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Serum Peroxiredoxin 4: A Marker of Oxidative Stress Associated with Mortality in Type 2 Diabetes (ZODIAC-28)
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Abstract

Background: Oxidative stress plays an underlying pathophysiologic role in the development of diabetes complications. The aim of this study was to investigate peroxiredoxin 4 (Prx4), a proposed novel biomarker of oxidative stress, and its association with and capability as a biomarker in predicting (cardiovascular) mortality in type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Methods: Prx4 was assessed in baseline serum samples of 1161 type 2 diabetes patients. Cox proportional hazard models were used to evaluate the relationship between Prx4 and (cardiovascular) mortality. Risk prediction capabilities of Prx4 for (cardiovascular) mortality were assessed with Harrell’s C statistic, the integrated discrimination improvement and net reclassification improvement.

Results: Mean age was 67 and the median diabetes duration was 4.0 years. After a median follow-up period of 5.8 years, 327 patients died; 137 cardiovascular deaths. Prx4 was associated with (cardiovascular) mortality. The Cox proportional hazard models added the variables: Prx4 (model 1); age and gender (model 2), and BMI, creatinine, smoking, diabetes duration, systolic blood pressure, cholesterol-HDL ratio, history of macrovascular complications, and albuminuria (model 3). Hazard ratios (HR) (95% CI) for cardiovascular mortality were 1.93 (1.57 – 2.38), 1.75 (1.39 – 2.20), and 1.63 (1.28 – 2.09) for models 1, 2 and 3, respectively. Addition of Prx4 to the traditional risk factors slightly improved risk prediction of (cardiovascular) mortality.

Conclusions: Prx4 is independently associated with (cardiovascular) mortality in type 2 diabetes patients. After addition of Prx4 to the traditional risk factors, there was a slightly improvement in risk prediction of (cardiovascular) mortality in this patient group.
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Introduction

The importance of identifying patients with diabetes mellitus at high risk for cardiovascular events and mortality has been pointed out extensively in guidelines of the ADA/AHA and ESC/EASD [1,2]. Risk assessment based on biomarkers such as NT-proBNP, and imaging such as coronary artery calcium imaging and carotid intima-media thickness might be useful markers possibly adding predictive value to traditional risk estimates. Important atherogenic factors in the development of diabetes-related morbidity and mortality are advanced glycation endproducts (AGEs) formed by nonenzymatic glycation of proteins and during oxidative stress [3]. The hyperglycemic state, AGEs and the interaction of AGEs with its receptor RAGE (the so-called AGE-RAGE axis) induce production of reactive oxygen species and is thought to play an important underlying pathophysiologic role in the development of...
diabetes complications, both microvascular and cardiovascular [4–7].

All aerobic organisms have a number of antioxidant proteins as a protection mechanism against oxidative stress. Peroxiredoxin enzymes are thiol-dependent peroxidases and part of a family of proteins present in aerobic organisms, responsible for the degradation of endogenously generated peroxides [8–10]. These peroxiredoxin family members are distributed to potential sites of reactive oxygen radicals production: the cytosol, mitochondria, peroxisomes and in plasma [8]. Overexpression or upregulation of peroxiredoxin is associated with higher levels of oxidative stress, suggesting a secondary response of peroxiredoxins to oxidative stress [8,11–13]. Six isoforms of peroxiredoxins have been described in mammals; peroxiredoxin 4 (Prx4) is the only isoform detectable in serum [14]. Animal models of diabetes mellitus have shown changes of expression or oxidation state of Prx4 in pancreatic islet cells [13,15–17]. No serum levels of Prx4 have been measured before in animal models neither in human with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Serum Prx4 has been proposed as a biomarker of oxidative stress in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, another inflammatory disease [20]. Recently, Prx4 have been studied in a general Dutch sample, drawn from the general population and included subjects with microalbuminuria [21]. This study showed that elevated serum Prx4 levels were associated with a higher risk of cardiovascular diseases, cardiovascular mortality as well as all-cause mortality and slightly improved risk prediction. The aim of this study was to prospectively investigate whether Prx4 is independently associated with cardiovascular and all-cause mortality and whether it could potentially be a new cardiovascular biomarker in patients with T2DM.

