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Summary
The Seychelles Warbler was once a highly threatened single-island endemic species with a population of 26 individuals confined to Cousin Island in the inner Seychelles. Following long-term management of Cousin, the population steadily recovered to around 300–360 birds. Given the vulnerability of one small island in the Indian Ocean, the possibility of establishing the species on additional islands had been proposed as a priority conservation measure. This paper describes the successful translocation of 29 Seychelles Warblers from Cousin to Aride, summarizes the ecological studies carried out prior to, during and after the translocation and documents the subsequent establishment of the new population. It is considered that the Seychelles Warbler will soon no longer be a globally threatened species.

Introduction
The oceanic islands of the western Indian Ocean support 26 globally threatened bird taxa that are listed in the ICBP/IUCN Bird Red Data Book and include some of the smallest and most threatened bird populations in the world (see Collar and Stuart 1985). In the central group of the Seychelles eight taxa are threatened. One of these is the Seychelles Warbler *Acrocephalus sechellensis* (Oustalet 1878), which at one time was reduced to a population of 26 individuals entirely confined to Cousin Island (29 ha) (Crook 1960). In September 1988 29 warblers were transferred to Aride Island (68 ha), in order to give the species security of a second breeding population, lest some ecological disaster should befall the parent population. Data included in this study were based on the entire world
population of Seychelles Warblers, which is a group-territorial species. Since January 1986 all 115-123 groups of the warblers (300-360 birds) have been studied on Cousin Island by one of us (J.K.), and from September 1988 to March 1989 an additional 13-16 groups (29-45 birds) on Aride Island (by I.D.B.).

Past and present distribution of the Seychelles Warbler
The Seychelles Warbler is known only from the Seychelles Islands, where in 1870 it was recorded on Marianne and Cousine (Oustalet 1878, Figure 1). Between 1910 and 1920 both islands were planted with coconuts (Cocos nucifera); this caused the removal of all original indigenous vegetation, which resulted in the disappearance of the species from both. Odd records have been reported from Mahé and Felicité and there is some confusion as to whether the original Cousine record may have been in error for Cousin (see Collar and Stuart 1985). The species was certainly present on Cousin in 1938 but was by then considered rare (see Collar and Stuart 1985). No other self-sustaining population existed until September 1988.

In 1959 a survey of Cousin revealed a population of 26 birds only (Crook 1960). Since the species was believed to be on the verge of extinction, Cousin was purchased for the International Council for Bird Preservation in 1968, which has since managed the island as a nature reserve. Management has been directed towards increasing suitable habitat for the warbler. In order to allow the original vegetation to regenerate, the regular clearing of bush growing up under the coconut palms was stopped. In addition the coconut crop continued to be harvested so as to prevent regeneration of coconut palms which, if allowed to

Figure 1. Map showing the Seychelles islands under discussion.
grow unchecked, result in an impenetrable thicket of young palms, probably crowding out everything else. As a consequence the indigenous vegetation has quickly regenerated and is now tending towards predominantly *Pisonia grandis* forest. This has led to a spectacular recovery of the warbler, numbers rising to nearly 320 birds in 1982 (Figure 2). This recovery is partially documented in Collar and Stuart (1985) who record a somewhat slower recovery than shown in Figure 2. The data in Figure 2 are based on a re-examination of the original territory maps of Bathe and Bathe (1982) and N. J. Phillips (verbally) as well as more recent total counts. Since 1982 the population has fluctuated around that level, suggesting that this is the maximum carrying capacity of the island. The increase in number of territories showed the same trend, but reached its saturation level of c. 115 territories earlier, in 1973.

**Justification for a Seychelles Warbler translocation**

The Seychelles Warbler has a clutch-size of one which, when combined with low breeding success, leads to a low reproductive rate (on average only 0.3 young per pair per year become adults). This need not be a severe problem if the pressures placed on reproduction are only temporary, since warblers are long-lived (mean adult life expectancy is 3.9 years) and can therefore tolerate periods of low recruitment. They are particularly vulnerable to factors which lead to increased adult mortality and/or prolonged reduction in recruitment.

The Seychelles Warbler population on Cousin having reached carrying capacity, young birds were unable to establish new territories. Thus in order to give the species the security of two breeding populations, lest some ecological disaster should befall the parent population, King (1978–79) and Collar and Stuart (1985) recommended the establishment of a second population on another island.

