Experimental and Modeling Studies on the Absorption of NO in Aqueous Ferrous EDTA Solutions
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This work describes an experimental and modeling study on an industrial relevant process (i.e., the absorption of NO in aqueous FeII(EDTA) solutions) to accurately determine the equilibrium constant of the reaction in the temperature range of $299–329 \text{ K}$. The experiments were carried out in a stirred cell contactor using a pH of 7 and an initial FeII(EDTA) concentration of $7–9 \text{ mol/m}^3$. A dynamic reactor model was developed to describe the experimental absorption profiles. Mass transfer effects were taken into account using the penetration theory for mass transfer. Excellent fits were obtained between measured and modeled profiles when assuming that the reaction takes place in the instantaneous regime. The following temperature dependence for the $K$ value was obtained: $K = \exp((4702/T) - 8.534)$. Dynamic reactor modeling not only allowed calculation of the equilibrium constants of the reaction but also provided accurate values for the ratio of the diffusivity of FeIII(EDTA) and NO ($r_P$) at various temperatures. This ratio is of extreme importance for the design of a reactive NO absorption unit and could be expressed as:

$$r_P = -1.775 \times 10^{-7} T^2 + 0.11T - 16.93.$$

1. Introduction

NO$_x$ gas emissions from human activities contribute to about 6% of the greenhouse gases released to the atmosphere.$^1$ Various technologies have been developed in the past decades to reduce these emissions, ranging from combustion modification to flue gas treatment.$^2$ Combustion modifications have resulted in NO reduction levels of about 60%, which is not sufficient to meet the emission limits set by international regulations. Flue gas treatment concepts seem to be more promising, and higher NO reduction levels have been demonstrated as compared to combustion modifications. The most widely used flue gas treatment technology is selective catalytic reduction, which is based on the reaction of NO gas with ammonia using a heterogeneous catalyst to form nitrogen and water. Drawbacks of this method are the relatively high costs involved and environmental concerns on the use of ammonia.$^3$ A large number of alternative flue gas treatment technologies have been proposed and studied on various scales.$^2$ Promising concepts are wet removal technologies. Here, the NO gas is absorbed in an aqueous solution with a suitable reactant. Metal chelate solutions rapidly react with the absorbed NO gas to form stable metal-nitrosyl complexes. Absorption rates using these metal complexes are significantly higher than in pure water. Particularly, FeII chelates based on ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and related compounds such as NTA (nitrilotriacetic acid) were proven to be very effective, see reaction given in eq 1.$^4,5$

$$\text{FeII(EDTA)} + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{FeII(EDTA)(NO)} \quad (1)$$

It should be noted that the actual ferrous complex is a seven coordinate species, viz., FeII(EDTA)(H$_2$O), and that the produced NO complex is formally an FeIII species, FeIII(EDTA)(NO$^-$). However, to be consistent with earlier literature on the reaction, throughout this paper, these species will be denoted as shown in reaction 1.

To be economically viable, efficient methods are required to regenerate the metal–chelate solutions. Various strategies have been developed like electrochemical regeneration, reduction of the bound NO with sulfite ions to nitrogen and sulfate, and reactions with metallic powder.$^6–8$

Recently, a novel biotechnological approach has been described to regenerate the loaded iron–chelate solution.$^9$ In this so-called BiodeNOx process, the loaded iron–chelate solution is brought in intimate contact with specific microorganisms that convert the nitrosyl complex back to the original FeII(EDTA) complex and N$_2$ gas. FeIII(EDTA), formed in the absorption process due to the presence of oxygen in the flue gas, is reduced to the original FeII(EDTA) solution:

$$12\text{FeIII(EDTA)} + 2\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 12\text{FeII(EDTA)} + 2\text{CO}_2 + 12\text{H}^+ \quad (2)$$

As part of a larger program to determine the technical and economical feasibility of this novel NO removal process, we have studied the absorption of NO gas in aqueous FeII(EDTA) solutions in more detail. The reaction of NO with FeII(EDTA) has been studied by various research groups using different reactor configurations and experimental conditions. An overview is given in Table 1.

