University of Groningen

Beware overestimation of thrombosis in ICU

Borjas-Howard, Jaime F; Bhoelan, Soerajja; van Miert, Jasper; Eck, Ruben; Kooistra, Hilde A M; Meijer, Karina; Tichelaar, Vladimir Y I G

Published in:
Thrombosis Research

DOI:
[10.1016/j.thromres.2020.05.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.thromres.2020.05.019)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2020

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Borjas-Howard, J. F., Bhoelan, S., van Miert, J., Eck, R., Kooistra, H. A. M., Meijer, K., & Tichelaar, V. Y. I. G. (2020). Beware overestimation of thrombosis in ICU: Mortality is not the only competing risk! *Thrombosis Research*, 193, 78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.thromres.2020.05.019>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Letter to the Editors-in-Chief

Beware overestimation of thrombosis in ICU: Mortality is not the only competing risk!

We read with interest the reports by Klok et al. [1,2] and Thomas et al. [3] published in *Thrombosis Research* about the cumulative incidence of thrombotic complications in patients with COVID admitted to ICU. However, we are concerned that the cumulative incidences estimated may be overestimated, perhaps even substantially so.

Recall that the main assumption of cumulative incidence estimates is that observations that are censored as incomplete observations are assumed to have been censored due to non-informative reasons. This means that the analysis assumes that such incomplete observations will have the same risk of the event as the participants remaining in the analysis.

In 2015, Ay et al. [4] published a paper highlighting the problem of competing risks of mortality in cancer related VTE. Specifically, patients with cancer and VTE have a high risk of mortality. It showed that if competing events are handled as incomplete observations cumulative incidences can be severely overestimated- the degree of overestimation is on par with the amount of competing risk of death. Relative overestimation at 24 months was almost 33% for cancer patients with high mortality (30% at 6 months).

Since then, many VTE researchers appear to be aware of this problem and handle non-VTE death as a competing event. However, not much VTE research strictly analyses in-hospital thrombosis. Here, the problem arises that non-VTE death but also discharge is a competing event - discharged patients are likely have a substantially lower risk than patients that remain in ICU thus in the analysis [5]. More intuitively: it seems incorrect to define discharged patients as incomplete observations with regards to thrombosis occurring during ICU stay.

Why is this not a more general problem in observational research? Probably because in times outside of COVID, we have the luxury of time to complete observations (i.e. 30-day mortality) limiting censoring to participants lost to follow-up.

From both manuscripts, it is not clear that both death and discharges were handled as a competing event. In the analyses by Klok et al., 25% and 67% of the patients had a potential competing risk outcome respectively (although not clear how many of these had a thrombotic outcome prior to this outcome). In the analysis by Thomas et al., 48% of the patients had this as a potential reason for censoring. All these estimates are thus at risk of substantial overestimation due to inappropriate handling of competing events. The estimates reported in the pre-print by Middeldorp et al. [6] cited by the Klok et al. also have this potential shortcoming. In contrast, we have found one report by Poissy et al. [7], using what appears to be estimation considering death and discharge as competing risks: there, the 15-day cumulative incidence of PE was 20.4%.

We wholeheartedly appreciate the hard work and rapid sharing of

data by our colleagues working both on wards and ICUs in this challenging time. Notwithstanding, we feel the abovementioned issue needs urgent clarification, as these high cumulative incidence rates, potentially severely distorted upwards by the issues raised above, may erroneously prompt physicians over the world to to prescribe universal empiric therapeutic anticoagulation in these patients.

Declaration of competing interest

Dr. Meijer reports grants and other from Bayer, grants and other from Sanquin, grants from Pfizer, other from Boehringer Ingelheim, other from BMS, other from Aspen, other from Uniqure, outside the submitted work; No other conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] F.A. Klok, M.J.H.A. Kruip, N.J.M. van der Meer, et al., Incidence of thrombotic complications in critically ill ICU patients with COVID-19 [published online ahead of print, 2020 Apr 10], *Thromb Res.* (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.thromres.2020.04.013> S0049-3848(20)30120-1.
- [2] F.A. Klok, M.J.H.A. Kruip, N.J.M. van der Meer, M.S. Arbous, D. Gommers, K.M. Kant, H. Endeman, Confirmation of the high cumulative incidence of thrombotic complications in critically ill ICU patients with COVID-19: an updated analysis, *Thrombosis Research.* (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.thromres.2020.04.041>.
- [3] W. Thomas, J. Varley, A. Johnston, E. Symington, M. Robinson, K. Sheares, A. Lavinio, M. Besser, Thrombotic complications of patients admitted to intensive care with COVID-19 at a teaching hospital in the United Kingdom, *Thromb Res* (2020).
- [4] C. Ay, F. Posch, A. Kaider, C. Zielinski, I. Pabinger, Estimating risk of venous thromboembolism in patients with cancer in the presence of competing mortality, *J Thromb Haemost* 13 (2015) 390–397.
- [5] M. Schumacher, A. Allignol, J. Beyersmann, N. Binder, M. Wolkewitz, Hospital-acquired infections—appropriate statistical treatment is urgently needed!, *Int J Epidemiol.* 42 (5) (2013) 1502–1508.
- [6] Middeldorp, S.; Coppens, M.; van Haaps, T.F.; Foppen, M.; Vlaar, A.P.; Muller, M.C.; Bouman, C.C.; Beenen, L.F.; Kootte, R.S.; Heijmans, J.; Smits, L.P.; Bonta, P.I.; van Es, N. Incidence of Venous Thromboembolism in Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19. Preprints 2020, 2020040345 (doi: 10.20944/preprints202004.0345.v1).
- [7] J. Poissy, J. Goutey, M. Caplan, et al., Pulmonary embolism in COVID-19 patients: awareness of an increased prevalence, *Circulation* (2020) 0(0).

Jaime F. Borjas-Howard^{a,*}, Soerajja Bhoelan^a, Jasper van Miert^a,
Ruben Eck^b, Hilde A.M. Kooistra^a, Karina Meijer^a,
Vladimir Y.I.G. Tichelaar^a

^a Department of Hematology, Division of Thrombosis and Hemostasis,
University Medical Centre Groningen, University of Groningen, the
Netherlands

^b Department of Internal Medicine, University Medical Centre Groningen,
University of Groningen, the Netherlands

E-mail address: j.f.borjas.howard@umcg.nl (J.F. Borjas-Howard).

* Corresponding author.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.thromres.2020.05.019>

Received 30 April 2020; Received in revised form 12 May 2020; Accepted 13 May 2020

Available online 30 May 2020

0049-3848/© 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.