We investigated several islands for their suitability for a transfer. While suitable habitat, including adequate insect food supplies, was clearly a priority, the

![Figure 2. Increase in Seychelles Warbler numbers and territories since 1968.](image)
absence of cats and rats was also considered a precondition and some form of sustained commitment to conservation management seemed highly desirable. Of the possible islands fulfilling these criteria (Aride, Cousine and Frégate) Aride, nine kilometres north of Cousin, was selected (Figure 1). It is a nature reserve run and owned by the Royal Society for Nature Conservation (RSNC). The native woodland on Aride has recovered as swiftly as that on Cousin, and it was predicted that the warbler would fill an open niche (based on similarities in feeding ecology) without competing with the other four landbird species present (Komdeur unpublished data). Therefore a joint research programme on both islands was carried out to assess Aride’s suitability for Seychelles Warblers (Komdeur in press a).

Suitability of Aride Island for the Seychelles Warbler

The foraging behaviour of Seychelles Warblers provided an excellent opportunity to assess the island’s quality. As the warblers are insectivorous, taking 98% of their insect food from leaves, the quality of an island depends on insect prey available and amount of foliage. Island quality (iq) was therefore expressed in terms of mean number of prey insects available using the following equation (Komdeur in press b):

\[
iq = \sum_{x=1}^{12} c_x i_x
\]

where: \( c_x \) = mean yearly foliage cover for plant species x

\( i_x \) = mean monthly insect totals for plant species x

Island quality of Cousin and Aride was studied between May 1987 and September 1988; insects and vegetation were monitored simultaneously on Cousin and Aride at 67 and 28 randomly chosen sites, respectively.

To monitor the effect of drought and wind direction on the vegetation, amount of foliage at each site was measured using a transect method, during both the dry (May–September) and wet (November–March) seasons. Each transect was 250 m long. Every five metres the presence or absence of foliage and the plant species was noted in the following height bands: 0–0.75 m, 0.75–2 m, 2–4 m, etc. Mean foliage cover for plant species x (\( c_x \)) is two times the total number of cases of presence at all heights along a transect, divided by the number of transects.

To assess prey availability (\( i_x \)) at each site, 50 leaves per plant species (12 dominant species) were searched for insects monthly (between 15th and 20th). Totals of the following groups were counted on upper and lower sites separately: Arachnida, Coleoptera, Diptera, Formicidae, other Hymenoptera, Hemiptera, Lepidoptera and Orthoptera.

The quality of Aride was on average 4.4 times higher than that of Cousin and the minimum quality was almost as high as the maximum recorded for Cousin (Figure 3). Because of the open niche present and this significantly higher quality, Aride was judged to be suitable for the establishment of a second warbler population.
Transfer of Seychelles Warblers to Aride Island

Once Aride had been established as a suitable site for the Seychelles Warbler, ICBP, RSNC and the Seychelles government formally approved the translocation. For the transfer a target of 30 birds, with equal numbers of both sexes, was considered to be a reasonable number to remove from the Cousin population.

Research indicated that September 1988 would be the best time for the transfer. At this time molting is complete, breeding mostly finished and food supply is still high enough for birds to be in prime condition (Komdeur in press a).

In mid-September all territories were checked for breeding birds and birds undergoing rectrice moult, in order to select 30 individuals for the transfer. Over the period 23–29 September trapping took place between 05h00 and 08h00 each morning, in four localities. At each locality two mist-nets and a portable tape-recorder with a continuous loop cassette of male song was used for attracting birds.

The birds were weighed immediately after catching. To prevent aggression between territorial birds, each bird was kept in a separate 15 X 15 X 20 cm cardboard transfer cage, well ventilated, but dark inside in order to keep the birds inactive, thus reducing stress and energy loss. A stick trellis, 1 cm off the floor of each box, was also provided to allow the birds to perch inside. Birds were then taken to the laboratory, ringed, weighed again and sexed by measuring weight and wing length (see Diamond 1980).

During the period 29 adult warblers (16 males and 13 females) were rapidly transferred to Aride by motor-boat. The cardboard cages were kept secure in a

![Figure 3. Monthly island quality (+ SE) of Aride Island (mean: 242) and of Cousin Island (mean: 55) (May 1987–March 1989).]
wooden carrying cage and placed on a thick foam mattress to absorb shocks in a rough sea.

