Emerging Entities and New Diagnostic Markers for Head and Neck Soft Tissue and Bone Tumors
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Abstract: Bone and soft tissue tumors of the head and neck are relatively uncommon tumors that often represent a diagnostic challenge because of the wide range of entities that must be considered in the differential diagnosis. Over the past few years, classification of bone and soft tissue tumors has evolved primarily because of substantial contributions from molecular genetics, with the identification of new markers that are increasingly used to complement histopathologic findings in the routine diagnostic workup. This review focuses on the recently described mesenchymal tumors that preferentially involve the head and neck region, with a focus on the most relevant novel immunohistochemical and molecular findings, including gene fusions and mutations, that can help in the diagnosis and in the assessment of clinical behavior.
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Bone and soft tissue tumors of the head and neck are relatively uncommon, with malignant neoplasms accounting for ~1% of all head and neck malignancies, but representing 5% to 10% of all soft tissue sarcomas and showing a relatively higher incidence in pediatric patients. The involved soft tissue sites include the somatic soft tissues (mainly the neck, scalp, and the face) and mucosal or glandular sites (sinonasal tract and skull base, tongue and oral cavity, parotid gland), whereas bone tumors affect the gnathic bones, paranasal sinuses, and laryngeal cartilages.

Although in general it is thought that the natural history of head and neck sarcomas parallels that of non-head and neck sites, the complex anatomy of this region represents a limit to wide surgical resections and may explain worse local disease control.

Currently, surgery is considered the main treatment in low-grade sarcomas, whereas high-grade sarcomas can be treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by surgery and radiotherapy. A wide variety of sarcomas arise in the soft tissues of the head and neck, with a relative frequency that depends on the age and anatomic location. In adults, adipocytic tumors and malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors predominate, whereas in pediatric patients, rhabdomyosarcoma (RMS) is the most frequent histologic type. Notably, some of the mesenchymal tumors arising in the head and neck are distinctive to these sites or occur preferentially in these regions, such as biphenotypic sinonasal sarcoma or some RMS variants. An important aspect that must be considered in the diagnostic workup of mesenchymal lesions of the head and neck with spindle or with spindle/pleomorphic morphology in adult patients is that spindle cell sarcoma (sarcomatoid) squamous cell carcinoma and melanoma are more common than any sarcoma type, and should therefore be at the top of the list of differential diagnoses. Still, head and neck soft tissue tumors often represent a diagnostic challenge because of the wide range of entities that must be considered in the differential diagnosis, compounded by small biopsies that often have crush artifacts.

Over the past few years, the classification of bone and soft tissue tumors has evolved primarily due to a better understanding of their biology, with substantial contributions from molecular genetics and immunohistochemical findings. Thus, immunohistochemical and molecular markers are increasingly used to complement histopathologic findings in the diagnosis of head and neck soft tissue tumors, but also in some instances to refine prognostication or to support targeted therapeutic approaches. Moreover, the advancement in molecular techniques now allows for interrogation of small tissue samples, including aspirate material for DNA and RNA alterations. Compared with traditional single-gene evaluation, next-generation sequencing platforms can simultaneously evaluate large panels of markers. Further, molecular panels tailored specifically for sarcomas are utilized clinically.

This review discusses the recently described soft tissue and bone tumors that preferentially involve the head and neck region, with a focus on the most relevant novel immunohistochemical and molecular findings, including gene fusions and mutations, that can help in the diagnostic workup and in the assessment of clinical behavior.
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ADIPOCYTIC TUMORS