All studies conclude that the reaction is first order in both reagents. However, the resulting kinetic and thermodynamic data show considerable spreading (Table 2). One reason for this scatter is probably the high rate of the reaction of NO with FeII(EDTA), which may result in severe mass transfer limitation of both NO and
Fe\textsuperscript{II}(EDTA). So far, only the study of Demmink\textsuperscript{18} has taken into account the effect of mass transfer limitation of the Fe\textsuperscript{II}(EDTA) species on the overall rate of the absorption process. They have shown that, even under low NO partial pressure, the absorption rate is completely limited by ferrous mass transfer. However, they assumed the reaction to be irreversible. Recent mechanistic studies by Schneppensieper et al.\textsuperscript{19–21} using pulse radiolysis and stopped flow techniques, have unequivocally proven that the reaction has to be treated as reversible.

The target of the present study is the determination of the equilibrium constants for the reaction of NO with Fe\textsuperscript{II}(EDTA) in a range of conditions that are relevant to the BiodeNOx process (i.e., 298 < T < 328 K, \([\text{NO}]_0 = 0–1000 \text{ vppm}, 1 < [\text{Fe}\textsuperscript{II}(EDTA)] < 50 \text{ mol/m}^3\)), taking into account the reversibility of the reaction and mass transfer limitation of both NO and Fe\textsuperscript{II}(EDTA) in the solution. Subsequent studies to determine the influence of the simultaneous absorption of oxygen are in progress and will be published in forthcoming papers.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Chemicals. FeCl\textsubscript{2}·4H\textsubscript{2}O (p.a.), titriplex (p.a.), and Na\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} were obtained from Merck; NaOH (33% in water) was from Boom; CeSO\textsubscript{4}·4H\textsubscript{2}O (>99%) was from Acros; and NO (1008 vppm in N\textsubscript{2}), N\textsubscript{2}O (>99%), and N\textsubscript{2} (>99.99%) were from Hoek Loos. Reverse osmosis water was applied to prepare the various solutions.

2.2. Experimental Setup. The kinetic experiments were carried out in a stirred cell reactor consisting of glass, equipped with four glass baffles. The reactor could be operated in batch as well as continuous mode with respect to the gas phase and in batch mode with respect to the liquid. A stainless steel turbine impeller was used to stir the gas phase, while a magnetic stirrer (2 cm) in combination with an external magnetic drive was used for the liquid phase. The double wall of the reactor allowed the use of water to regulate the temperature in the reactor (Julabo, MV basis). Typical dimensions of the stirred cell reactor are given in Table 3.

Temperature (PT-100) and pressure transducers (Trafag, ECO 2.5 A) were used to determine the temperature and pressure during an experiment. The NO concentration in the outlet was measured using an NO pulse radiolysis technique.

### Table 1. Overview of Experimental Studies on NO Absorption in Aqueous Fe\textsuperscript{II}(EDTA) Solution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>reactor</th>
<th>T (K)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>(C_{\text{Fe}^{II}}) (mol/m\textsuperscript{3})</th>
<th>(C_{\text{NO}}) (mol/m\textsuperscript{3})</th>
<th>reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bubble column</td>
<td>288–308</td>
<td>2–10</td>
<td>10–30</td>
<td>((4–30) \times 10^{-4})</td>
<td>Teramoto et al.\textsuperscript{10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stirred cell</td>
<td>311–343</td>
<td>1–6</td>
<td>8–36</td>
<td>((8.3–24) \times 10^{-4})</td>
<td>Hishinuma and Kaji\textsuperscript{11}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stirred cell</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>1.5–10</td>
<td>10–50</td>
<td>((1.4–20) \times 10^{-4})</td>
<td>Sada et al.\textsuperscript{12}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bubble column</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>6–8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>(17 \times 10^{-4})</td>
<td>Sada et al.\textsuperscript{13}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stirred cell</td>
<td>298–353</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10–50</td>
<td>((5–50) \times 10^{-4})</td>
<td>Yih and Lii\textsuperscript{14}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bubble column</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>10–100</td>
<td>((2.0–8.0) \times 10^{-4})</td>
<td>Huasheng and Wenchi\textsuperscript{15}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stirred cell</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>7–8</td>
<td>4–12</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>Nymoen et al.\textsuperscript{16}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stopped flow, flash photolysis, pulse radiolysis</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>4.9–7.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0–1.8</td>
<td>Schneppensieper et al.\textsuperscript{19–21}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) na = not provided in original literature source.