Immediately on landing, the birds were taken to their release sites, the most insect- and vegetation-rich areas on Aride. The inter-island transfer of New Zealand Black Robins *Petroica traversi* (Flack 1977) showed that the transferred birds will settle as close to the release point as possible when the habitat is suitable; otherwise they move about or fail to form pairs. Before release birds were weighed again and water was provided by throwing buckets of water over the vegetation within a few metres of the cages.

**Evaluation of the transfer method**

The effect of the transportation method on the bird was assessed by weight changes of birds during captivity, the visual condition of birds at release and by survival and behaviour in subsequent weeks.

The alimentary canal of small insectivorous birds is adapted to continuous digestion. If stomach and intestine are completely empty (e.g. after fasting following catching and transport) then immediately after the loss of the last intestine contents a haemorrhagic diathesis can develop. This can arise very quickly in small birds with a high metabolism level and leads rapidly to death. There is a minimum weight below which birds will die so it is clearly vital that the handling of birds and total time in captivity is kept at an absolute minimum to prevent their weight falling below this critical level.

On average the 29 birds spent just over three hours in captivity. The minimum known survival weight is 14.6 g for males and 12.6 g for females, calculated as the lowest weight of known birds on Cousin Island still alive (Komdeur unpublished data). All birds survived the transfer and were released before they reached the minimum weight (Figure 4). Males lost on average 0.9 g, females 1.0 g (respectively 5.7% and 7.1% of body weight).

**Breeding activity following the transfer**

The most striking aspect of the transfer was the dramatic burst of nesting activity by Aride birds immediately following release. Successful nesting occurred within a few weeks and in one territory within three days of release. Four weeks after the transfer the first young (twins) hatched.

To detect breeding activity, all territories were checked weekly for active nests. Comparing breeding activity on both islands six months after the transfer, Aride birds showed on average 5.3 times more breeding activity than Cousin birds (Figure 5). A minimum of 64% of pairs bred on Aride in October and a maximum of 100% of pairs were breeding from January to March 1989. Over the same period the percentage of breeding pairs on Cousin varied from 0% in October and November to 31% in March. On Cousin birds undergo a pre-breeding moult; a significant relation exists between the percentage of territories with nests and the percentage of moulting birds two months before (Komdeur in press b). On Aride moulting birds were still able to sustain active breeding at the same time. Given that island quality was 4.4 times as high and that territories were 4.8 times larger, on Aride the transferred birds have access to 21.1 times
Figure 4. Weight loss of Seychelles Warblers (males: $r = -0.83$, df $= 21$, $p<0.001$; females: $r = -0.61$, df $= 27$, $p<0.001$) in captivity during transfer to Aride Island (September 1988). (Mean release weight and mean captivity time are given for both sexes.)

more food than birds on Cousin and so were able to sustain high continuous breeding activity.

Greater reproduction output on Aride was sustained for the six months following the transfer (Table 1). Among the most notable differences between islands were that on Aride mean clutch-size per pair was almost twice as high, young fledged five days earlier, mean number of nesting attempts per pair was 9.3 times as high and mean number of young reaching one year of age per pair was 16.3 times higher. The spaces vacated in territories on Cousin from which birds had been removed were filled by non-territorial birds within six hours (see Komdeur in press a).

A half-year after the transfer all transferred birds were still alive and 26 young had fledged successfully, bringing the total Aride population to 45 birds. With enough space still remaining on Aride for young birds to establish territories, the population is still growing.
Figure 5. Percentage territories with breeding activity each month on Aride Island (mean: 91.7%, n = 15) and on Cousin Island (mean: 17.3%, n = 117).

Since the establishment of a healthy breeding population on Aride Island, we believe that the Seychelles Warbler is well on the way to being listed as "out of danger" in the next edition of Threatened birds of Africa and related islands.
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Table 1. Comparison of breeding performance of Seychelles Warblers on Cousin Island and Aride Island (figures in parentheses are number of observations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cousin</th>
<th>Aride</th>
<th>t value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean clutch-size</td>
<td>1·0 (81)</td>
<td>1·8 (33)</td>
<td>15·31*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean no. days to fledge</td>
<td>19·1 (63)</td>
<td>14·1 (14)</td>
<td>40·44*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean yearly young fledged/territory</td>
<td>0·6 (210)</td>
<td>5·6 (36)</td>
<td>8·45*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean yearly young reaching one year of age/territory</td>
<td>0·19 (210)</td>
<td>3·10 (36)</td>
<td>9·51*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.001
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