A variety of adipocytic tumors arise in the head and neck region, but liposarcomas are rare in these sites, with well-differentiated/dedifferentiated liposarcomas (WDL/DL) mainly involving the larynx, hypopharynx, oral cavity, and the neck. It is recognized that WDL/DL often represent a diagnostic challenge, being easily confused with benign adipocytic tumors or nonadipocytic soft tissue proliferations, including nodular fasciitis, mammary-type myofibroblastoma, low-grade myofibroblastic sarcoma, and undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma. Nevertheless, an accurate diagnosis of WDL/DL informs the prognosis of head and neck tumors, which is worse than in other sites. In selected cases, identification of MDM2 and/or CDK4 expression by immunohistochemistry or amplification by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) or other molecular techniques may be necessary to support the diagnosis of WDL/DL. However, a possible diagnostic pitfall is represented by lipomas with degenerative changes that may mimic ALT for the presence of increased stromal cellularity and of multivacuolated histiocytes that superficially resemble lipoblasts. As MDM2 immunohistochemical expression may occur in histiocytes, confirmation with search for MDM2 amplification by FISH or other molecular methods may be necessary to avoid overdiagnosis. Further, STAT6 immunoreactivity is reported in rare examples of DL. This marker is used in solitary fibrous tumors, a spindle cell neoplasm also affecting head and neck sites, that may occasionally contain mature adipocytes, thus closely resembling WDL/DL. However, nuclear positivity for MDM2 by immunohistochemistry or MDM2 amplification will help support the diagnosis of DL.

Atypical spindle cell/pleomorphic lipomatous tumor is a recently described adipocytic neoplasm included in the fifth edition of the World Health Organization (WHO) Classification of Soft Tissue and Bone Tumours. It only rarely arises in the head and neck, affecting the soft tissues of the neck and face, and less frequently the larynx/hypopharynx. Most of these tumors had been previously included in the group of “spindle cell liposarcoma,” as they are composed of an admixture of atypical spindle cells, adipocytes, lipoblasts, and may contain hyperchromatic and bizarre pleomorphic cells (Fig. 2). Myxoid or collagenous matrix, often with characteristic brightly eosinophilic “ropy” collagen fibers, is present in the intercellular space. They are currently considered as benign neoplasms, with low tendency for local recurrence and no risk for dedifferentiation. Thus, they have to be distinguished from WDL that show a risk for destructive recurrence and/or progression to DL. Tumor cells in atypical spindle cell lipomatous tumors are variably positive for CD34, S100 protein, and desmin, whereas MDM2 and CDK4 are usually negative or weakly positive in few cells. In addition, loss of nuclear RB1 expression is observed in 50% to 70% of cases.
Atypical spindle cell lipomatous tumors, whereas MDM2 amplification is absent. Spindle cell lipoma is usually circumscribed, may show rare lipoblasts, but shows uniform and elongated nuclei. Nuclear RB1 protein loss can also be seen.\textsuperscript{16}

**SPINDLE CELL TUMORS**

Ectomesenchymal chondromyxoid tumor (ECT) is an increasingly recognized but still a debated entity of uncertain histogenesis, which is thought to derive from undifferentiated ectomesenchymal cells.\textsuperscript{17} It occurs preferentially in the anterior dorsal tongue, although it has rarely been reported at other intraoral sites and in the mandible.\textsuperscript{18}

