University of Groningen

Cr₆Te₈(PEt₃)₆ and a Molecule-Based Synthesis of Cr₃Te₄

Hessen, B.; Siegrist, T.; Palstra, T.; Tanzler, S.M.; Steigerwald, M.L.

Published in:
 Inorganic Chemistry

DOI:
[10.1021/ic00075a037](https://doi.org/10.1021/ic00075a037)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
 Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
 1993

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Hessen, B., Siegrist, T., Palstra, T., Tanzler, S. M., & Steigerwald, M. L. (1993). Cr₆Te₈(PEt₃)₆ and a Molecule-Based Synthesis of Cr₃Te₄. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 32(23). <https://doi.org/10.1021/ic00075a037>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Cr₆Te₈(PEt₃)₆ and a Molecule-Based Synthesis of Cr₃Te₄

B. Hessen,[†] T. Siegrist, T. Palstra, S. M. Tanzler, and M. L. Steigerwald*

AT&T Bell Laboratories, 600 Mountain Avenue, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974

Received May 7, 1993[®]

The molecular cluster compound Cr₆Te₈(PEt₃)₆ (**1**) is formed by the reaction of TePEt₃ with either (Et₃P)₂Cr(allyl)₂ or Cr(2,4-dimethylpentadienyl)₂. This compound can be converted to the extended inorganic solid state compound Cr₃Te₄ by simple thermolysis. We have determined the structure of the title compound crystallographically (monoclinic; *a* = 13.076(5) Å, *b* = 21.194(7) Å, *c* = 23.694(7) Å, *b* = 105.21(5)°, *V* = 6276(10) Å³, *Z* = 4). The molecule is formed by a Cr₆ octahedron, a Te₈ cube, and a (PEt₃)₆ octahedron, all of which are concentric. We compare and contrast the structure and properties of the cluster with those of related solid-state compounds.

Introduction

In the effort to elucidate and understand the pathways by which molecular reagents come together to form extended solids, we have found that a number of complexes of low-valent transition metals react with phosphine chalcogenides to give solid state metal chalcogenides.¹⁻⁷ In each case, we have been able to isolate and characterize molecular compounds which occur in the molecules-to-solids process and have found that polynuclear metal chalcogenide clusters so isolated can be appreciated as distorted (reconstructed) fragments of associated solid-state compounds. Our studies to date have dealt primarily with the later transition metals owing to the availability of low-valent complexes of those metals. Inasmuch as the earlier transition metals are harder Lewis acids, we have been curious how far to the left in the transition series this simple molecules-to-solids prescription may be pushed. Herein we report the extension of these investigations to the case of chromium.

We find that low-valent chromium species react with triethylphosphine telluride to form the cluster compound Cr₆Te₈(PEt₃)₆ (**1**). We report the structure of this molecular cluster, as determined crystallographically. We also report that this paramagnetic material can be pyrolyzed in the solid state to form the ferromagnetic solid Cr₃Te₄.

Experimental Section

Unless noted to the contrary, all manipulations were conducted under inert atmosphere using conventional techniques. Triethylphosphine (Aldrich), allyl magnesium chloride (Aldrich), chromium dichloride (Strem), and tellurium (Aldrich) were used as received. Solvents were anhydrous and were used as received from Aldrich. Triethylphosphine telluride was prepared using literature methods.⁸ Bis(2,4-dimethylpentadienyl)chromium was prepared by the method of Ernst and co-workers.^{9,10} Magnetic

susceptibility measurements were made on a SQUID magnetometer using standard techniques.

Preparation of Cr₆Te₈(PEt₃)₆, **1.** (A) A solution of (Et₃P)₂CrCl₂ was prepared from CrCl₂ (0.59 g, 4.8 mmol) and PEt₃ (1.13 g, 9.6 mmol) in THF (25 mL). This was cooled to -80 °C and treated with C₃H₅MgCl (4.8 mL of 2.0 M solution in THF). After approximately 15 min, a solution of TePEt₃ in THF (1.57 g in 15 mL) was added dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm slowly to room temperature and was stirred overnight. The volatile components were removed *in vacuo*, and residual THF was removed by adding toluene (15 mL), agitating the mixture, and then removing the volatile material *in vacuo*. The solid was treated with pentane (20 mL), the mixture agitated again, and the volatile material again removed *in vacuo*. The resulting solid was extracted twice with toluene. The combined extracts were concentrated and cooled to -20 °C to yield **1** as dark brown crystals.