Materials and Methods

Study group and design

The included patients in our study are type 2 diabetic patients participating in a shared care project of the Zwolle Outpatient Diabetes project Integrating Available Care (ZODIAC) study. This project started in 1998 in Zwolle, The Netherlands, is still ongoing and has been described in detail previously [22]. In short, the objective of the ZODIAC study was to investigate the effects of a shared-care project for type 2 diabetic patients. Sixty-one general practitioners participated. The present study incorporates two cohorts from the ZODIAC study. The first cohort contained 1143 patients and started in 1998. The second cohort contained 546 patients and started in 2001, leaving a combined cohort of 1689 unique patients included in the current study. Baseline characteristics and mortality rates of the first cohort were similar to those of the second cohort. The ZODIAC study was approved by the medical ethics committee of the Isala Clinics in Zwolle, the Netherlands and all patients gave their written informed consent.

Data collection

Clinical data were obtained from medical records at the time of inclusion in the ZODIAC study, which consisted of a complete medical history including macrovascular complications, medication use, diabetes duration and smoking history. Patients were considered to have macrovascular complications when they had a history of angina pectoris, myocardial infarction, percutaneous transcutaneous coronary angioplasty, coronary artery bypass grafting, stroke or transient ischemic attack. Laboratory and physical assessment data, such as glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), non-fasting lipid profile, serum creatinine, albuminuria (albumin-to-creatinine ratio), body mass index (BMI), and blood pressure were collected annually. Blood pressure was measured twice with a Welch Allyn Sphygmomanometer in the supine position after at least five minutes of rest. For each visit the mean blood pressure of two recordings was calculated.

Of the 1689 included patients, 1374 samples were eligible for further analyses to measure Prx4. Complete information on Prx4 and potential confounders in this patient group was available for 1161 patients.

Serum Peroxiredoxin 4

Prx4 levels were measured in non-fasting serum samples collected at baseline and stored at –80°C until analysis in 2010. Because the performance of one freeze-thaw cycle has no consequences for assessing Prx4 levels, no influence of frozen storage on the assessed levels is to be expected [18]. Prx4 levels were measured with a validated immunoluminometric sandwich assay [18]. In the development of this assay, immunogenic peptides were selected from the N-terminal part of the Prx4 epitope. The immunoassay uses two monoclonal mouse antibodies both directed against amino acids 39 to 51 at this N-terminus of human Prx4. According to the epitope distribution on the Prx4 amino acid sequence, the assay is useable for detection of Prx4 homomultimers and it excludes cross-reactivity with other members of the Prx family.

The functional assay sensitivity (interassay coefficient of variation <20%) is 0.51 arbitrary U/L and the intraassay coefficient of variation is <3%. The assay reports Prx4 concentration as arbitrary units per liter (U/L) and the limit of quantitation was 0.38 arbitrary U/L.

Clinical endpoints

The clinical endpoints were cardiovascular and all-cause mortality. In 2009, survival status and causes of death were obtained from the local hospital information system and the general practitioners concerning the ZODIAC cohort of 1998. Survival status and causes of death of the ZODIAC cohort of 2001 were obtained in 2005. Causes of death were coded according to the International Classification of Diseases, ninth revision (ICD-9).

Statistical analyses

SPSS version 16.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) and STATA version 11 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas USA) were used for statistical analyses. Continuous variables are represented as mean (standard deviation - SD) for normally distributed values and as median (interquartile range - IQR) for non-normally distributed variables. Prx4 levels below 0.38 arbitrary U/L were replaced by an at random generated value between 0 and 0.38.

