University of Groningen

Constructive competition or destructive conflict in the Caspian Sea region?

Bayramov, Agha

DOI:
[10.33612/diss.118587933](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.118587933)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2020

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Bayramov, A. (2020). *Constructive competition or destructive conflict in the Caspian Sea region?* [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen.
<https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.118587933>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

university of
 groningen

Constructive Competition or Destructive Conflict in the Caspian Sea Region?

PhD thesis

to obtain the degree of PhD at the
University of Groningen
on the authority of the
Rector Magnificus Prof. C. Wijmenga
and in accordance with
the decision by the College of Deans.

This thesis will be defended in public on
Thursday 18 June 2020 at 11.00 hours

by

Agha Bayramov

born on 8 April 1991
in Baku, Azerbaijan

Supervisor

Prof. J.H. de Wilde

Co-supervisor

Dr. C. Humrich

Assessment Committee

Prof. H.W. Hoen

Prof. E. Wilson Rowe

Prof. J.A. Verbeek

Table of Contents

List of Abbreviations.....	6
Tables, Figures and Maps.....	8
Acknowledgements	9
CHAPTER 1: Introduction.....	10
1. The Caspian Sea Region, the Great Game Image and an Alternative Perspective.....	10
2. The Theoretical Background for an Alternative Perspective	14
2.1. Why Functionalism?.....	16
3. Research Design and Methodology.....	17
3.1. Case Studies	18
3.2. Collecting Data.....	19
4. Overview of Chapters.....	23
CHAPTER 2: The State of the Art: New Great Game in the Caspian Sea.....	25
1. Introduction	25
2. The Original Concept of the Great Game.....	26
3. From Old to New Great Game	29
3.1. Actors	29
3.2. Objective: Survival of the Fittest.....	33
3.2.1. Transnational Infrastructures.....	33
3.2.2. Environmental Conflicts.....	34
3.2.3. Naval Advancement	36
3.2.4. What is presented as new and who is presented as the winner?	37
4. Critiques	39
5. Conclusion: Where does the New Great Game Literature Lead Us?	43
CHAPTER 3: Revisiting Functionalism	48
1. Introduction	48
2. Outlining the Theory of (Neo)Functionalism.....	51
2.1. Underlying Assumptions.....	51
2.2. State Fixation.....	53
2.3. Sharing Interests through Functionalist Agencies and Elites	54
2.4. The Ramification or Spillover Approach	58
3. Critiques of Classical Functionalism.....	60
4. Functionalism Revised via Social Constructivism.....	66
4.1. Social Constructivist Insights.....	68

4.2. Mediating Interfaces: Cross-Border and Transnational Infrastructure	70
4.3. Functionalist Networks.....	75
4.4. Economic Leverage	78
4.5. Transnational Corporations	80
4.6. Avoiding Utopic Thinking: the ill-fitting European Benchmark	81
5. Conclusion.....	84
CHAPTER 4: Environmental Issues: Conflict and Cooperation Potential for the Caspian Sea	87
1. Introduction	87
2. The Caspian Environmental Program and the Legal Status Convention	90
2.1. Starting Point: Environmental Cooperation	91
2.2. The Long Journey to the Legal Status Convention	96
3. The New Great Game Explanation for the Caspian Environmental Cooperation and the Legal Status Convention	100
4. Discussion: A Functionalist explanation for Environmental Cooperation	103
4.1. Economic Leverage, Networking and Technical Expertise	104
4.1.1. Economic Leverage	104
4.1.2. Networking and Socialization under the CEP	107
4.1.3. Technical Expertise and Construction of Environmental Protocols	110
4.2. Room for Ramification and Transferability: from environmental cooperation to the Legal Status	114
5. Conclusion.....	117
CHAPTER 5: Cooperation around post-Soviet Transnational Infrastructure projects in the Caspian Sea	120
1. Introduction	120
2. Background of the BTC pipeline.....	122
3. The New Great Game Views on the BTC Pipeline	124
4. Discussion: The Planning, Construction and Post-Construction Phases in the Functionalist Framework	126
4.1. Planning the BTC Pipeline: Geopolitical Uncertainty	126
4.2. Construction the BTC Pipeline: Challenges besides Geopolitics.....	128
4.2.1. Technical Challenges	128
4.2.2. Economic Challenges	130
4.2.3. Social and Environmental Challenges	131
4.3. Network of Actors	132
4.3.1. Energy Companies	133

4.3.2. Private and Public Lenders	136
4.4. Operating the BTC Pipeline	138
4.4.1. Pragmatic Cooperation	139
4.4.2. Regional Conflicts	144
5. Conclusion.....	146
CHAPTER 6: A New Round in the Caspian Pipeline Game: the Southern Gas Corridor	149
1. Introduction	149
2. Background of the SCG Project	150
3. The New Great Game: The Second Round	153
4. Discussion: The Planning, Construction and Post-Construction Phases in the Functionalist Framework	157
4.1. Planning the SGC Project.....	157
4.1.1 Decline of US interests in the Caspian Sea	158
4.1.2. Turkish–Azerbaijani Energy Ties.....	159
4.1.3. Internal Competition: Nabucco/Nabucco West versus TAP	161
4.2. Constructing the SGC Project	164
4.2.1 Technical Challenges	165
4.2.2. Social and Environmental Challenges	166
4.2.3. Economic Challenges	169
4.3. Network of Actors	171
4.3.1. Energy Companies	172
4.3.2. Private and Public Lenders.....	175
4.4. Operating the SGC: Expectations versus Reality	177
4.4.1. Pragmatic Cooperation: the TCP.....	178
4.4.2. Regional Conflicts	182
5. Conclusion.....	184
CHAPTER 7: Conclusion	187
An Alternative Image of the Caspian Sea Region.....	188
Bibliography	198
English Summary	244
Nederlandse Samenvatting	247