(B) Bis(2,4-dimethylpentadienyl)chromium (3.07 g, 12.7 mmol) dissolved in toluene (50 mL) was treated with a solution of TePEt₃ (3.12 g, 12.7 mmol) and PEt₃ (1.50 g, 12.7 mmol) in toluene (40 mL). This solution was heated to reflux under argon. Upon heating, the original deep green color of Cr(DMPD)₂ gave way to the deep brown color of **1**. The mixture was cooled to room temperature after 18 h at reflux and filtered through a medium porosity glass frit. The solution was condensed *in vacuo* to approximately one-third of its original volume and then cooled to -20 °C to initiate crystallization. The resulting solid was isolated, washed with pentane (2 × 20 mL), and dried to give **1** (1.22 g, 0.60 mmol, 38%). There is latitude in the starting ratio of Cr and Te reagents. With a molar ratio of Cr(DMPD)₂ to TePEt₃ of 3:4 the same percent yield was realized.

Anal. Calcd for C₃₆H₉₀Cr₆P₆Te₈: C, 21.18; H, 4.44; Cr, 15.28; P, 9.10; Te, 50.00. Found: C, 21.13; H, 4.38; Cr, 15.10; P, 9.07; Te, 49.75. The compound is soluble in toluene and THF. In solution (toluene) **1** shows intense but featureless absorption across the UV-visible region. The material is paramagnetic with an effective magnetic moment of 2.8 *m_B* per cluster.

Solution-Phase Cothermolysis of Cr(C₇H₁₁)₂ and TePEt₃. Cr(C₇H₁₁)₂ (0.23 g, 0.95 mmol) dissolved in toluene (10 mL) was treated with TePEt₃ (0.31 g, 1.26 mmol). The resulting solution was heated at reflux for 20 h. At the end of this time, the mixture was cooled to room temperature and filtered. The solid was washed (pentane, 2 × 5 mL) and dried to give a dark gray powder (0.19 g). This powder was amorphous as judged by powder X-ray diffraction.

A portion of this amorphous solid (0.18 g) was sealed in an evacuated Pyrex tube and heated at 400 °C for 3 h. The solid

[†] Present address: Koninklijke Shell Laboratorium Amsterdam, P.O. Box 3003, NL-1003, AA Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

[®] Abstract published in *Advance ACS Abstracts*, October 1, 1993.

- (1) Steigerwald, M. L.; Rice, C. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 4228.
- (2) Steigerwald, M. L. *Chem. Mater.* **1989**, *1*, 52.
- (3) Brennan, J. G.; Siegrist, T.; Stuczynski, S. M.; Steigerwald, M. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 9240.
- (4) Brennan, J. G.; Siegrist, T.; Stuczynski, S. M.; Steigerwald, M. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 9233.
- (5) Steigerwald, M. L.; Siegrist, T.; Stuczynski, S. M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1991**, *30*, 2256.
- (6) Steigerwald, M. L.; Siegrist, T.; Stuczynski, S. M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1991**, *30*, 4940.
- (7) Steigerwald, M. L.; Siegrist, T.; Stuczynski, S. M.; Kwon, Y.-U. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 3155.
- (8) Zingaro, R. A.; Stevens, B. H.; Irgolic, K. J. *Organomet. Chem.* **1965**, *4*, 320.
- (9) Wilson, D. R.; Liu, J.-Z.; Ernst, R. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 1120.

(10) Wilson, D. R.; Stahl, L.; Ernst, R. D. *Organomet. Synth.* **1986**, *3*, 136.

