University of Groningen

Efficacy of MSC for steroid-refractory acute GVHD associates with MSC donor age and a defined molecular profile

van der Wagen, Lotte E.; Miranda-Bedate, Alberto; Janssen, Anke; Fernando, Febilla; Appukudige, Nagesha; van Dooremalen, Sanne; Westinga, Kasper; Admiraal, Rick; Lorenowicz, Magdalena J.; Huls, Gerwin

Published in:
Bone marrow transplantation

DOI:
[10.1038/s41409-020-0910-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41409-020-0910-9)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2020

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

van der Wagen, L. E., Miranda-Bedate, A., Janssen, A., Fernando, F., Appukudige, N., van Dooremalen, S., Westinga, K., Admiraal, R., Lorenowicz, M. J., Huls, G., Janssen, J. J. W. M., Broers, A. E. C., van der Velden, W. J. F. M., van Marwijk Kooy, R., Hazenberg, M. D., de Haar, C., Lindemans, C., Jan Boelens, J., & Kuball, J. (2020). Efficacy of MSC for steroid-refractory acute GVHD associates with MSC donor age and a defined molecular profile. *Bone marrow transplantation*, 55(11), 2188-2192.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41409-020-0910-9>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Efficacy of MSC for steroid-refractory acute GVHD associates with MSC donor age and a defined molecular profile

Lotte E. van der Wagen^{1,2} · Alberto Miranda-Bedate^{1,2} · Anke Janssen² · Febilla Fernando² · Nagesha Appukudige² · Sanne van Dooremalen² · Kasper Westinga³ · Rick Admiraal⁴ · Magdalena J. Lorenowicz⁵ · Gerwin Huls⁶ · Jeroen J. W. M. Janssen⁷ · Annoek E. C. Broers⁸ · Walter J. F. M. van der Velden⁹ · Rien van Marwijk Kooy¹⁰ · Mette D. Hazenberg¹¹ · Colin de Haar³ · Caroline Lindemans¹² · Jaap Jan Boelens^{2,13} · Jürgen Kuball^{1,2}

Received: 20 January 2020 / Revised: 6 April 2020 / Accepted: 9 April 2020 / Published online: 28 April 2020
© Springer Nature Limited 2020

To the Editor:

Mesenchymal Stromal Cells (MSC) are the most frequently used advanced therapy medicinal product to date [1] and have been reported as a promising treatment option for patients suffering from steroid-refractory acute graft versus host disease (SR-aGVHD) through improving overall survival (OS) in responding patients [2, 3]. We previously performed an open-label, non-randomized phase II study between 2009 and 2012 (www.clinicaltrials.gov, #NCT00827398) in which we treated 48 patients suffering from SR-aGVHD with MSC infusions [2]. After completing this study, we continued the study protocol in a hospital

exemption program, treating another 54 patients as a real-world cohort. All patients provided written informed consent to be either treated in the clinical trial or treated within the hospital exemption program and data sharing. No differences in the characteristics between the study group and hospital exemption cohort were observed except for mean MSC dose per infusion as the MSC dose infused/kg bodyweight was decreased from 2.0 to 1.0 × 10⁶ cells/kg in the hospital exemption cohort (Table 1). Despite the lower MSC dose per infusion, no differences in CR_{GVHD} (48% versus 50%, *p* value 0.854) or 1-year OS (41.7% versus 41%, *p* value 0.987) were observed between the hospital exemption and the clinical trial cohort (Table 1 and Fig. 1a). This observation contrasts with high variations in clinical responses in confirmative cohorts as reported for many other compounds for the treatment of GVHD [4]. As the two clinical cohorts showed equal outcomes, we pooled the two cohorts covering 102 patients receiving 299 MSC infusions derived from 12 different bone marrow (BM)

These authors contributed equally: Lotte E. van der Wagen, Alberto Miranda-Bedate

Supplementary information The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41409-020-0910-9>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

✉ Jürgen Kuball
J.H.E.Kuball@umcutrecht.nl

- ¹ Department of Hematology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands
- ² Laboratory of Translational Immunology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands
- ³ Cell Therapy Facility, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands
- ⁴ Pediatric Blood and Marrow Transplant Program Prinses Maxima Centre for Pediatric Oncology, Utrecht, the Netherlands
- ⁵ Center for Molecular Medicine and Regenerative Medicine Center UMC Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands
- ⁶ Department of Hematology, UMC Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

- ⁷ Department of Hematology, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, loc. VUmc Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands
- ⁸ Department of Hematology, Erasmus Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, the Netherlands
- ⁹ Department of Hematology, Radboud University Medical Center Nijmegen, Nijmegen, the Netherlands
- ¹⁰ Department of Internal Medicine, Isala klinieken Zwolle, Zwolle, the Netherlands
- ¹¹ Department of Hematology, Academic Medical Center Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands
- ¹² Department of Hematology, Princess Maxima Centrum, Utrecht, the Netherlands
- ¹³ Department of Pediatrics, Stem Cell Transplant and Cellular Therapies Program, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA

Table 1 Baseline characteristics and outcome comparing patients treated in clinical trial with patients treated in hospital exemption cohort and comparing patients receiving MSC from young or old MSC donors.