### Table 2. Kinetic and Equilibrium Constants Cited in the Literature for the Reaction of NO with Fe\textsuperscript{II}(EDTA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (K)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>(k_1) (mol/m\textsuperscript{3}/s)</th>
<th>(k_{-1}) (1/s)</th>
<th>(K) (mol/m\textsuperscript{3})</th>
<th>reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>4.6–8.0</td>
<td>(1.7 \times 10^{5})</td>
<td>1.5 \times 10^{3}</td>
<td>Teramoto et al.\textsuperscript{10}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.5 \times 10^{2}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.48 \times 10^{3}</td>
<td>Hishinuma et al.\textsuperscript{11}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>328</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.59 \times 10^{3}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.39 \times 10^{3}</td>
<td>Sada et al.\textsuperscript{12}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>1.5–2.5</td>
<td>(3.29 \times 10^{4})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(1.23 \times 10^{5})</td>
<td>(9.90 \times 10^{2})</td>
<td>Sada et al.\textsuperscript{13}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(1.24 \times 10^{5})</td>
<td>(1.53 \times 10^{3})</td>
<td>Yih and Lii\textsuperscript{14}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(1.35 \times 10^{5})</td>
<td>(7.82 \times 10^{2})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>353</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(1.43 \times 10^{5})</td>
<td>(5.31 \times 10^{2})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>388</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(1.47 \times 10^{5})</td>
<td>(2.52 \times 10^{2})</td>
<td>Nymoen et al.\textsuperscript{16}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>na\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>(\geq 6.0 \times 10^{5})</td>
<td>(\geq 60)</td>
<td>Shy et al.\textsuperscript{17}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(2.4 \times 10^{5})</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>(2.1 \times 10^{3})</td>
<td>Schneppensieper et al.\textsuperscript{19–21}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) na = not provided in original literature source.

### Table 3. Dimensions and Characteristics of the Stirred Cell Contactor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>characteristic</th>
<th>value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>total reactor volume (m\textsuperscript{3})</td>
<td>(1.245 \times 10^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interfacial area (m\textsuperscript{2})</td>
<td>1.8 \times 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gas impeller (m)</td>
<td>1) 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gas impeller (m)</td>
<td>1) 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N\textsubscript{L} liquid stirrer (min\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>6.0 \times 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N\textsubscript{L} gas impeller (min\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(d = 6 \times 10^{-2}\)
2.6. Equilibrium Absorption Experiments. During the reactive absorption experiments, the liquid phase was operated in batch mode while the gas phase was operated continuously. The reactor was filled with the appropriate amount of solution and degassed under vacuum for about 15 min. After degassing, the reactor was filled with nitrogen gas until atmospheric pressure was reached. The reactor was heated to the desired temperature. A gas mixture of NO in N₂ was initially bypassed around the reactor to the analysis unit. The NO concentration in the gas stream was regulated using two mass flow controllers, and the composition of the mixture gas was determined using the NO analyzer. The actual absorption was started by closing the bypass valves and admitting the NO in nitrogen mixture gas to the reactor. The NO concentration of the outlet flow was measured as a function of the time. The experiment was terminated when the outlet NO concentration was equal to the inlet value. Desorption experiments were performed by switching the gas stream from NO/N₂ to pure N₂, after establishment of equilibrium. The NO concentration in the outlet stream was monitored as a function of time.

2.7. Determination of the FeII Content in the Solution. The concentration of FeII in the solution was determined before every absorption experiments by titration with a 0.1 M Ce(SO₄)₂ solution, using 0.025 M ferroine as indicator. To obtain reproducible results, the iron–chelate sample was diluted with an approximate 10-fold volume of sulfuric acid (2–4 kmol/m³) and subsequently degassed by adding approximately 1 g of NaHCO₃ prior to the titration.