Table I. Crystallographic Data for $\text{Cr}_6\text{Te}_8(\text{PET}_3)_6$ (Monoclinic Form)

empirical formula	$\text{Cr}_6\text{Te}_8\text{P}_6\text{C}_{36}\text{H}_{90}$	Z	4
fw	2041.73	T	23 °C
space group	$I2/a$	r_{calc}	2.162 g/cm ³
a	13.03(2) Å	m	4.81 mm ⁻¹
b	21.129(5) Å	g	0.709 30 Å Mo $K\alpha$
c	23.618(8) Å	R^a	0.040
b	105.21(5)°	R_w^b	0.041
V	6276(10) Å ³		

$$^a R = [\Sigma(F_o - F_c)]/[\Sigma F_o], \quad ^b R_w = [\Sigma w(F_o - F_c)^2]/[\Sigma w(F_o)^2].$$

was washed (pentane, 2 × 5 mL) and dried to give a dark gray solid (0.15 g). Powder X-ray diffraction from this material shows only Cr_3Te_4 .¹¹

Crystallographic Determination of the Structure of $\text{Cr}_6\text{Te}_8(\text{PET}_3)_6$. **A. Monoclinic Crystal.** Crystals of **1** were obtained by slowly cooling a THF solution to -25 °C. A crystal fragment suitable for X-ray diffraction (approximately 0.2 × 0.2 × 0.2 mm) was mounted into a Lindemann capillary in a He-filled drybox, and the capillary was subsequently sealed. Diffraction data were collected on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer using graphite-monochromatized Mo $K\alpha$ radiation and the NRCCAD program package.¹² The collected data are summarized in Table I. Calculations were performed on an Alliant FX/80 computer using the NRCVAX program package.¹³ Spherical absorption corrections were applied. Lattice parameters were determined from absolute 2θ values of 24 reflections with $36.9^\circ < 2\theta < 44.0^\circ$.

The structure was solved using direct methods. One of the independent PET_3 ligands exhibits orientational disorder of the ethyl substituents. This was modeled using 12 carbon atom positions with half-occupancy. The heavy atoms (Cr, Te, and P) were refined using anisotropic temperature factors.

B. Rhombohedral Crystal. A crystal of the same molecular material was mounted as above and found to have rhombohedral rather than monoclinic symmetry. Diffraction data were collected and analyzed as above. (Details of this crystallography are included in the supplementary material.) The molecular structure of this material is essentially identical to that of the monoclinic sample. The crystal structure differs only in how the $\text{Cr}_6\text{Te}_8(\text{PET}_3)_6$ units pack. The rhombohedral crystals decayed quickly in the X-ray beam. This led to a large number of reflections being unobserved (approximately 50% observed) and, consequently, to poor R values.

Pyrolysis of $\text{Cr}_6\text{Te}_8(\text{PET}_3)_6$. We examined the thermal behavior of solid samples of $\text{Cr}_6\text{Te}_8(\text{PET}_3)_6$ with differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). This showed a broad endotherm between 210 and 250 °C. To determine the products of the thermal reaction, we thermolyzed the cluster on a larger scale: $\text{Cr}_6\text{Te}_8(\text{PET}_3)_6$ (90 mg, 0.044 mmol) was sealed in an evacuated Pyrex tube and heated at 315 °C for 19 h. The tube was cooled and opened, and the solid was collected, washed (2 × 5 mL pentane), and dried *in vacuo* to give 55 mg (complete removal of PET_3 would give 59 mg) of a dark gray solid. Powder X-ray diffraction (Cu $K\alpha$) showed only Cr_3Te_4 .¹¹

Results

We sought convenient sources of reactive, low-valent chromium and two candidates emerged: (1) Jolly and co-workers reported recently¹⁴ that $(\text{Et}_3\text{P})_2\text{CrCl}_2$ reacts with 2 equiv of $\text{C}_3\text{H}_5\text{MgCl}$ to give $(\text{Et}_3\text{P})_2\text{Cr}(\text{C}_3\text{H}_5)_2$, which decomposes at low temperature

Figure 1. Molecular structure of $\text{Cr}_6\text{Te}_8(\text{PET}_3)_6$ (**1**). The unlabeled circles represent P atoms. The carbon and hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

(>-70 °C), presumably to give a low-valent chromium species. (2) Ernst and co-workers have reported¹⁵⁻¹⁷ that bis(2,4-dimethylpentadienyl)chromium ($\text{Cr}(\text{DMPD})_2$) reacts like “naked” chromium in the sense that the “open chromocene” gives $\text{Cr}(\text{Me}_2\text{PC}_2\text{H}_4\text{PMe}_2)_3$ and $\text{Cr}(\text{CN}(t\text{-C}_4\text{H}_9))_6$ when it is treated with $\text{Me}_2\text{PC}_2\text{H}_4\text{PMe}_2$ and $\text{CN}(t\text{-C}_4\text{H}_9)$, respectively. We find that each of these candidates provides a functional equivalent of $\text{Cr}(0)$ on reaction with triethylphosphine telluride and have found that each can be used in a molecule-based synthesis of solid-state chromium telluride. (One might imagine that $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$ would be a good alternative source of $\text{Cr}(0)$. We find $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$ to be reluctant to react with the phosphine chalcogenides to give the corresponding extended solids. For a related study, see ref 18.)