Baseline characteristics	All patients		Exemption program		Study cohort		<i>p</i> value	All patients treated with one MSC donor		Donor <10 years		Donor >10 years		<i>p</i> value
Patients— <i>n</i> (%)	102	100	54	52.9	48	47.1		77	100	56	72.7	21	27.3	
Age—years (range)	44.5	(1.3–68.9)	44.6	(2–67)	44.3	(1–68)	0.938	44	(1–68)	42.8	(1–67)	42.3	(1–68)	0.359
Child— <i>n</i> (%)	15	14.7	8	14.8	7	14.6	0.974	12	15.6	9	16.1	3	14.3	0.85
Male— <i>n</i> (%)	71	69.6	40	74.1	31	64.6	0.306	55	71.4	42	75	13	61.9	0.263
Mean days since HSCT (median)	112	74	112	63	112	85	0.997	108.1	72	115.6	71	88.5	74	0.393
Mean days from aGVHD to MSC (median)	24	11	24	10.5	24	10.5	0.936	21.7	10.5	24.4	13	14.1	10	0.252
Mean MSC/infusion— <i>n</i> × 10 ⁶ /kg (range)	1.5	0.8–2.7	1.3	0.8–2.7	1.6	0.9–2.5	<0.001	1.51	1.41	1.43	0.8–2.7	1.73	0.9–2.4	0.021
Primary disease— <i>n</i> (%)							0.638							0.54
Myeloid neoplasms	58	56.9	31	57.4	27	56.3		41	53.2	31	55.4	10	47.6	
Lymphoid neoplasms	36	35.3	20	37	16	33.3		30	39	21	37.5	9	42.9	
Nonmalignant disorders	8	7.8	3	5.6	5	10.4		6	8.8	4	7.1	2	9.5	
Stemcell source— <i>n</i> (%)							0.701							0.713
PBSC	77	75.5	40	74.1	37	77.1		56	72.7	40	71.4	16	76.2	
BM	7	6.9	3	5.6	4	8.3		7	9.1	5	8.9	2	9.5	
CB	17	16.7	10	18.5	7	14.6		13	16.9	10	17.9	3	14.3	
Type of donor— <i>n</i> (%)							0.955							0.157
Sibling	21	20.6	11	20.4	10	20.8		19	24.7	16	28.6	3	14.3	
MUD	81	79.4	43	79.6	38	79.2		58	75.3	40	71.4	18	85.7	
Myeloablative— <i>n</i> (%)	31	30.4	14	25.9	17	35.4	0.306	23	29.9	15	26.8	8	38.1	0.341
Overall GVHD grade— <i>n</i> (%)							0.557							0.806
Grade II	29	28.4	15	27.8	12	25		22	28.6	17	30.4	5	23.8	
Grade III	65	63.7	32	59.3	33	68.8		47	61	31	55.4	16	76.2	
Grade IV	8	7.8	5	9.3	3	6.3		8	10.4	8	14.3	0	0	
Skin GVHD— <i>n</i> (%)	50	49	25	46.3	25	52.1	0.564	40	51.9	30	53.6	10	47.6	0.647
Gut GVHD— <i>n</i> (%)	88	86.3	46	85.2	42	87.5	0.738	66	85.7	47	83.9	19	90.5	0.471
Liver GVHD— <i>n</i> (%)	40	39.2	23	42.6	17	35.4	0.464	31	40.3	22	39.3	9	42.9	0.779
Pretreated 2nd line GVHD agents— <i>n</i> (%) ^a	4	3.9	3	5.6	1	2.1	0.22	3	3.9	3	5.4	0	0	0.103
Results														
CR-GVHD— <i>n</i> (%)	50	49	26	48	24	50	0.854	39	50.6	32	57.1	7	33.3	0.064
1-year OS— <i>n</i> (%)	42	41.6	22	41	20	41.7	0.987	33	42.9	28	50	5	23.8	0.039

To retrospectively test if there are differences in characteristics in patients treated with MSC in the original clinical trial cohort versus patients treated with MSC in the hospital exemption program as well as possible differences in baseline characteristics between patients treated with young or old MSC.