Histologically, ECT is well circumscribed and unencapsulated, and shows a multilobulated growth pattern, with thin fibrous septa separating a relatively uniform population of bland spindle cells arranged in reticular and globoid patterns within an abundant myxoid matrix (Fig. 3). Focal atypia, binucleation, pseudonuclear inclusions, and necrosis may occasionally be present, while mitotic activity is usually sparse. The immunohistochemical profile of this tumor includes positivity for glial fibrillary acid protein, S100 protein, keratin, actins, and desmin.\textsuperscript{17} With these histopathologic and immunohistochemical features, ECT has been hypothesized to be akin to soft tissue myoepitheliomas, as the involved sites generally contain no or few mucoserosal salivary-type glands. Table 1 compares the immunohistochemical and molecular features of ECT and soft tissue myoepitheliomas. Recently, Dickson et al\textsuperscript{19} identified the RREB1-MRTFB (previously known as MKL2) fusion in 90% of their series of ECT. The same gene fusion has been identified in a histologically identical mandible tumor,\textsuperscript{18} in a tumor of the oropharynx,\textsuperscript{20} and in 2 mesenchymal tumors arising in the mediastinum.\textsuperscript{21} The tumor of the oropharynx showed no involvement of the tongue and was interpreted as related to biphenotypic sinonasal sarcoma, as it showed fascicles of spindle cells immunopositive for S100 protein, smooth muscle actin (SMA), desmin, and myogenin. The mediastinal tumors showed only partially similar histologic and immunohistochemical features to classic ECT\textsuperscript{21} but may be considered part of the spectrum of these tumors. Finally, 1 case in the series of Dickson et al\textsuperscript{19} and 3 of 11 cases (27%) reported by Argyris et al\textsuperscript{22} showed EWSR1 gene rearrangement. This may support a relationship with soft tissue myoepitheliomas that often present EWSR1 gene rearrangement.
TABLE 1. Comparison of Immunohistochemical and Molecular Features of Ectomesenchymal Chondromyxoid Tumor and Soft Tissue Myoepithelioma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Ectomesenchymal Chondromyxoid Tumor</th>
<th>Myoepithelioma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cytokeratin</td>
<td>Positive, 40%*</td>
<td>Positive, 80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMA</td>
<td>Positive, 10%</td>
<td>Positive, 70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S100</td>
<td>Positive, 80%</td>
<td>Positive, 90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX10</td>
<td>Positive, 50%</td>
<td>Positive, 80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calponin</td>
<td>Positive, 10%</td>
<td>Positive, 90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD56</td>
<td>Positive, 80%</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD57</td>
<td>Positive, 75%</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synaptophysin</td>
<td>Positive, 70%</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smooth muscle</td>
<td>Positive, 50%</td>
<td>Positive, 40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desmin</td>
<td>Positive, 15%</td>
<td>Positive, 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFAP</td>
<td>Positive, 90%</td>
<td>Positive, 50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p63</td>
<td>Positive, 40%</td>
<td>Positive, 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myogenin</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclin D1</td>
<td>Positive, RREB1-MKL2</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene fusions</td>
<td>EWSR1 rearrangement</td>
<td>rearrangement with RREB1-MKL2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≅ in 20%</td>
<td>POU5F1, PBX1, ZNF444, KLF17, ATFI, PBX3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S100 protein</td>
<td>Positive, 80%</td>
<td>Positive, 90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA</td>
<td>Positive, 60%</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMA</td>
<td>Positive, 10%</td>
<td>Positive, 70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD34</td>
<td>Positive, 50%</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT6</td>
<td>Positive, 50%</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclin D1</td>
<td>Positive, 50%</td>
<td>Positive, 40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desmin</td>
<td>Positive, 15%</td>
<td>Positive, 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myogenin</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myf6</td>
<td>Positive, 50%</td>
<td>Positive, 40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Percentage of positive cases.

EMA indicates epithelial membrane antigen; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein.

rearrangement, or, as suggested by Dickson et al, a relationship with the group of intracranial myxoid mesenchymal tumors with EWSR1-CREB family gene fusions.

Biphenotypic sinonasal sarcoma (BSS) is a locally aggressive spindle cell neoplasm with distinctive histologic, immunohistochemical, and molecular features, mainly represented by PAX3 gene rearrangements. So far BSS has been reported only in the sinonasal tract, although an example of a spindle cell sarcoma with dual myogenic and neural phenotype and RREB1-MKL2 chimeric transcription factor has been described in the oropharynx (see above).

Histologically, BSS presents as a subepithelial proliferation of bland, spindle cells with elongated and slender nuclei, arranged in fascicles with a herringbone pattern (Fig. 4). Typically, the tumor infiltrates the sinonasal mucosa and the bone, although necrosis is not seen, and mitotic activity is low. An accompanying epithelial proliferation, which consists of hyperplastic invaginated surface respiratory epithelium forming gland-like structures beneath the mucosal surface is frequently present. These epithelial elements are intimately associated with the neoplastic spindle cell component, imparting a biphasic pattern to the lesion, occasionally closely mimicking respiratory epithelial hamartomas, particularly in tumors with lower cellularity, or similar to biphasic synovial sarcoma. Other characteristic, but less frequent features include, branching dilated vessels and foci of hyaline collagen deposition. Focal rhabdomyoblastic differentiation, consisting of large strap-type cells with eosinophilic cytoplasm and focal cross striations, has been observed.