A solution containing $(\text{Et}_3\text{P})_2\text{Cr}(\text{C}_3\text{H}_5)_2$ in THF was prepared at low temperature by following a procedure similar to that described by Jolly and co-workers.¹⁴ A cooled solution of Et_3PTe in THF was added, and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. Evaporation, extraction, and crystallization gave a compound which we subsequently identified as $\text{Cr}_6\text{Te}_8(\text{PET}_3)_6$ (**1**; eq 1).

The same compound, **1**, can be prepared from the “open chromocene” $\text{Cr}(\text{DMPD})_2$. When $\text{Cr}(\text{DMPD})_2$, TePET_3 , and PET_3 are combined in equimolar amounts in toluene, there is no apparent reaction at room temperature, but on being heated at reflux the initially deep green solution becomes dark brown and the hexanuclear cluster **1** can be isolated as a dark crystalline solid (eq 2). The product of eq 2 is identical in all respects to that formed in eq 1.

We have determined the structure of **1** crystallographically, and a drawing of its structure is shown in Figure 1, with selected intramolecular bond distances and angles given in Table II. The cluster can be idealized as an octahedron of six Cr atoms concentric with a cube of eight Te atoms, with the structure being completed

- (11) Haraldsen, H.; Neuber, A. Z. *Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1937**, 234, 533.
- (12) LePage, Y.; White, P. S.; Gabe, E. J. *Proceedings of the American Crystallographic Association Annual Meeting of 1986*; Hamilton, Canada; AIP: New York, 1986; Poster PA23.
- (13) Gabe, E. J.; Lee, F. L.; LePage, Y.; Charland, J. P.; Lee, F. L.; White, P. S. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **1989**, 22, 384.
- (14) Betz, P.; Jolly, P. W.; Krüger, C.; Zakrzewski, U. *Organometallics* **1991**, 10, 3520.

- (15) Ernst, R. D. *Chem. Rev.* **1988**, 88, 1255.
- (16) Newbound, T. D.; Freeman, J. W.; Wilson, D. R.; Kralik, M. S.; Patton, A. T.; Campana, C. F.; Ernst, R. D. *Organometallics* **1987**, 6, 2432.
- (17) See also: Giannini, U.; Pellino, E.; Lachi, M. P. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1968**, 12, 551.
- (18) Kuhn, N.; Schumann, H.; Wolmershäuser, G. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1985**, 1595.

Table II. Selected Interatomic Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) in Cr₆Te₈(PEt₃)₆^a

Cr1-Te1	2.653(3)	Cr2-Te1	2.647(3)	Cr3-Te1	2.655(5)
Cr1-Te2	2.662(4)	Cr2-Te2'	2.639(3)	Cr3-Te2	2.655(3)
Cr1-Te3'	2.648(3)	Cr2-Te3'	2.649(4)	Cr3-Te3	2.657(4)
Cr1-Te4	2.651(3)	Cr2-Te4'	2.653(4)	Cr3-Te4'	2.652(3)
Cr1-Cr2	2.945(5)	Cr2-Cr1	2.945(5)	Cr3-Cr1	2.896(5)
Cr1-Cr2'	2.939(4)	Cr2-Cr1'	2.939(4)	Cr3-Cr1'	2.943(4)
Cr1-Cr3	2.896(5)	Cr2-Cr3	2.953(4)	Cr3-Cr2	2.953(4)
Cr1-Cr3'	2.943(3)	Cr2-Cr3'	2.935(4)	Cr3-Cr2'	2.935(4)
Cr1-P1	2.428(6)	Cr2-P2	2.436(5)	Cr3-P3	2.435(5)