Groups were compared using independent samples *t* test or Anova in case of >2 groups. No significant differences could be detected except the mean MSC dose per infusion as the dose of MSC infused/kg bodyweight was decreased from 2.0 to 1.0 × 10⁶ cells/kg in the hospital exemption cohort.

aGVHD acute graft versus host disease, *MSC* mesenchymal stromal cells, *PBSC* peripheral blood stem cells, *BM* bone marrow, *CB* cord blood, *MUD* matched unrelated donor.

^aAll patients were steroid refractory and had been treated with ciclosporin or tacrolimus according to the inclusion criteria of the study protocol, most patients had also received mycophenolic acid (MMF) as standard GVHD prophylaxis. No patients were treated with postransplant cyclophosphamide (PTCy). Four of 102 patients had been treated with other second line treatments before starting MSC. These patients had received etanercept (*n* = 4) and two of these patients had also been pretreated with inolimomab.

Significant changes are in bold in order to draw the attention of the reader to these values.

donors. Median number of infusions was 3 (range 1–4). The majority (75.5%) of patients received all MSC infusions from the same donor, 20.6% received MSC from two donors, and 3.9% received MSC from three different donors. Two donors were used to treat 28.4% and 43.1% of patients respectively. All MSC infusions were tolerated well without any acute infusion-related toxicity. When the two

cohorts were taken together, 49% of patients achieved CR_{GVHD}. One-year OS for the entire cohort was 41.6% with a significantly improved 1-year OS for responding patients (83.7%) vs. non-responding patients (1.9%, log-rank test *p* value < 0.001). Causes of death were relapse of primary malignancy (9.7%), GVHD (43.5%), infection (32.3%), and other (14.5%). In the pooled cohort we identified again by a

Fig. 1 Overall survival of the different clinical cohorts and molecular profile of MSC from young donors. Kaplan–Meier curves were constructed to plot 1-year OS. **a** No difference in 1-year OS in patients treated in the study cohort or hospital exemption program (41.7% vs. 41%, p value 0.895) ($n = 102$). **b** In the group who received MSC from only one donor ($n = 77$) a significant survival benefit for patients treated with MSC donors <10 years of age vs. >10 years of age (50.9% vs. 23.8%, p value 0.039) is shown. Log-rank test was used to test for significant differences. **c** Principal component analysis (PCA) from RNA-seq data of young BM donors (age < 10 years) versus old BM donors (age > 20 years) shows a clear

transcriptional segregation of donors based on age. **d** Differentially expressed genes present in the module related with youth. All in gray marked genes marked with an Asterisk (*) had a p value < 0.05 after Bonferroni–Hochberg p value correction. Columns represent *Gene* (hgnc-) *symbol*, *Gene Fold Change* of the corresponding gene in the different comparisons, and *GO pathway* (higher ranked GO enrichment term in where the gene was found). Reported functional impact within the context of MSC is also reported with the appropriate reference. NA not available. Asterisk (*) indicates Gene fold change marked gray represents significant change in direction fitting with our data.

multivariate Cox proportional hazards model, in line with our previous prospective clinical trial cohort [2], patient age (HR 1.023, CI 1.006–1.04, $p = 0.006$), and GVHD severity GVHD (HR 1.708, CI 1.037–2.812, $p = 0.036$) as independent predictive variables for OS (Supplementary Materials and Supplementary Table 1). Mean MSC dose was not

correlated to either CR-GVHD or OS (Supplementary Table 1).

Identifying optimal MSC properties would allow for selecting the best product for patients and subsequently increase success rate. MSC for our clinical trial and hospital exemption program were all generated from rest

material from pediatric and adult BM programs with the very same production process. Therefore, no major product variables have been observed, except that clinical characteristics of the twelve MSC donors showed in contrast to many other MSC programs [5] a rather wide range of MSC donor age (range 2–33, median 9.5). Donor age of MSC has been reported to associate with different molecular properties [6]. We therefore hypothesized that different MSC properties derived from young versus adult donors might impact clinical outcomes. Consequently, from patients receiving MSC derived from a single donor ($n=77$), donors have been clustered based on their median age into cohorts below or above 10 years (Table 1). Cox regression analysis did not show MSC donor age to statistically impact the cumulative incidence of CR-GVHD (p value 0.119), as reported previously for other biomarkers [2]. However, a significant survival benefit for patients treated with MSC derived from young (<10 years of age) compared to older (>10 years) MSC donors (Fig. 1b, log-rank p value 0.039, $n=77$) was observed. In the multivariate analysis patient age (HR 1.022, CI 1.006–1.039, $p=0.0087$), severity of GVHD/liver GVHD (HR 1.356, CI 1.069–1.719, $p=0.012$) and MSC donor age (HR 2.006, CI 1.091–3.687, $p=0.025$) remained significantly predictive independent variables for OS (Supplementary Table 1).