The immunoprofile of BSS is complex, but the diagnosis requires combined immunoreactivity for S100 protein (focal to diffuse) and smooth muscle markers, mainly SMA, muscle specific actin, or calponin, whereas immunoreactivity for desmin is only rarely seen and MYOD1 and myogenin are positive in cases showing areas of rhabdomyoblastic differentiation. Recently, immunohistochemical expression of PAX3 was found to be highly sensitive and specific for the diagnosis of BSS. Other positive markers include nuclear β-catenin and factor XIIIa, while isolated keratin and epithelial membrane antigen (EMA) positive cells may also be seen. SOX10 is consistently negative in the tumors tested. Thus, the immunoprofile of BSS is complex and attention must be paid to the choice of the appropriate panel of markers in the differential diagnosis with other sinonasal spindle cell lesions. These include mainly peripheral nerve sheath tumors, which are also positive for SOX10 and negative for muscle markers; sinonasal glomangiopericytoma that is positive for SMA and β-catenin, but negative for S100 protein; solitary fibrous tumor, which is positive for CD34 and STAT6, but negative for SMA and S100 protein; and monophasic synovial sarcoma, which may coexpress S100 protein and SMA, but is TLE1 and epithelial marker positive, with a different genetic profile.

Approximately 60% of tested BSS have a recurrent translocation t(2;4)(q35;q31.1) with a PAX3-MAML3 fusion transcript that results in the activation of PAX3 response elements. Alternative PAX3 fusion partners include FOXO1, NCOAI, NCOA2, and WWTR1 with
PAX3-NCOA1 in particular associated with the presence of rhabdomyoblastic differentiation.33 A few cases have shown PAX3 or MAML3 rearrangements with unknown fusion partners or undetectable rearrangements.

EPITHELIOID CELL TUMORS

Recently, a novel subset of mesenchymal tumors with GLI1 gene rearrangements or amplifications has been described.34–36 These are rare but distinctive tumors with an established risk of malignancy that frequently occur in the head and neck, with a clear predilection for the tongue.36 The fusion partner of GLI1 includes ACTB in the majority of the cases, whereas MALAT1 and PTCH1 are rarely identified partners. In tumors with GLI1 amplification, MDM2 and CDK4 are coamplified. These tumors occur over a wide age span, including infancy, with a median age in the fourth decade. At low power, they present a characteristic multinodular or plexiform growth pattern. Neoplastic cells are monomorphic, round to epithelioid, with clear or eosinophilic cytoplasm, and form nests separated by a delicate arborizing vascular network (Fig. 5). Typically, tumor nests tend to protrude into vascular spaces, an appearance reminiscent of pericytic growth (Fig. 5). Interestingly, soft tissue tumors with ACTB-GLI1 rearrangement had been previously reported as part of the spectrum of pericytomas.37,38 The immunoprofile is variable, with frequent positivity for S100 protein and CD56. SMA, AE1/AE3, and EMA are expressed in a minority of cases, while SOX10, CD31, CD34, ERG, chromogranin, synaptophysin, CD99, and desmin are negative. GLI1-amplified tumors are often positive for CDK4, MDM2, and STAT6 due to coamplification of these genes.