av values		av values		av values	
	monoclinic	rhombohedral		monoclinic	rhombohedral
<i>d</i> (Cr-Te)	2.652	2.668	<i>d</i> (Cr-Cr)	2.935	2.979
Angles around Cr1 ^b					
Te1-Cr1-Te2		91.18(7)	Te1-Cr1-Te4		171.7(1)
Te1-Cr1-Te3'		89.40(7)	Te2-Cr1-Te3'		171.1(1)
Te2-Cr1-Te4		89.20(8)			
Te3'-Cr1-Te4		88.96(8)			
averages		89.7			171.4
(av _{rhomb})		87.9(2)			169.0(2)
Cr2-Cr1-Cr2'		91.0(1)	Cr2-Cr1-Cr3		60.7(1)
Cr3-Cr1-Cr3'		90.1(1)	Cr2-Cr1-Cr3'		59.8(1)
			Cr2'-Cr1-C3		60.4(1)
			Cr2'-Cr1-Cr3'		60.3(1)
(av _{rhomb})		90.0(2)			60.0(3)
Angles around Te1 ^c					
Cr1-Te1-Cr2		67.4(1)	Cr2-Te1-Cr3		67.2(1)
Cr1-Te1-Cr3		66.1(1)			
			(av _{rhomb})		67.9(1.3))

^a Values are for the monoclinic modification unless noted otherwise. ^b The angles around Cr2 and Cr3 are similar. ^c The angles around Te2, Te3, and Te4 are similar.

by a set of six PEt₃ ligands, one phosphine coordinated to each Cr. The edge Cr-Cr distance in the Cr₆ octahedron is 2.94 Å, and the edge Te-Te distance in the Te₈ cube is 3.74 Å. The Cr-P distance is in the normal range;¹⁴ however, the Cr-Te distance, 2.652 Å, is shorter than those reported for other Cr-Te molecular compounds: 2.807 Å in [Cp(CO)₃Cr]₂Te,¹⁹ 2.726 Å in [(CO)₄CrTe₄]^{3-,20} and 2.719 Å in [Cr₃Te₂₄]^{3-,21}.

The cluster compound also occasionally formed crystals that showed rhombohedral symmetry, and we solved the structure of this crystal modification as well. The molecular connectivity of Cr₆Te₈(PEt₃)₆ in this form is the same as that in the monoclinic modification. The interatomic distances and angles differ very slightly. (See Table II for the differences in average values and the supplementary material for the complete crystallographic information concerning the rhombohedral form of this compound.) We observed the rhombohedral material only rarely, and this made both the complete study of the rhombohedral modification and a complete comparison of the two forms of Cr₆Te₈(PEt₃)₆ difficult. It is interesting to note in passing that the calculated volume per cluster for the rhombohedral form of **1** is approximately 10% larger than that for the monoclinic form. We saw no evidence to suggest that the voids implied by the larger calculated volume per cluster were filled with solvent; however, we cannot exclude that possibility. Whether the voids were filled or empty, we believe that the decay of the diffraction from the rhombohedral crystals is due to the metastable crystals crumbling in the attempt to pack the clusters more closely.

When Cr(DMPD)₂ and TePEt₃ were combined in a 3:4 molar ratio in the absence of additional PEt₃ and heated at reflux in toluene for an extended period, a dark, insoluble material formed. We have not analyzed this material *per se*, but the subsequent

annealing of this amorphous solid yielded polycrystalline Cr₃Te₄. The same solid-state compound is the result of the direct thermolysis of Cr₆Te₈(PEt₃)₄. These results establish that TePEt₃ can be used in conjunction with either Cr(PEt₃)₂(C₃H₅)₂ or Cr-(DMPD)₂ to yield solid-state tellurides of chromium.

Discussion

In our study of molecules-to-solids processes, we are observing a general pattern: first, that the combination of low-valent complexes of various transition metals¹⁻⁷ with TePEt₃ gives extended solid state metal tellurides, and, second, that polynuclear metal telluride molecular clusters form when the reaction conditions are appropriately tempered. In the present case, we also find the additional feature that the identical cluster, Cr₆Te₈(PEt₃)₆, results from the reaction of two different chromium reagents with TePEt₃, even though the reactions are conducted under different conditions. This emphasizes that there is particular stability, either kinetic or thermodynamic, connected with the cluster compound.