Several biological properties of MSC could contribute to the age-related effects observed in the clinic. Therefore, cell viability of cultured MSC as surrogate marker for fitness was tested, as well as their ability to suppress an immune reaction. However, neither differences in cell viability nor ex vivo T-cell suppressive capacity of MSC in mixed lymphocyte reaction did associate with 1-year OS (Supplementary Fig. 1). We did also not observe major differences in circulating immune subsets in a selection of patients receiving MSC from young or old donors (Supplementary Fig. 2).

To identify other potential beneficial assets of MSC derived from young BM donors we performed a transcriptome analysis. In order to increase the power of the analysis we extended the sample size of MSC of young (<10 years) and old (>20 years) MSC donors, to eight donors from the clinical cohort and eight additional donors. Principal component analysis showed a clear segregation of young and old donors (Fig. 1c). The comparison of young versus old donors revealed 104 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) having an absolute fold change (FC) >1.5. From these DEGs, 73 genes were downregulated and 31 genes were upregulated. To further highlight additional genes and pathways correlated with MSC age, we performed a Weighted gene correlation network analysis [7–9]. One module showed a correlation with the decrease of age (young MSC) (Pearson

correlation = 0.85; p value < 0.001), which was composed of 832 genes, and including within them ten DEGs. Gene ontology enrichment analysis for biological processes allowed to highlight for nine of these ten DEGs, pathways of which two have been described in the context of MSC previously. Further literature search for functional characterization of the remaining DEGs identified six genes that have been studied in more detail (Fig. 1d). For example, EPHB2 [10] has been reported to be involved in MSC-mediated T-cell suppressive activity and SOX11 [11] and TRIM59 [12] have been suggested to further promote MSC differentiation (Fig. 1d) [13–15]. An increase in expression of the ten candidate DEGs was confirmed when comparing our young MSC not only to a second independent data set of MSC derived from older donors [16] but also to cord blood derived MSC [17, 18] (Fig. 1d), suggesting a unique property of BM derived MSC from young donors.

In order to further validate our findings, we assessed whether our identified genes from young donors are indeed translated into proteins in an additional independent data set [6]. From the 31 upregulated genes identified in our cohort (median Pearson correlation with young MSC = 0.77) that were further characterized by proteomic analyses 96% ($n=30$) have been reported to be regulated in the same way (upregulation) at the protein level (Supplementary Table 2), supporting the validity of our transcriptional approach. Regardless of biological impact of proteins identified by our transcriptomic and proteomic computational studies, expression levels either determined by RNA or protein analyses might serve as surrogate markers for MSC potency in vivo, and inspire to explore their functional role within the context of the treatment of SR-aGVHD and also in other diseases such as COVID19+ disease where MSC were recently shown to have possible beneficial effects [19].

Acknowledgements The authors thank the research technicians S. Heijhuurs, S. Hol, and Z. Stachova for processing patient materials and thank the multiplex immunoassay core facility at the UMC Utrecht for their cooperation. This work was partly supported by a grant from the Dutch Cancer Society to LEW (UU 2011-5250) and UU 2015-7601 to JK.

