mean TLC of both PFT and IJF significantly differed between males and females. As such, all subsequent analyses were done separately for males and females. Since lung function is correlated to age, sex, height, smoking status and ethnicity, we analyzed a uniform population of Caucasians and separately analyzed the covariates for males and females.

**Statistical analysis**

We examined the correlation between PFT and IJF-based TLC using bias-adjusted Pearson’s correlation, and calculated 95% confidence intervals (CIs) using the Fisher z-transformation. We also examined partial correlations between PFT and IJF-based TLC, adjusting for age, height, smoking, and abnormal CT scan. Finally, we examined the association between IJF-based TLC and age and height using a linear model that included those variables along with covariates for smoking and abnormal CT scan noted by radiologist.

![Figure 1. Areas of decreased ventilation in study participants with normal CT scan are denoted with bold arrows. Top Row: (a) An axial slice from the CT of a smoker appears normal, while the CTVI (b) shows an area of decreased ventilation in the right middle lobe. Bottom Row: (c) An axial CT slice from a non-smoker also appears normal, while the CTVI (d) shows an area of decreased function. CTVI, CT-derived ventilation imaging.](image-url)
RESULTS
The baseline characteristics showed no significant differences between the 44 smokers and 33 non-smokers (Table 1). Neither PFT- nor IJF-based TLC differed significantly between smokers and non-smokers, even when separately analyzed for males and females. Pearson correlation between TLC determined using IJF and PFT showed a moderate correlation for both males and females [males: 0.657, 95% CI (0.438–0.797); females: 0.667, 95% CI (0.416–0.817)]. These correlations were not as strong when adjusting for age, height, smoking, and abnormal CT scan [males: 0.432, 95% CI (0.129–0.655); females: 0.540, 95% CI (0.207–0.753)]. IJF-based TLC was significant only when correlated with height for both males ($p = 0.0001$) and females ($p = 0.0040$) when adjusting for age, smoking history and abnormal CT scan (Table 2). Regional analyses of IJF CTVI (Figure 1) showed several cases (23/77) showing low function with decreased ventilation score, although there was no visible corresponding radiographic abnormality on High resolution CT (HRCT) scan at full inflation (i.e. inspiration).

DISCUSSION
Lung function assessed by PFT is highly subjective and is heavily dependent on cooperation and efforts from patient and technician in performing the test correctly. The robust IJF CTVI method for quantifying lung function from volumetric CT scan evaluated in this study correlates well with traditional PFT-derived lung function. While our results show a good correlation between TLC derived from PFT and IJF, when analyzed separately between males and females, the correlation decreases. Sex differences in lung function is well characterized and our results further authenticate differences in lung function between males and females. Subject's height was the major determinant for TLC obtained from IJF for both males and females. This is consistent with previous studies on factors affecting lung function.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics between smokers and non-smokers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Smokers ($n = 44$)</th>
<th>Non-smoker ($n = 33$)</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in years</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>177.0</td>
<td>174.9</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (Kg)</td>
<td>73.89</td>
<td>73.04</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atopy history</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work exposure history</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced vital capacity (L)</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total lung capacity (L)</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IJF quantitative total ventilation (L)</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right lung IJF (L)</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left lung IJF (L)</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IJF, Integrated Jacobian formulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Estimated correlation</th>
<th>95% confidence limits</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>−0.001</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-smoker</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>−0.568</td>
<td>0.811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atopy</td>
<td>−0.148</td>
<td>−0.855</td>
<td>0.559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>−0.019</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-smoker</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>−0.618</td>
<td>0.682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atopy</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>−0.622</td>
<td>0.871</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IJF, Integrated Jacobian formulation.

The four correlates (Age, Height, Smoking Status, Atopy) are examined in both males and females.
Our results are noteworthy for several reasons. First, IJF is a direct derivative of actual deformation within each lung voxel, which is numerically stable and reproducible. Hence, the volumes derived are much more substantive and reliable than most current methods using semi-quantitative lung texture analysis. 15 Secondly, the fact that IJF correlates well with TLC derived from PFT in both males and females, but is able to discriminate areas of lung which are not participating in ventilation is significant. These functional defects apparent on CTVI had no apparent changes visible on HRCT. Whether these defects eventually develop into lung abnormality is unknown from the current data. Thirdly, height was the significant predictor for IJF after adjusting for all other parameters, which corroborates and validates the IJF derived ventilation parametric as seen with PFT. 14 Although the study was robust and had sufficient power to detect changes and correlations, it was limited by certain factors. This study was cross-sectional and neither the data nor imaging required to pursue regional ventilation changes longitudinally were available. Now that we have established a reproducible way of accurately quantifying lung function from IE-CT images, our immediate future work will compare the clinical accuracies of IJF-defined high- and low-ventilation regions with those determined from currently available quantitative imaging tools, as well as further investigate the relationship between CTVI-defined defects and the development of lung abnormalities.

CONCLUSION

The presented study demonstrates that total lung capacity derived from IJF correlates moderately well with TLC obtained from PFT. Taken together with CTVI’s ability to spatially discriminate regions of low vs high lung function, these results are indicative of CTVI’s potential to characterize lung function and identify disease presence.
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