When viewed *in toto*, these results suggest that there are significant correspondences to be found (and exploited) between the molecular clusters and associated extended solids. In this sense, the obvious solid-state relatives of Cr₆Te₈(PEt₃)₆ are Cr₃-Te₄, the compound which results from the thermolysis of the cluster, and the family of solid metal chalcogenides known as Chevrel phases.

The structure of Cr₃Te₄ is a distortion of the metal-deficient NiAs structure type,²² in which each Cr atom is central to a distorted octahedron of six Te atoms. In this solid, the average Cr-Te distance is 2.76 Å,¹¹ significantly longer than the average Cr-Te bonding distance in **1** (2.65 Å). Direct Te-Te bonding is important neither in the solid nor in the cluster: the shortest Te-Te distances in Cr₃Te₄ and **1** are 3.81 and 3.74 Å, respectively. The question of Cr-Cr bonding is not as clear-cut. The short

(19) Herrmann, W. A.; Rohrmann, J.; Ziegler, M. L.; Zahn, T. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1984**, 273, 221.

(20) Flomer, W. A.; O'Neal, S. C.; Kolis, J. W.; Jeter, D.; Cordes, A. W. *Inorg. Chem.* **1988**, 27, 969.

(21) Flomer, W. A.; O'Neal, S. C.; Pennington, W. T.; Jeter, D.; Cordes, A. W.; Kolis, J. W. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1988**, 27, 1702.

(22) Wells, A. F. *Structural Inorganic Chemistry*, 5th ed.; Clarendon Press: Cambridge, U.K., 1984; Chapter 17.

Table III. Structural Comparison of $M_6Te_8(PEt_3)_6$ ($\text{\AA}$)^a

	Cr	Co
$d(M-Te)_{av}$	2.65	2.52
$d(M-M)_{av}$	2.94	3.23
$d(Te-Te)_{av}$	3.74	3.48
$d(M-P)_{av}$	2.43	2.13
$r_{octahedron}$	2.08	2.28
r_{cube}	3.24	3.02
d = displacement of M above Te_4 plane	0.21	0.54

^a From refs 5 and 6.

Cr-Cr distance is 3.09 $\text{\AA}$ in Cr_3Te_4 ¹¹ and 2.94 $\text{\AA}$ in **1**. Both of these values are long compared to the nearest neighbor distance of 2.48 $\text{\AA}$ in elemental Cr; however, the extremes of Cr-Cr bonding distances are typified by $[Cp(CO)_3Cr]_2$ ²³ and $(COT)_3Cr_2$.²⁴ In the former case, interligand repulsion accounts for the very long (3.281 $\text{\AA}$) single bond, and in the latter case, the short Cr-Cr distance (2.214 $\text{\AA}$) is cited as evidence for a quadruple bond. The Cr-Cr distances of 3.09 $\text{\AA}$ in Cr_3Te_4 and 2.94 $\text{\AA}$ in **1** are within this very generous range, and therefore direct Cr-Cr bonding is possible in each case.

In addition to bond length comparisons, other structural relationships can be found between $Cr_6Te_8(PEt_3)_6$ and Cr_3Te_4 . These more complex relationships are very similar to those between the analogous compounds $Co_6Te_8(PEt_3)_6$ and Co_3Te_4 . We have described the latter in detail in earlier publications^{5,6} and will not repeat those discussions here.

$Cr_6Te_8(PEt_3)_6$ is the most recent member of the $M_6E_8L_6$ (M = transition metal, E = chalcogen, L = donor ligand) family of cluster compounds.²⁵⁻³² In order to appreciate the structure and bonding in **1**, it is instructive to compare it to other members of the M_6E_8 series. Arguably, the closest relative of **1** is $Co_6Te_8(PEt_3)_6$ (**2**), the only other reported example of a molecular M_6Te_8 cluster. The two clusters are compared in Table III. The most apparent feature is that the effective size of the Cr atoms is larger than that of the Co atoms. This is shown by both the M-P distances and the M-Te distances and is expected on the basis of normal atomic radii.