The main differential diagnosis is with salivary-type tumors, in particular with myoepithelioma and myoepithelial carcinoma,36 that show some histologic overlap for the presence of epithelioid nested morphology, and S100 protein and cytokeratin positivity. However, tumors with GLI1 alterations have a rich vascular network separating the tumor nests, with tumor cells often bulging into vascular spaces, and are negative for SOX10, glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), and calponin.36 In addition, GLI1 molecular alterations have not been reported in salivary gland myoepithelial tumors. ECT may histologically resemble tumors with GLI1 alterations with its multilobulated architecture, and because of the positivity for cytokeratins, S100 protein, CD56, and SMA, but neoplastic cells are typically spindle shaped and set into abundant myxoid stroma without prominent capillary network, and they are often positive for calponin and GFAP. In addition, ECT has an RREB1-MRTFB fusion that can be tested for in difficult cases.19 Finally, nested architecture and positivity for CD56 may elicit a diagnosis of neuroendocrine tumor, but other and more specific neuroendocrine markers (synaptophysin and chromogranin) are negative.34

RHABDOMYOSARCOMA

RMS is the most frequent head and neck sarcoma both in adult and pediatric patients.4 RMS classification has been

FIGURE 5. Mesenchymal tumor of the tongue with GLI1 gene rearrangement. This tumor presents solid nested architecture, with a delicate network of capillaries in the background (A). Neoplastic cells have a monotonous appearance, with round to ovoid nuclei and scant clear cytoplasm (B). Tumor nests are often associated with large vessels (C) and protrude into the ectatic lumen (D). Please see this image in color online.
Refined based on novel molecular findings, with the identification of new prognostic categories and of new histologic subtypes. The spindle cell/sclerosing RMS, which in adults occurs predominantly in the head and neck region, now includes the NCOA2-rearranged RMS, the VGLL2-rearranged RMS, and spindle cell/sclerosing RMS with MYOD1 mutations.41-45

This distinction is clinically relevant because among head and neck RMSs, spindle cell/sclerosing RMS with MYOD1 mutation and alveolar RMS have a similar poor prognosis (5-y overall survival rate of 50% and 53%, respectively), compared with embryonal RMS (5-y overall survival rate of 82%).43,44,46 Moreover, the MYOD1-mutant positive sclerosing RMS has a significantly worse prognosis than other spindle cell RMSs.46 These studies strongly suggest that an MYOD1 mutation is a marker of poor prognosis in RMS.

More recently, a new distinctive RMS variant with epithelioid and spindle cell morphology and EWSR1/FUS-TFCP2 gene fusions has been identified. This RMS subtype has a striking predilection for involving craniofacial bones in young adults, associated with a very aggressive behavior, with patients dying after a median of 15 months despite multimodal therapy.47,48 Histologically, these tumors are composed of variable proportions of spindle, epithelioid, and round cells that contain moderate to abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm, and oval nuclei with 1 or more prominent nucleoli (Fig. 6). Rare rhabdoid elements can be present. Abundant fibrous or myxoid stroma formation is only rarely seen. Mitotic activity is brisk, and necrosis is usually present. Among myogenic markers, desmin and MYOD1 are diffusely positive, whereas myogenin is only focally expressed. Notably, epithelioid and spindle cell RMS is positive for pancytokeratin and ALK. S100 protein is focally positive in rare cases, whereas SOX10 is negative. ALK RNA upregulation is present in the majority of the cases, whereas no rearrangement of the ALK gene has been detected.49 Interestingly, the ALK gene is frequently deleted despite the presence of ALK overexpression. Other RMS subtypes, especially alveolar RMS, may show

**FIGURE 6.** Epithelioid and spindle cell rhabdomyosarcoma with EWSR1-TFCP2 fusion. This biopsy was taken from a lytic lesion of the mandible in a 32-year-old man. This highly pleomorphic tumor consists of spindle and epithelioid highly atypical cells (A), focally immunoreactive for MYF4 (B) and diffusely positive for desmin (C), ALK1 (D), and pancytokeratin (E). Please see this image in color online.

