University of Groningen

Leverage and inefficiencies in financial markets

Qiao, Kenan

DOI:
[10.33612/diss.135593185](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.135593185)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2020

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Qiao, K. (2020). *Leverage and inefficiencies in financial markets*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen, SOM research school. <https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.135593185>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Leverage and Inefficiencies in Financial Markets

Kenan Qiao

Publisher: University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

Printed by: Ipskamp Printing B.V.

ISBN: 978-94-034-2419-4 / 978-94-034-2418-7 (ebook)

© 2020 Kenan Qiao

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system of any nature, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying or recording, without prior written permission of the publisher.

university of
 groningen

Leverage and Inefficiencies in Financial Markets

PhD thesis

to obtain the degree of PhD at the
University of Groningen
on the authority of the
Rector Magnificus Prof. C. Wijmenga
and in accordance with
the decision by the College of Deans.

This thesis will be defended in public on

Monday 16 March 2020 at 14.30 hours

by

Kenan Qiao

born on 24 June 1988
in Anhui

Supervisor

Prof. dr. P.A. Bekker

Co-supervisor

Dr. L. Dam

Assessment Committee

Prof. dr. L.J.R. Scholtens

Prof. dr. C.G. de Vries

Prof. dr. M. Koetter

Acknowledgements

Groningen is a lovely city where it is always peaceful and curtained with drizzling rain.

Five years ago, I came to Groningen and started my PhD project. Here, I systematically studied the theory of asset pricing with my promotors, and met many buddies who gave me a lot of help over the past five years. Thank you for spending this wonderful time with me.

First of all, I want to acknowledge my promotors, Paul Bekker and Lammertjan Dam. I enjoyed working with them. Without their supervision and support, I would not have been able to finish the whole project. They patiently supervised me to study asset pricing theory, to find interesting research questions, and taught me how to write a nice research paper step by step. Moreover, maybe more importantly, I now consider them as friends. When I encountered difficulties and frustration, they always gave me support and encouragement. I am very grateful for all their help.

Second, I would like to thank the members of the doctoral dissertation committee: Michael Koetter, Casper de Vries, and Bert Scholtens.

Third, I would like to thank all my colleagues for their help and support. Auke Plantinga and Walt Pohl provided me with many constructive comments at the SOM PhD conferences and 23rd Annual Conference of the Multinational Finance Society. These comments were quite helpful in improving the quality of Chapter 2 and 5. I also want to thank all the participants of the Asset Pricing meetings for their suggestions for improvements of Chapter 3. Meanwhile, I also want to express my gratitude to all my colleagues in the Department of Economics, Econometrics and Finance. I often got good ideas from you to improve my research.

I also want to thank my roommates Rasmus Wiese, Steffen Eriksen, Arjan Trinks and Ambrogio Dalo. Thank you for your company and all the experi-

ences that you shared with me. I appreciated our interesting discussions on our research papers.

I am particularly grateful to the SOM office for the support and coordination over the past five years.

Finally, I especially thank my family members for their trust and support.

Kenan Qiao

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Modern Asset Pricing Theory and New Challenges	1
1.2	Outline of The Thesis	3
1.3	Main Contributions	5
1.4	Limitations and Future Research	6
2	The Role of Leverage in Unconditional Tests of the CAPM	9
2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	Measuring Unlevered Returns	13
2.3	Classic Asset Pricing Tests for the Unlevered CAPM, the Traditional CAPM, and the Fama and French (1993) Three-Factor Model	15
2.4	Results	17
2.4.1	Portfolios Based on Unlevered Beta Sorts	18
2.4.2	Alternative Portfolio Sorts	21
2.4.3	Individual Firm Tests	27
2.4.4	Discussion	29
2.5	A Two-beta Model for Levered Returns	29
2.5.1	Measuring Time-varying Levered Portfolio Betas	30
2.5.2	Testing the Two-beta Model for Levered Returns	32
2.5.3	Results for the Two-beta Model for Four Sets of Portfolios	33
2.6	Conclusion	37
2.A	Appendix	39
3	The Overnight Return Puzzle and the “T+1” Trading Rule in Chinese Stock Markets	49
3.1	Introduction	49
3.2	The Overnight Return Puzzle in China’s Stock Market	52