Since the Cr-Te bonds in **1** are more than 0.1 $\text{\AA}$ longer than the Co-Te bonds in **2**, one might expect that the M_6Te_8 core of **1** would be simply an expanded version of the core of **2**, but this is not the case. Although the Te_8 cube in **1** is larger than that in **2**, the Cr_6 octahedron is significantly smaller than the Co_6 octahedron. (It is an equivalent statement that the $CrTe_4$ segments in **1** are closer to being planar than are the $CoTe_4$ segments in **2**. The deviations from planarity are quantified by " d " in Table III.) The contraction of the M_6 octahedron in **1** relative to **2** must be due to the presence of Cr-Cr bonding in **1** and the absence of Co-Co bonding in **2**.

The presence or absence of Cr-Cr bonding bears on a comparison of **1** to the Chevrel phases.³³⁻³⁵ The Chevrel phase

Figure 2. Behavior of **1** in a magnetic field as a function of temperature. The magnetization of the sample is represented by the small squares and the scale at the left. The effective magnetic moment derived from the magnetization data is given by the small triangles and the scale at the right. A constant diamagnetic background value has been subtracted to give Curie-Weiss law behavior at high temperature. The anomaly at approximately 60 K is due to a small amount of oxygen trapped in the magnetometer. The apparent decrease in μ_{eff} at low temperature is under investigation.

compounds are extended solid-state compounds built from Mo_6E_8 (E = S, Se, Te) units where the shape of each Mo_6E_8 unit is generally the same as the M_6E_8 cores of **1** and **2**. The majority of Chevrel-type materials have Mo as the metallic element. Several examples involving other metals have been reported³⁶⁻³⁸ although no Chevrel-type solids based on either Cr or W have appeared.

Structural studies of the Mo_6E_8 compounds imply not only Mo-E skeletal bonding but also substantial Mo-Mo bonding. In fact, discussions of the electronic structure of the Chevrel phases often are based on direct metal-metal covalent bonding.^{34,35,39,40} The length of the Cr-Cr bonds in **1** may indicate why Chevrel-type phases are not seen for chromium chalcogenides. The Cr-Cr bonding is certainly not strong in the cluster, and one can infer that it would not be strong in the Chevrel-type solid. This suggests that a Chevrel-type Cr_3Te_4 would convert to the known NiAs-type on heating. As a consequence preparative routes to a Chevrel-type Cr_3Te_4 must be mild to be successful.

The physical properties of **1** lead to some noteworthy comparisons. At room temperature, **1** absorbs light all across the visible region, giving a brown solution. The optical absorption spectrum does not show peaks; i.e., the optical density monotonically decreases from 300 to 800 nm. By contrast, the absorption spectra of $Co_6E_8(PEt_3)_6$ (E = Te, Se, S)⁴¹ all show three distinct and pronounced peaks in the visible region. The compounds $Mo_6E_8(PEt_3)_6$ show absorption peaks in the visible region and have more well-defined colors than does **1**. We suggest that the featureless absorption shown by **1** is due to the long Cr-Cr bonds.

Static magnetic susceptibility measurements (Figure 2) show that **1** is paramagnetic, having $\mu_{eff} = 2.8 \mu_B$ (corresponding to two parallel electronic spins if the paramagnetism is due to spin alone) from 100 to 300 K. Although a detailed description of the electronic structure which leads to this moment is wanting, we

- (23) Adams, R. D.; Collins, D. E.; Cotton, F. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1974**, *96*, 749.
 (24) Brauer, D. J.; Krüger, C. *Inorg. Chem.* **1976**, *15*, 2511.
 (25) Saito, T.; Yamamoto, N.; Yamagata, T.; Imoto, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 1646.
 (26) Saito, T.; Yamamoto, N.; Nagase, T.; Tsuboi, T.; Kobayashi, K.; Yamagata, T.; Imoto, H.; Unoura, K. *Inorg. Chem.* **1990**, *29*, 764.
 (27) Saito, T.; Yoshikawa, A.; Yamagata, T.; Imoto, H.; Unoura, K. *Inorg. Chem.* **1989**, *28*, 3588.
 (28) Ceconi, F.; Ghilardi, C. A.; Midollini, S.; Orlandini, A.; Zanollo, P. J. *Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1987**, 831.
 (29) Ceconi, F.; Ghilardi, C. A.; Midollini, S.; Orlandini, A. *Polyhedron* **1986**, *5*, 2021.
 (30) Diana, E.; Gervasio, G.; Rossetti, R.; Valdemarin, F.; Bor, G.; Stanghellini, P. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **1991**, *30*, 294.
 (31) Fenske, D.; Ohmer, J.; Hachengenei, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1985**, *24*, 993.
 (32) Fenske, D.; Grissinger, A.; Loos, M.; Magull, J. Z. *Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1991**, *598/599*, 121.
 (33) Chevrel, R.; Sergent, M.; Prigent, J. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **1974**, *9*, 1487.
 (34) Yvon, K. *Curr. Top. Mater. Sci.* **1979**, *3*, 53.
 (35) Simon, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1988**, *27*, 160.