List of Abbreviations

AIIB	Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank
ANT	Actor Network Theory
BCM	Billion cubic meters
BP	British Petroleum
BSTDB	Black Sea Trade and Development Bank
BTC	Baku-Tbilisi-Ceyhan-Pipeline
BTE	Baku-Tbilisi-Erzurum Pipeline
CEIC	Caspian Environmental Information Centre
CEP	Caspian Environment Program
EBRD	European Bank for Reconstruction and Development
EC	European Commission
ECGD	Export Credit Guarantee Department
ECSC	European Coal and Steel Company
EP	European Parliament
EU	European Union
GEF	Global Environment Facility
IGO	Inter-Governmental Organization
IMF	International Monetary Fund
IR	International Relations
ITGI	Interconnection Turkey Greece Italy
ITU	International Telecommunication Union
LNG	Liquefied Natural Gas
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization
NICO	Naftiran Intertrade Company
NIOC	National Iranian Oil Company
SGC	Southern Gas Corridor
SOCAR	State Oil Company of the Azerbaijan Republic
TACIS	Technical Aid to the Commonwealth of Independent States
TANAP	Trans-Anatolian Gas Pipeline
TAP	Trans-Adriatic Pipeline

TEP	Third Energy Package
TCP	Trans Caspian Pipeline
TNC	Transnational Corporation
TP	Turkish Petroleum
TPA	Third Party Access
TVA	Tennessee Valley Authority
UK	United Kingdom
UNDP	United Nations Development Program
UNEP	United Nations Environment Program
UPU	Universal Postal Union
USA	United States of America
WB	World Bank

Tables, Figures and Maps

<i>Table 1:</i> Timeline of the CEP and the Tehran Convention	93
<i>Table 2:</i> List of actors involved in the CEP and the Tehran Convention	94
<i>Table 3:</i> Timeline of the Convention on the Legal Status	98
<i>Table 4:</i> Timeline of the BTC pipeline	123
<i>Table 5:</i> List of actors involved in the BTC project	136
<i>Table 6:</i> List of actors involved in the SGC project	173
<i>Table 7:</i> Amount of loans from public lenders	176
<i>Figure 1:</i> The GDP of the Caspian littoral states in the 1990s	91
<i>Figure 2:</i> Private shareholders in the BTC project	133
<i>Figure 3:</i> Three rounds of pipeline competition in the EU	161
<i>Map 1:</i> The BTC, the BTE and the Baku Novorossiysk pipelines	122
<i>Map 2:</i> Nabucco pipeline	151
<i>Map 3:</i> The SGC project	152
<i>Map 4:</i> The Caspian Sea region with and without pipelines	183

Acknowledgements

This dissertation would not have been completed without Prof. Jaap de Wilde, who trusted and accompanied me throughout this journey. I am grateful for his insightful guidance, helpful comments, critiques, and patience throughout the researching and writing process of my dissertation. He was always there and always supported me, not just when I faced academic difficulties but also when I faced personal challenges. Thank you Jaap, for your kindness and support, which I will never forget.

I am very grateful to my co-supervisor Christoph Humrich for his valuable and critical “so what” questions, which encouraged me to push my academic boundaries. His constant encouragement, insightful comments and suggestions have enriched my research.

I would like to thank many colleagues at the University of Groningen, who have contributed to my research and social life. Particularly thanks to Eric Cezne, Cagri Sagiroglu, Philipp Olbrich, Yara Marusyk, Mustafa Ali Sezal, Sandra Becker, Frank Birkenholzand, Saliha Metinsoy and Erdogan Aykac for their academic advice, lunch, and coffee breaks. I also wish to thank Xavier Guillaume, Benjamin Herborth, Francesco Giumelli and Julia Costa López for their reflections on parts of my discussion about classical functionalism. I am grateful to Marijke Wubbolts and Gorus van Oordt for their technical and administrative support.

I would like to thank each one of my interview participants for making time to answer my questions, and for their willingness to share personal experiences with me. I want to thank Dermot Nolan for reading parts of my dissertation at earlier stages of the research. Some parts of chapter 4 were published in *the Caucasus Analytical Digest* and parts of chapter 5 were published in *the East European Politics Journal* in 2019. I would like to thank all anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments.

Without significant help and support from my lovely family and friends, I would not have been able to maintain my motivation and enthusiasm for this project. I am grateful to Gerda, Jan, Anna and Thomas for making me feel at home. Although I was living far away from my family in Azerbaijan, I never have felt alone in the Netherlands thanks to them. Thanks as well to Orkhan and Lionel for their friendship.

Lastly, I am grateful to my parents, Gadir and Minure, my brother Gurban and my girlfriend Marleen for joining me on this academic adventure and supporting me throughout this journey.