Cox proportional hazard models were used to investigate the association between Prx4 and (cardiovascular) mortality. The selected variables with possible confounding effects were age, gender, BMI, serum creatinine, smoking, diabetes duration, systolic blood pressure, cholesterol-HDL ratio, history of macrovascular complications, and albuminuria. Four models were chosen: a crude model including only Prx4 (model 1), a model with age and gender as additional confounders (model 2), a fully adjusted model (model 3), and finally a model that contained all the selected confounders except Prx4 (model 4).

Prx4 and serum creatinine were logarithmically transformed because of skewed distribution of the data.

The ph-test was used in combination with inspection of the Schoenfeld residuals to test the assumption of proportional hazards at baseline. Calibration was investigated using the Groennessby and Borgan test, assessing the goodness of fit and determining how
well the predicted probabilities agree with the observed risk. When
the average predicted risk matches the proportion that actually
develops disease within subgroups of a prospective cohort, the
model is considered well calibrated [23]. In case of a significant
association between Prx4 and (cardiovascular) mortality, the
following analyses were performed. Harrell’s C statistic, a rank-
based measure, was used to compare how well the different models
predict mortality [24]. The higher the value the better the model
predicts mortality. Furthermore, the integrated discrimination
improvement (IDI) and the net reclassification improvement (NRI)
using 10%, 20%, and 30% cut-off values, were calculated [25].
Both, the IDI and the NRI are designed to evaluate the
improvement in prediction by novel markers. They can be
interpreted as the difference between model-based probabilities for
events and non events for models with and without Prx4. The IDI
is a global measure of correct reclassification regarding all possible
cut-off values, while the NRI gives the reclassification improve-
ment for a number of cut-off values. The 95% confidence intervals
for Harrell’s C, IDI, and NRI are given in the results.

Results

Baseline characteristics of the 1161 included patients are
presented in strata according to Prx4 levels (table 1). 137 Patients
had a Prx4 level below 0.38 arbitrary U/L. Median (interquartile
range, IQR) serum level of Prx4 was 0.79 (0.53 – 1.25) arbitrary
U/L. Patients with Prx4 above the median were older, had a
higher BMI, lower eGFR, lower HDL cholesterol levels, higher
HbA1c levels, higher prevalence of albuminuria and less frequently
received lipid-lowering drugs, although the percentage of smokers
was lower. After a median (IQR) follow-up of 5.8 (3.1–10.1) years,
327 (28%) patients had died, of which 137 (42%) were attributable
to cardiovascular mortality. Furthermore, 6 patients were reclassified
into the cardiovascular mortality risk group of 10–20%. Furthermore,
out of a total number of 577 patients in the survivor group: 41, 2 and 1 patient(s)
were reclassified into another category after adding Prx4 to the
risk model.

The Harrel’s C values, as presented in Table 2, show that with
increasing numbers of confounders, the better the model predicted
cardiovascular mortality and all-cause mortality. However, no
differences in the C values were observed between models 3 (the
fully adjusted model) and model 4 (the fully adjusted model
without Prx4).

Furthermore, the IDI and NRI were positive in models 2 and 3
for both cardiovascular and all-cause mortality.