ALK expression, but the significance of this finding is currently unknown.49 The genetic hallmark of this RMS is the rearrangement of TFCP2 gene with either FUS or EWSR1. Mutations of the MYOD1 gene have not been identified.49

The differential diagnosis of epithelioid/spindle cell RMS includes other RMS subtypes such as purely epithelioid RMS, congenital/infantile spindle cell, and spindle cell/sclerosing RMS, although all these variants do not involve the bone. In contrast, the recently described intraosseous RMS with MEIS-NCOA2 fusion presents with a fascicular proliferation of primitive spindle cells but has not been shown to involve craniofacial bones.45 Because of the overlap of the immunohistochemical findings, including significant cytokeratin reactivity, sarcomatoid carcinoma must be included in the differential diagnosis of epithelioid/spindle cell RMS. Rhabdomyoblastic differentiation may also be present in sarcomatoid carcinoma, further complicating the issue. In difficult cases, testing for TFCP2-EWS/FUS rearrangements may be helpful. Other mesenchymal tumors that need to be distinguished from epithelioid/spindle RMS are leiomyosarcomas that do not express MYOD1 and myogenin, and pseudomyogenic hemangioendothelioma, which may present some histologic overlap and shares positivity for cytokeratins, but it is characterized by more bland histologic features and positivity for ERG and FLI1.

**ROUND CELL SARCOMAS**

Undifferentiated round cell neoplasms represent a diagnostic challenge in the head and neck region because they demonstrate overlapping morphologic and immunohistochemical features. A broad range of tumors may indeed present with undifferentiated round cell morphology, including the Ewing sarcoma (ES) family of tumors, synovial sarcoma, desmoplastic small round cell tumor, myxoid/round cell liposarcoma, small cell osteosarcoma, mesenchymal chondrosarcoma, and RMS, in addition to neuroectodermal tumors, melanoma, lymphoma, and carcinomas.
ES is the prototypical round cell sarcoma characterized by specific gene fusions involving the EWSR1 gene and member of the ETS transcription factor family (FLI1, ERG, ETV1, ETV4, or FEV). Recent approaches have focused on round cell sarcomas that lack the specific translocations of ES, using new genomic techniques to identify new translocation-specific sarcomas. This emerging group of Ewing-like sarcomas include round cell sarcomas with EWSR1 gene fusion with non-ETS gene family members (such as NFATc2), CIC-rearranged sarcomas (CRSs), and BCOR-rearranged sarcomas.50

Besides classic ES, the head and neck region is the site of an intriguing ES variant, the adamantinoma-like ES. Although this tumor type was first described in 1999 in long bones,51 it has recently emerged as a tumor predominantly involving the head and neck with a wide anatomic distribution, including mucosal sites and glands (parotid and thyroid).52 Histologically, it consists of sheets or nests of round cells with basoloid appearance within fibrous, myxoid, or hyalinized matrix (Fig. 7). Nuclear palisading and rosette formation can be present. Foci of squamous differentiation, with formation of keratin pearls are seen in a minority of cases. Mitotic activity is brisk and foci of necrosis are often present. Immunohistochemical studies show diffuse expression of ES markers including CD99, FLI1, and NKX2.2 (Fig. 7), and positivity for cytokeratins (including cytokeratin 5/6), p63 and p40 (Table 2). Neuroendocrine markers are often positive as well.52 S100 protein, SMA, desmin, WT1, and NUT1 are negative.52 At the molecular level adamantinoma-like ES presents the typical t(11;22) EWSR1-FLI1 translocation of ES (Table 2). Given the extensive morphologic and immunohistochemical overlap with several other head and neck malignancies, molecular confirmation is often performed to support the diagnosis. An EWSR1 break-apart FISH test alone may not be sufficient to exclude a myoepithelial tumor, thus either reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction for EWSR1-FLI1 fusion or FISH analysis for FLI1 gene rearrangement can be used to confirm the diagnosis of adamantinoma-like ES. Besides myoepithelial tumors, adamatominoma-like ES must be distinguished from several other head and neck tumor types that can present with a poorly differentiated/basaloid morphology, including adamantinoma, synovial sarcoma, NUT carcinoma, basoloid squamous cell carcinoma, neuroendocrine carcinoma, SMARCB1-deficient carcinoma, desmoplastic small round cell tumor, basal cell adenocarcinoma, poorly differentiated thyroid carcinoma, medullary thyroid carcinoma, and carcinoma showing thymus-like differentiation (CASTLE).52–56