3. Theory

A general equation for the flux of NO, here denoted as component A, in a reactive gas–liquid system may be given by:

\[ J_A = \left( \frac{1}{mkE_A} + \frac{1}{k_G} \right)^{-1} \left( C_{A,G}^b - \frac{C_{A,L}^b}{m} \right) \]

Various theoretical mass transfer models have provided expressions for the enhancement factor for the absorption process described in eqs 4 and 5:

\[ A_G \rightarrow A_L \]  
\[ A_L + B_L \leftrightarrow P_L \]

Onda et al. obtained an expression for \( E_A \) using the film theory. In the instantaneous regime, \( E_A \) can be expressed as:

\[ E_A = E_{A,\infty} = 1 + \frac{r_p KC_{B,L}^b}{1 + \left( \frac{r_p}{r_B} \right)^{i}} \]

Related expressions using the penetration theory have been derived. In case the reactive absorption process takes place in the instantaneous regime, the following approximate solution may be applied:

\[ E_A = E_{A,\infty} = \left( 1 + \frac{r_p KC_{B,L}^b}{1 + \left( \frac{r_p}{r_B} \right)^{i}} \right)^{0.5} \]
4. Physical Properties and Mass Transfer Coefficients

4.1. Solubility of NO in Fe\textsuperscript{II}(EDTA) Solutions.

Direct determination of the solubility of NO in iron–EDTA solutions is not possible due to the reactive nature of the system. However, indirect determination is possible when performing absorption experiments with N\textsubscript{2}O instead of NO. According to Demmink,\textsuperscript{18} the Henry coefficient of NO may be obtained using:

$$H_{He} = A_g (H_{NO,w} / H_{N2O,w})$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Here, $H_e$ is the Henry coefficient defined as

$$H_e = \frac{P_A}{C_{A,L}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$A_g$ is a gas-specific salting out parameter\textsuperscript{18} that is close to unity for NO at 298 K, whereas the solubility of N\textsubscript{2}O in water ($H_{N2O,w}$) is given by Versteeg and van Swaaij.\textsuperscript{27}

The solubility of NO in pure water ($H_{NO,w}$) is given by Fogg and Gerrard.\textsuperscript{28}

The Henry coefficient of N\textsubscript{2}O in an iron–chelate solution was determined experimentally using the reactor set up as described in the Experimental Section. The absorption experiments were carried out in a batch mode, both for the gas and the liquid phase. The pressure in the reactor was measured as a function of the time, allowing calculation of the $H_e$ coefficient using:

$$H_e = \frac{p^0 - p_w}{P^0 - P_w} \frac{RT V_L}{V_G}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Figure 2 shows the experimentally obtained solubilities of N\textsubscript{2}O in solutions of Fe\textsuperscript{II}(EDTA) solutions at various temperatures (298–328 K) and Fe concentrations (25–100 mol/m\textsuperscript{3}). As expected, the $H_e$ coefficient increases at higher temperatures. However, a clear relation between the $H_{NO}$ and the concentration of the iron chelate appears to be absent in this concentration range. When assuming that the $H_e$ is not affected for Fe\textsuperscript{II} loadings below 100 mol/m\textsuperscript{3}, the following relation for the temperature dependency of the $H_e$ coefficient of N\textsubscript{2}O may be calculated:

$$H_{NO} = 2.35 \times 10^7 \ e^{-2544/T}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

These results are in fairly good agreement with the results obtained by Wubs:\textsuperscript{29}

$$H_{NO} = 7.51 \times 10^6 \ e^{-2195/T}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Subsequently, the Henry coefficients for NO in Fe\textsuperscript{II}(EDTA) solutions at various temperatures were calculated using eq 8. The results are represented in Figure 3.