Concerning cardiovascular mortality, increasing numbers of
confounders are shown in table 3 and with all known risk factors concerning
all-cause mortality.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline characteristic</th>
<th>Total n = 1161</th>
<th>Group 1 Prx4 &lt; median*</th>
<th>Group 2 Prx4 &gt; median</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>67 (12)</td>
<td>65 (11)</td>
<td>68 (11)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender male (%)</td>
<td>522 (45)</td>
<td>276 (48)</td>
<td>246 (42)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes duration (years)</td>
<td>4.0 (2.0 - 9.0)</td>
<td>4.0 (2.0 - 9.0)</td>
<td>4.0 (2.0 - 9.0)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (Cockcroft-Gault) (ml/min [n = 1022])</td>
<td>72 (57 – 92)</td>
<td>73 (58 – 94)</td>
<td>70 [55 – 90]</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>28.7 (25.8 – 32.0)</td>
<td>28.1 [25.4 – 31.3]</td>
<td>29.2 [26.0 – 32.6]</td>
<td>&lt;0.001²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (%)</td>
<td>220 (19)</td>
<td>125 (22)</td>
<td>95 (16)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>152 (24)</td>
<td>151 (24)</td>
<td>153 (24)</td>
<td>0.37²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albuminuria (%)</td>
<td>455 (39)</td>
<td>182 (31)</td>
<td>273 (47)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.4 [4.8 – 6.2]</td>
<td>5.5 [4.8 – 6.2]</td>
<td>5.4 [4.7 – 6.2]</td>
<td>0.25²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL – cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.2 [1.0 – 1.4]</td>
<td>1.2 [1.0 – 1.4]</td>
<td>1.1 [0.9 – 1.3]</td>
<td>0.003²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol – HDL ratio</td>
<td>4.7 [3.8 – 5.8]</td>
<td>4.7 [3.8 – 5.6]</td>
<td>4.8 [3.9 – 6.0]</td>
<td>0.02²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrovascular complications (%)</td>
<td>413 (36)</td>
<td>195 (34)</td>
<td>218 (38)</td>
<td>0.16²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving lipid-lowering drugs (statins) (%)</td>
<td>187 (16)</td>
<td>110 (19)</td>
<td>77 (13)</td>
<td>0.01²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving trombocyte aggregation inhibitor (%)</td>
<td>185 (16)</td>
<td>92 (16)</td>
<td>93 (16)</td>
<td>0.94²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving ACE-I/ARB (%)</td>
<td>313 (27)</td>
<td>160 (28)</td>
<td>153 (26)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; BMI, body mass index; HbA1c, glycated haemoglobin; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; ACE-I, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker.
* Median Prx4 (U/L): 0.79 (0.53 – 1.25).
Data are means (SD), medians [interquartile range], or n (%). P-values show (non)significance of group 2 compared to group 1. 1) Student’s t-test. 2) Mann-Whitney U test. 3) Fisher’s Exact test.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089719.t001
Table 2. Hazard ratios for cardiovascular and all-cause mortality of the logarithmically transformed Prx4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular mortality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrell’s C [95% CI]</td>
<td>0.65 [0.61 – 0.70]</td>
<td>0.77 [0.73 – 0.81]</td>
<td>0.82 [0.78 – 0.85]</td>
<td>0.81 [0.77 – 0.84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDI % [95% CI] p-value</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>1.97 [1.03 – 2.91]</td>
<td>0.00004</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRI % [95% CI]</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>11.78 [2.35 – 21.21]</td>
<td>6.48 [0.00 – 13.33]</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| All-cause mortality     |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Harrell’s C [95% CI]    | 0.64 [0.61 – 0.67] | 0.79 [0.76 – 0.81] | 0.81 [0.78 – 0.83] | 0.80 [0.77 – 0.82] |
| IDI % [95% CI] p-value  | n.a.             | 2.38 [1.41 – 3.34] | < 0.00001         | 1.63 [0.82 – 2.44] | 0.00009       |

Comparison of predictive capability of models for mortality risk prediction as determined by the Harrell’s C statistic, the IDI, and NRI.

Abbreviations: Prx4, peroxiredoxin 4; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; IDI, integrated discrimination improvement; NRI, net reclassification improvement; NA, not applicable.

Cox regression models: Model 1: crude model with Prx4; Model 2: adjusted for age and gender; Model 3: adjusted for age, gender, smoking (dichotomous), body mass index, systolic blood pressure, duration of diabetes, serum creatinine level, cholesterol-HDL ratio, macrovascular complications (dichotomous), albuminuria (dichotomous); Model 4: all selected confounders without Prx4.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089719.t002

Discussion

Our study provides the first evidence that the free serum antioxidant Prx4 is independently associated with cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in patients with T2DM after long-term follow up. Prx4 could play a role in the prediction of (cardiovascular) mortality in T2DM, although there was no relevant added beneficial effect of Prx4 compared to a fully adjusted model. Adding Prx4 to age and gender (model 2) had a comparable predictive value as adding Prx4 to the combined traditional cardiovascular risk factors (model 3).