Author contributions LEW, SD, and MJL performed experiments; LEW, AMB, FF, NA, and RA analyzed results and made the figures; LEW, JJB, and JK designed the research, LEW, JK, KW, CH, CL, and JJB were responsible for patient care, LEW, AJ, AMB, GH, JJ, AB, WV, RMK, MH, and JK wrote the paper.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest JK is cofounder and scientific advisor of GADETA and inventor on different patents dealing with gδTCRs and their ligands as well as isolation strategies. The other authors declare no competing financial interests. JK receives research support from GADETA, Novartis and Miltenyi Biotech.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- Chabannon C, Kuball J, Bondanza A, Dazzi F, Pedrazzoli P, Toubert A, et al. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in its 60s: a platform for cellular therapies. *Sci Transl Med*. 2018;10. <https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aap9630>.
- Te Boome LC, Mansilla C, van der Wagen LE, Lindemans CA, Petersen EJ, Spierings E, et al. Biomarker profiling of steroid-resistant acute GVHD in patients after infusion of mesenchymal stromal cells. *Leukemia*. 2015;29:1839–46. <https://doi.org/10.1038/leu.2015.89>.
- Lucchini G, Introna M, Dander E, Rovelli A, Balduzzi A, Bonanomi S, et al. Platelet-lysate-expanded mesenchymal stromal cells as a salvage therapy for severe resistant graft-versus-host disease in a pediatric population. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2010;16:1293–301.
- Martin PJ, Rizzo JD, Wingard JR, Ballen K, Curtin PT, Cutler C, et al. First- and second-line systemic treatment of acute graft-versus-host disease: recommendations of the American Society of Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2012;18:1150–63.
- Trento C, Bernardo ME, Nagler A, Kuci S, Bornhauser M, Kohl U, et al. Manufacturing mesenchymal stromal cells for the treatment of graft-versus-host disease: a survey among centers affiliated with the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2018;24:2365–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbmt.2018.07.015>.
- Hennrich ML, Romanov N, Horn P, Jaeger S, Eckstein V, Steeples V, et al. Cell-specific proteome analyses of human bone marrow reveal molecular features of age-dependent functional decline. *Nat Commun*. 2018;9:4004. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06353-4>.
- Oldham MC, Konopka G, Iwamoto K, Langfelder P, Kato T, Horvath S, et al. Functional organization of the transcriptome in human brain. *Nat Neurosci*. 2008;11:1271–82. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2207>.
- Horvath S, Zhang B, Carlson M, Lu KV, Zhu S, Feliciano RM, et al. Analysis of oncogenic signaling networks in glioblastoma identifies ASPM as a molecular target. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2006;103:17402–7. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0608396103>.
- Emilsson V, Thorleifsson G, Zhang B, Leonardson AS, Zink F, Zhu J, et al. Genetics of gene expression and its effect on disease. *Nature*. 2008;452:423–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06758>.
- Nguyen TM, Arthur A, Hayball JD, Gronthos S. EphB and Ephrin-B interactions mediate human mesenchymal stem cell suppression of activated T-cells. *Stem Cells Dev*. 2013;22:2751–64. <https://doi.org/10.1089/scd.2012.0676>.
- Xu L, Huang S, Hou Y, Liu Y, Ni M, Meng F, et al. Sox11-modified mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) accelerate bone fracture healing: Sox11 regulates differentiation and migration of MSCs. *FASEB J*. 2015;29:1143–52. <https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.14-254169>.
- Guan Q, Ezzati P, Spicer V, Krokhn O, Wall D, Wilkins JA. Interferon gamma induced compositional changes in human bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem/stromal cells. *Clin Proteom*. 2017;14:26. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12014-017-9161-1>.
- Del Real A, Perez-Campo FM, Fernandez AF, Sanudo C, Ibarbia CG, Perez-Nunez MI, et al. Differential analysis of genome-wide methylation and gene expression in mesenchymal stem cells of patients with fractures and osteoarthritis. *Epigenetics*. 2017;12:113–22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15592294.2016.1271854>.
- Rodini CO, Goncalves da Silva PB, Assoni AF, Carvalho VM, Okamoto OK. Mesenchymal stem cells enhance tumorigenic properties of human glioblastoma through independent cell-cell communication mechanisms. *Oncotarget*. 2018;9:24766–77. <https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.25346>.
- Huang IH, Hsiao CT, Wu JC, Shen RF, Liu CY, Wang YK, et al. GEF-H1 controls focal adhesion signaling that regulates mesenchymal stem cell lineage commitment. *J Cell Sci*. 2014;127:4186–200. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.150227>.
- Andrzejewska A, Catar R, Schoon J, Qazi TH, Sass FA, Jacobi D, et al. Multi-parameter analysis of biobanked human bone marrow stromal cells shows little influence for donor age and mild comorbidities on phenotypic and functional properties. *Front Immunol*. 2019;10:2474. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.02474>.
- Ma J, Wu J, Han L, Jiang X, Yan L, Hao J, et al. Comparative analysis of mesenchymal stem cells derived from amniotic membrane, umbilical cord, and chorionic plate under serum-free condition. *Stem Cell Res Ther*. 2019;10:19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13287-018-1104-x>.
- Xiao YFC. Transcriptome sequencing analysis of Mesenchymal Stem Cells derived from the Human Placenta and Umbilical Cord. In: 2019. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE131355>.
- Zhao RC, Jin K, Caruso C, Ellison-Hughes G, Min K-J, Chakrabarti S, et al. Transplantation of ACE2- mesenchymal stem cells improves the outcome of patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. *Aging Dis*. 2020;11:216–28. <https://doi.org/10.14336/ad.2020.0228>.