University of Groningen

Should everolimus be stopped after radiological progression in metastatic insulinoma? A "pro" point of view

Zandee, Wouter T; Hofland, Johannes; de Herder, Wouter W

Published in:
Endocrine

DOI:
[10.1007/s12020-020-02570-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12020-020-02570-4)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2021

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Zandee, W. T., Hofland, J., & de Herder, W. W. (2021). Should everolimus be stopped after radiological progression in metastatic insulinoma? A "pro" point of view. *Endocrine*, 71(1), 256-257. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12020-020-02570-4>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Should everolimus be stopped after radiological progression in metastatic insulinoma? A “pro” point of view

Wouter T. Zandee¹ · Johannes Hofland² · Wouter W. de Herder²

Received: 31 August 2020 / Accepted: 22 November 2020 / Published online: 4 January 2021
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2021

We read with great interest the paper by Valeria Tovazzi and colleagues: “Should everolimus be stopped after radiological progression in metastatic insulinoma? A “cons” point of view as published in *Endocrine* [1].

The authors have described beneficial therapeutic effects of everolimus in a patient with refractory hypoglycaemia caused by a metastatic insulinoma. Treatment with everolimus was continued despite radiological progression. In this single case, everolimus significantly reduced the number of hypoglycaemic episodes. These hypoglycaemias in patients with metastatic insulinomas can indeed significantly decrease the quality of life and require multimodality treatment. In case of unresectable disease, systemic treatment is indicated to reduce hypoglycaemias and to inhibit tumour growth. Currently, somatostatin analogues (SSAs) are still considered first-line treatment and in the patient described by Tovazzi and colleagues, SSA treatment resulted in stable disease with well-controlled symptoms for 2 years. Thereafter, addition of everolimus again resulted in 2 years of disease and symptom control, but when radiological progression occurred discontinuation of everolimus resulted in the recurrence of refractory hypoglycaemias. Everolimus was, therefore, restarted, while treatment with chemotherapy was commenced. Indeed, mTOR inhibitors like everolimus can cause hyperglycaemia as a side effect and this effect is well-appreciated in patients suffering from hypoglycaemias. Everolimus prolongs progression-free survival (PFS) in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumour (NET) patients (RADIANT-3 study).

However, at the time of further tumour progression, other therapies should also have been considered, with potentially fewer toxicities. Pasireotide is a multiligand SSA with higher affinity to somatostatin receptor subtype 5 as compared to first generation SSAs and has been shown to reduce hypoglycaemias in patients with insulinomas (case reports). However, pasireotide is not approved for the treatment of pancreatic NETs. Moreover, peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRT) with radiolabelled SSAs (such as ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE) has been shown to be highly effective in the treatment of metastatic insulinomas. ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE is approved by the EMA and FDA for the treatment of pancreatic NET and in all subtypes of pancreatic NET it results in a radiological response in 55% of patients with a median PFS of 30 months [2]. Equally important, we have demonstrated that this radionuclide treatment results in symptomatic responses (e.g. reduction in hypoglycaemias) in 67% of patients and these symptomatic responses often persist despite radiological progression [3]. Other groups have also published very favourable results with PRRT in patients with metastatic insulinomas. Furthermore, in a recent meta-analysis, PRRT with radiolabelled SSAs showed better therapeutic efficacy and safety profiles as compared to everolimus in advanced pancreatic NETs [4]. Additionally, in NET patients pretreated with everolimus, no significant effect of prior/pre-treatment with everolimus on the subacute haematotoxicity of ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE could be demonstrated [5]. In light of these results, switching to ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE, with proven tumour growth inhibition and symptom control, should be preferred above continuing everolimus after clinical and radiological progression, also considering other toxicities associated with this drug. In the case report described by Tovazzi and colleagues ⁶⁸Ga DOTA SSA PET showed somatostatin receptor expression on the primary tumour and its metastases making the patient a candidate for PRRT with ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE. The conclusion of the authors that “everolimus is the only drug capable of inducing a stable and long-lasting glycaemic control together with an

✉ Wouter W. de Herder
w.w.deherder@erasmusmc.nl

¹ University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

² Erasmus MC and Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

antineoplastic effect in malignant insulinomas”(sic) is ignoring the favourable data on PRRT in such cases. PRRT with ^{177}Lu -DOTATATE should be considered as second or third line treatment for metastatic insulinomas because of the high symptomatic response.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

1. V. Tovazzi, V.D. Ferrari, A. Dalla Volta, F. Consoli, V. Amoroso, A. Berruti, Should everolimus be stopped after radiological progression in metastatic insulinoma? A “cons” point of view. *Endocrine* **69**(3), 481–484 (2020)
2. T. Brabander, W.A. van der Zwan, J.J.M. Teunissen, B.L.R. Kam, R.A. Feelders, W.W. de Herder, C.H.J. van Eijck, G.J.H. Franssen, E.P. Krenning, D.J. Kwekkeboom, Long-term efficacy, survival, and safety of [(177)Lu-DOTA(0),Tyr(3)]octreotate in patients with gastroenteropancreatic and bronchial neuroendocrine tumors. *Clin Cancer Res.* **23**(16), 4617–4624 (2017)
3. W.T. Zandee, T. Brabander, A. Blazejic, B.L.R. Kam, J.J.M. Teunissen, R.A. Feelders, J. Hofland, W.W. de Herder, Symptomatic and radiological response to ^{177}Lu -DOTATATE for the treatment of functioning pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **104**(4), 1336–1344 (2019)
4. S. Satapathy, B.R. Mittal, ^{177}Lu -DOTATATE peptide receptor radionuclide therapy versus Everolimus in advanced pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nucl. Med Commun.* **40**(12), 1195–1203 (2019)
5. E. Medaer, C. Verslype, E. Van Cutsem, J. Dekervel, P.M. Clement, K. Nackaerts, A. Laenen, O. Gheysens, K. Goffin, S. Jentjens, K. Van Laere, C.M. Deroose, Influence of pretreatment with everolimus or sunitinib on the subacute hematotoxicity of (^{177}Lu)-DOTATATE PRRT. *Acta Oncol.* **59**(6), 644–651 (2020)