Two recent studies showed that Prx4 has predictive capabilities [21,26]. One was established for 30-days mortality in patients with nonspecific complaints presenting at the emergency department and the other study demonstrated the association between Prx4 and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality and all-cause mortality in a large general population with a median follow up time of 10.5 years [21,26]. No previous studies were performed in patients with DM.

When compared to healthy controls, patients with inflammatory conditions like sepsis had higher levels of circulating Prx4 [18,19]. Non-surviving patients with a sepsis have higher Prx4 levels compared to the surviving patients and Prx4 is correlated with markers of infection and inflammation, like procalcitonin, C-reactive protein (CRP) and interleukin 6 [19,20]. Additional information about correlations with antioxidant markers and with oxidative damage markers would be useful to establish the use of Prx4 as a novel biomarker of oxidative stress.

Table 3. Changes in classification for Prx4 with age and gender (cardiovascular mortality).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age and gender</th>
<th>&lt;= 10%</th>
<th>10 – 20%</th>
<th>20 – 30%</th>
<th>&gt; = 30%</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>deceased</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 10%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 20%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 30%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; = 30%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>survivals</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;= 10%</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 20%</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 30%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; = 30%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NRI % [95% CI] 11.78 [2.35 – 21.21]

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089719.t003

Table 4. Changes in classification for Prx4 with all known risk factors (cardiovascular mortality).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All known risk factors</th>
<th>&lt;= 10%</th>
<th>10 – 20%</th>
<th>20 – 30%</th>
<th>&gt; = 30%</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>deceased</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;= 10%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 20%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 30%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; = 30%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| survivals              |        |          |          |         |       |
| <= 10%                 | 671    | 22       |          |         | 693   |
| 10 – 20%               | 43     | 127      | 14       | 1       | 185   |
| 20 – 30%               | 2      | 49       | 12       | 73      |       |
| > = 30%                | 1      | 12       | 60       | 73      |       |
| Total                  | 716    | 160      | 75       | 73      | 1024  |

NRI % [95% CI] 6.48 [0.00 – 13.33]

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089719.t004
In response to free oxygen radical production in T2DM, secretion of thiol-dependent peroxidases will increase to participate in the removal of these reactive oxygen species. It is hypothesized that the intracellular removal of hydrogen peroxides by Prx4 and secretion of the enzyme is proportionally upregulated in response to the surrounding oxidative stress [10,14]. Oxidative stress also causes endothelial damage, which possibly could result in additional endothelial tissue leakage of Prx4, contributing to even higher levels of serum Prx4. It still has to be investigated if Prx4 is actively removing hydrogen peroxides in the circulation. Perhaps, Prx4, being part of the antioxidant defense system, can rather be considered as a marker of endothelial cell damage and therefore would indirectly be linked to oxidative stress.

Our study had a few limitations. Patients with higher levels of Prx4 in our study revealed several characteristics that may have influenced Prx4 or oxidative stress in general. These include older age, higher prevalence of albuminuria, higher BMI, lower levels of HDL and less use of lipid lowering drugs like statins. However, even after adjustment for most of these confounders, the association between Prx4 and mortality remained significant.

Furthermore, our analyses were performed in only 1161 out of the initially 1689 included patients, so selection bias may have occurred. Second, we only used a single baseline Prx4 value, we were not able to adjust for potential variability in Prx4 concentrations. Whether sequential measurements of Prx4 will result in a better prediction of mortality in patients with diabetes remains to be investigated. Mortality data ranging up to over 1000 T2DM patients.

To conclude, Prx4 is a circulating antioxidant and is independently associated with increased risk of cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in T2DM. Future studies are needed to answer the question whether Prx4, as a novel biomarker of oxidative stress, may be a new valuable cardiovascular predictor useful for risk stratification in T2DM.
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