FIGURE 7. At high power, there is a basoloid, primitive-appearing neoplastic proliferation with areas of central necrosis in this adamantinoma-like Ewing sarcoma (A). The neoplastic cells show a strong and diffuse nuclear reaction with p40 (B), whereas CD99 (C) and NKX2.2 (D) are also strongly reactive. Please see this image in color online.
CRS predominately occurs in children and young adults, presents an aggressive clinical behavior, and very rarely occurs in the head and neck. Owosho et al. studied a group of 16 CRSs arising in the head and neck and compared their clinicopathologic features with those of a group of 25 ESs. CRSs exclusively involved the soft tissues, with the neck being the most common location, and the median age at diagnosis was 28.5 years. Histologically, tumors present with a solid growth pattern, often with a nodular architecture. The neoplastic population is less homogeneous than in ES, being composed of primitive round to ovoid cells often intermixed with areas of spindle and epithelioid cells with a more abundant cytoplasm (Fig. 8). Mitotic activity is brisk and geographic necrosis is often seen. Positive immunostains include CD99 (often focal and/or weak staining), WT1, DUX4, ETV4, and occasional cases may show focal expression of cytokeratins, EMA, ERG, S100 protein, and desmin. The CIC-DUX4 gene fusion results from either t(4;19) or t(10;19) translocation, whereas rare cases may present non-DUX4 partners such as FOXO4, LEUTX, NUTM1, and NUTM2. The chimeric gene includes most of the coding sequence of CIC and a small portion of the 3' end of DUX4. Although it has been emphasized that CRS is a highly aggressive tumor with poor response to ES treatment regimens, no significant differences were found in overall survival between CRS and ES arising in the head and neck. An additional group of EWSR1-negative undifferentiated round cell sarcomas presents a recurrent BCOR-CCNB3 rearrangement. These tumors have a predilection for skeletal sites and are exceptionally rare in the head and neck. In the series studied by Kao et al., one tumor arising in a 13-year-old female patient was located in the soft palate and one arising in a 2-year-old male patient was located in the posterior neck. A further example involving the skull base in a 5-year-old boy was reported by Specht et al. Histologically, BCOR-CCNB3 sarcoma is composed of a uniform population of fusiform to ovoid cells arranged in sheets or short fascicles, often accompanied by a delicate capillary network (Fig. 9). Myxoid stroma may be present in some instances. Immunohistochemical positivity for cyclin B3, the product of CCNB3 gene, is a useful marker to confirm the diagnosis, whereas BCOR is less specific. Other positive markers include SATB2, TLE1, cyclin D1, and EMA.
FIGURE 9. BCOR-CCNB3 fusion positive sarcoma. Neoplastic cells with primitive morphology are arranged within myxoid stroma and form clusters. This tumor presented an inv(X)(p11p11) BCOR-CCNB3 rearrangement. Please see this image in color online.

(weak positivity)69–71 (Table 2). This possibility should be kept in mind in the differential diagnosis with small cell osteosarcoma and synovial sarcoma. Molecular confirmation of BCOR rearrangement is particularly useful in these cases.

Besides the BCOR-CCNB3 sarcoma, sarcomas with BCOR-variant fusions with a non-CCNB3 partner, and sarcomas with internal tandem duplications of BCOR exon 15 have been recognized. Immunohistochemistry against BCOR and CCNB3 is helpful in screening for these rare tumors. A tumor arising in the sinonasal tract in a 48-year-old woman have been recognized. Immunohistochemistry against BCOR rearrangement is particularly useful in these cases.


Chen BJ, Mariño-Enríquez A, Fletcher CD, et al. Loss of NTRK3 expression, but the therapeutic implications of these findings are still to be elucidated.73


REFERENCES