4.3. Diffusion Coefficients.

The values for the diffusion coefficient of NO in water at various temperatures may be estimated using the following relation:\textsuperscript{30}

$$D_{NO,w} = 0.00398 \ e^{-3.5 \times 104/RT}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

A correction for the fact that the reaction takes place in an electrolyte solution consisting of Fe\textsuperscript{II}(EDTA) instead of pure water is applied using a relation given by Ho et al.:\textsuperscript{31}

$$D_{NO} = D_{NO,w} \left( \frac{\mu_w}{\mu_{Fe(EDTA)}} \right)^{0.62}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Here, $\mu_w/\mu_{Fe(EDTA)}$ represents the relative viscosity of the Fe\textsuperscript{II}(EDTA) solution, which was measured by Wubs for a [Fe\textsuperscript{II}(EDTA)] of 100 mol/m\textsuperscript{3}.

4.3. Mass Transfer Coefficients. The liquid-phase mass transfer coefficients for NO at different temperatures and Fe\textsuperscript{II}(EDTA) concentrations were calculated from physical absorption experiments of pure N\textsubscript{2}O. The $k_L$ values for N\textsubscript{2}O were obtained from the
pressure versus time curves using:

$$(k_L)_{N_2}a t = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1}\right)\ln\left(\frac{P_{N_2O}}{(\alpha + 1)p_{N_2O} - \alpha P_{NO}}\right)$$

with

$$\alpha = \frac{V_G H e}{V_L R T}$$

According to the penetration theory, the $k_L$ values for NO are related to those of $N_2O$ by the following expression:

$$(k_L)_{NO} = (k_L)_{N_2O}\sqrt{\frac{D_{NO}}{D_{N_2O}}}$$

The experimental values of the mass transfer coefficients for NO as a function of the temperature are given in Figure 4.

The gas-phase mass transfer coefficients at various conditions were obtained by measuring the rate of evaporation of pure water into an airstream. The $k_G$ values of water in air were calculated using a balance for the vapor content of the carrier gas stream combined with the weight of the condensed vapor:

$$F_{G P_w}^{out} = k_G a V_L (p_{w}^{sat} - p_w)(1 - \frac{p_w}{P_{tot}})$$

$$\frac{W}{M_{w} t} = \frac{F_{G P_w}}{\left(1 - \frac{p_w}{P_{tot}}\right)RT}$$

According to the penetration theory, the gas-phase mass transfer coefficient of NO in $N_2$ ($k_{gNO-N_2}$) is related to the experimentally determined value for water in air by

$$k_{gNO-N_2} = k_{gw-air}\sqrt{\frac{D_{NO-N_2}}{D_{w-air}}}$$

where $D_{w-air}$ is provided by Janssen and Warmoeskerken, and the $D_{NO-N_2}$ is estimated using the relation given by Reid et al. The experimental values for the $k_G$ of water in air and the derived values for the $k_g$ of NO in nitrogen are represented in Figure 5.

5. Results and Discussion

5.1. Determination of the Equilibrium Constant of the Reaction at Equilibrium Conditions. Equilibrium experiments were performed to determine the equilibrium constant at various temperatures and a fixed pH of 7. The reaction was allowed to proceed until the concentration in the outlet stream was equal to the inlet concentration. Reaction times of up to 7 h were required to establish equilibrium. A summary of the experiments is given in Table 4.

A typical experimental profile is represented in Figure 6. A first stage with rapid concentration changes is observed followed by second phase where a slow increase of the NO concentration up to the inlet concentration is observed. In the second stage, the actual reaction occurs and equilibrium is established.

Evidence that the reaction should indeed be treated as an equilibrium reaction was obtained by performing desorption experiments. The feed composition was changed from a mixture gas of NO in $N_2$ to pure $N_2$ gas after saturation of the solution with NO and establishment of equilibrium. A rapid drop in the NO concentration in the outlet was observed to about 300 vppm, followed by a stage with a slow decrease. The latter stage suggests that NO is formed by the backward reaction and subsequently transferred to the gas phase. These findings clearly prove that the reaction should be treated as an equilibrium reaction.

Figure 4. Mass transfer coefficient of NO in Fe(III)(EDTA) solutions. C: [Fe(III)(EDTA)] = 100 mol/m³; x: [Fe(III)(EDTA)] = 75 mol/m³; ·: [Fe(III)(EDTA)] = 50 mol/m³; □: [Fe(III)(EDTA)] = 25 mol/m³.