- (36) Klaiber, F.; Petter, W.; Hulliger, F. *J. Solid State Chem.* **1983**, *46*, 112.
 (37) Bronger, W.; Miessen, H.-J.; Müller, P.; Neugröschel, R. *J. Less-Common Met.* **1985**, *105*, 303.
 (38) In the Chevrel phases, the solid frame actually distorts each Mo_6E_8 cluster to D_{3d} symmetry, thereby leading to two intracenter Mo-E bond lengths. In Mo_3Se_4 , the two intracenter Mo-Se lengths are 2.836 and 2.684 $\text{\AA}$. We cite only the shorter.
 (39) Burdett, J. K.; Lin, J.-H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1982**, *21*, 5.
 (40) Wooley, R. G. *Inorg. Chem.* **1985**, *24*, 3519.
 (41) Stuczynski, S. M.; Kwon, Y.-U.; Steigerwald, M. L. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1993**, *449*, 167.

note that, with 84 valence electrons (6 from each Cr and 6 from each Te), the Cr_6Te_8 core of **1** is predicted to have a triplet ground state according to the very simple "quasi-atomic" prescription of Bacci.⁴² The clusters $\text{Mo}_6\text{E}_8(\text{PEt}_3)_6$ (E = S (**3**), Se, (**4**)) are reported to be diamagnetic, and since **3** and **4** have the same number of valence electrons as **1**, the magnetic susceptibilities of **3** and **4** do not conform to the model proposed by Bacci. We presume that this difference also is based on weaker and less localized M-M bonding in **1** than in **3** and **4**.

The solid-state compound Cr_3Te_4 that is made *via* traditional solid state synthesis is ferromagnetic.^{11,43} The Cr_3Te_4 we prepare from **1** is also ferromagnetic. It is valuable to determine if the paramagnetism of **1** is related to the ferromagnetism of the pyrolysate, and work on this topic is in progress.

We continue to investigate the chemical reactivity of **1**. One feature of note is its thermal stability. The compound does not appear to change at all even after prolonged heating in solution and can be recovered essentially quantitatively after 18 h in refluxing toluene. The thermal stability of **1** was assayed as follows: $\text{Cr}_6\text{Te}_8(\text{PEt}_3)_6$ (51 mg, 0.025 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (5 mL), and the solution was heated at reflux for 18 h.

The mixture appeared homogeneous, and filtration through a medium-porosity glass frit removed no solid. The solution was evaporated to dryness, and the resulting solid was washed with pentane and dried to give 48 mg of a polycrystalline solid whose X-ray powder diffraction pattern was that of **1**.

When $\text{Cr}(\text{DMPD})_2$, TePEt_3 , and PEt_3 are combined under similar conditions, varying large amounts of insoluble solid are formed (see above). It is important to determine whether the insoluble solid so formed is an unrelated side product or a solid to which **1** can be converted by the action of an appropriately chosen coreagent. If the latter is the case, it suggests an alternative way to make extended solids out of molecular clusters.

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge Paula Trevor for the collection and interpretation of X-ray fluorescence data.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables S1-S4, giving crystallographic data collection information, final positional parameters, thermal parameters, and complete interatomic distances and angles for the monoclinic form of **1**, Tables S5-S7, giving crystallographic data collection information, positional and thermal parameters, and complete interatomic distances and angles for the rhombohedral form of **1**, and ORTEP diagrams of the molecular structure of **1** in the monoclinic crystal and in the rhombohedral crystal (20 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

(42) Bacci, M. *Phys. Lett.* **1983**, 99A, 230.

(43) Akram, M.; Nazar, F. M. *J. Mater. Sci.* **1983**, 18, 423.