3.3	The T+1 Trading Rule Explains the Overnight Return Puzzle . .	56
3.4	Estimating the Size of the T+1 Discount	58
3.5	The T+1 Discount's Contribution to Overnight Risk	67
3.6	Placebo Tests and Alternative Explanations for the Overnight Return Puzzle	71
3.7	Conclusion	74
3.A	Appendix	75
4	Market Inefficiencies Associated with Pricing Oil Stocks During Shocks	77
4.1	Introduction	77
4.2	Methodology	80
4.2.1	Oil Shocks	80
4.2.2	Interval-Valued Factor Models with Interval Dummy . . .	84
4.2.3	Special Cases	87
4.2.4	Estimation	90
4.3	Empirical Results	90
4.3.1	Data Analysis	90
4.3.2	Identification for Oil Shocks	91
4.3.3	Results for Market Efficiency	92
4.4	Robustness Checks	94
4.5	Conclusion	98
4.A	Appendix	100
5	Leverage and Stock Return Predictability: Evidence from U.S. Panel Data	103
5.1	Introduction	103
5.2	Data Description	106
5.3	Model	108
5.4	Portfolio Construction	109
5.5	Result	110
5.5.1	Testing for Return Predictability with Panel Data Regressions	110
5.5.2	Robustness	112
5.6	Out of Sample Prediction	112
5.7	Conclusion	115

6 Conclusion	117
References	121
Samenvatting (Summary in Dutch)	133

List of Figures

2.1	Actual and Predicted Unlevered Returns of 25 Portfolios Sorted on Unlevered Betas	19
2.2	Actual and Predicted Returns for Three Alternative Sets of 25 Portfolios	23
2.3	Actual and Predicted Returns for Four Alternative Sets of 25 Portfolios for the Two-beta Model	35
2.A1	Actual and Predicted Returns of 25 Portfolios Sorted on Unlevered Betas	42

List of Tables

2.1	Cross-sectional Regressions for 25 Portfolios Sorted on Unlevered Beta, January 1952 – December 2013	21
2.2	Cross Sectional Regressions and GRS Tests for Three Alternative Portfolio Sorts	26
2.3	Cross-sectional Regressions for 7,563 Individual U.S. Firms, January 1952 – December 2013	28
2.4	Descriptives and Cross-Sectional Regressions for the Two-beta model for Levered Returns for Four Portfolio Sorts	34
2.A1	Classification of the 25 Industry Portfolios	43
2.A2	Betas, Pricing Errors, and GRS Test for 25 Portfolios Based on Unlevered Betas Sorts, U.S. 1952-2014.	44
2.A3	Betas, Pricing Errors, and GRS Test for 25 Portfolios Based on Traditional CAPM Beta Sorts, U.S. 1952-2014.	45
2.A4	Betas, Pricing Errors, and GRS Test for the 25 Fama and French (1993) Portfolios, U.S. 1952-2014.	46
2.A5	Betas, Pricing Errors, and GRS Test for 25 Portfolios Based on Industry Sorts, U.S. 1952-2014.	47
3.1	Overnight Returns and Daily Returns in Chinese Stock Markets	54
3.2	Overnight Returns and Daily Returns in Various Other Stock Markets	55
3.3	Overnight and Daily Returns for Chinese Securities that are not Subject to “T+1” Trading.	57
3.4	Log-price Range of the SSE Index and Estimation of the T+1 Discount	65
3.5	Estimation of the Covariance Between the Fundamental Daytime Returns and the Daily T+1 Discount	69

3.6	Placebo Tests: Estimating the T+1 Discount for Markets in a T+0 Environment.	72
3.7	Analysis of Overnight Returns and Closing Bubbles	73
3.A1	Variance-Covariance Matrix of Total Returns, Overnight Returns and Daytime Returns	75
4.1	Economic Implications of Pricing Errors under Different Types of Oil Price Changes	87
4.2	Estimation of Quantile Regressions for Oil Price Growth Rates and Oil Shocks Identification	93
4.3	D_K -minimum Distance Estimation of Interval-valued Factor Pricing models	95
4.4	Ordinary Least Square Estimation of Point-valued Factor Pricing models	97
4.A1	Data Description of Main Variables	100
4.A2	Estimation of AR(1)-GARCH(1,1) and Descriptive Statistics of Oil Price Growth Rates' Conditional Moments	101
4.A3	Estimation Efficiency of Parameters in Interval-valued and Point-valued Factors Models	102
5.1	Means and Standard Deviations for Key Variables, U.S., 1952 - 2013	107
5.2	Means and Standard Deviations for Key Variables of 25 Portfolios, 1952 - 2013	110
5.3	Fixed and Random Effect Panel Data Regression for 25 Portfolios	111
5.4	Our-of-Sample Squared Forecasting Errors for 25 Portfolios	114