Table 4. Overview of Experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>experiment</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>temp (K)</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid vol (10⁴ m³)</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gas vol (10³ m³)</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(III)(EDTA)] (mol/m³)</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gas flow (10⁶ mol/m³)</td>
<td>8.74</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td>9.12</td>
<td>9.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[NO]in (10² mol/m³)</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Duplicate of experiment 3.
The equilibrium constant for the reaction (eq 1) is given by

$$K = \frac{C_{p,L}}{C_{A,L}C_{B,L}} \text{ at equilibrium}$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

The concentration of the components in the liquid at equilibrium can be rewritten as:

$$C_{p,L} = \frac{F_G}{V_L} C_{A,G} \text{ in } - \int_0^{t_0} C_{A,G} dt - \left( \frac{V_G}{V_L} + m \right) C_{A,G} \text{ in}$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

$$C_{A,L} = mC_{A,G} \text{ in}$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

$$C_{B,L} = C_{B,L}^0 - C_{p,L}$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

The values of the equilibrium constant at different temperatures were determined using eqs 21–24, and the results are given in Figure 7.

A linear relation ($R^2 = 0.996$) was observed. The equilibrium constants from previous studies have also been provided in Figure 7. It is clear that there is a considerable spread in the data. As mentioned in the Introduction, most of the experimental studies carried out so far have not properly taken into account mass transfer limitations of NO and/or the iron chelate species, which is, according to our findings, of prime importance to obtain reliable results.

5.2. Dynamic Reactor Modeling. The values of the equilibrium constants may also be obtained from the experimentally determined absorption profiles (Figure 6). The absorption profiles were modeled assuming that the reaction between NO and FeII(EDTA) is reversible (see previous section); that the gas and liquid phase in the stirred cell reactor are ideally mixed, which was confirmed by RTD experiments; and that the absorption process takes place in the instantaneous regime.\(^{23}\)

The component balance for NO in the gas phase in the stirred cell reactor is

$$V_G \frac{dC_{A,G}^{b}}{dt} = F_G (C_{A,G}^{\text{in}} - C_{A,G}^{b}) - J_A a V_L$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

$$t = 0; \quad C_{A,G}^{b} = 0$$

and for the liquid phase in the stirred cell:

$$V_L \frac{dC_{A,L}^{p}}{dt} = J_A a V_L$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

$$t = 0; \quad C_{A,L}^{p} = 0$$

where $C_{A,L} = C_{A,G}^{b} + C_{p}^{b}$ is the total amount of absorbed NO. Assuming chemical equilibrium in the liquid phase, we can write:

$$KC_{A,L}^{b}C_{B,L}^{b} = C_{p,L}^{b}$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

$$C_{A,L}^{b} + C_{p,L}^{b} = C_{A,L}^{p}$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

$$C_{B,L}^{b} = C_{B,L}^{0} - C_{p,L}^{b}$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

which allows for the calculation of the liquid-phase concentrations once $C_{A,L}^{p}$ is known.

For the mass transfer rate we have:

$$J_A = k_G \left( C_{A,G}^{b} - \frac{C_{A,L}^{b}}{m} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

and

$$J_A = k_L E_A (C_{A,L}^{i} - C_{A,L}^{b})$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)

where the enhancement factor $E_A$ is given by the
penetration theory of mass transfer (eq 7) with the assumption $r_P = r_B$.

The set of differential equations (eqs 25 and 26) and the ordinary equations (eqs 27–31) were solved numerically using a fourth order Runge–Kutta method. Parameter estimation was performed using a Newton–Raphson technique.

5.3. Parameter Fitting. The two main model parameters are the value of the equilibrium constant ($K$) and the diffusivity ratio ($r_P$). Initially, these parameters were fitted for each absorption experiment. Optimization of these parameters using the penetration theory proved very successful, and excellent fits between the measured and modeled absorption profiles were observed. A typical example is given in Figure 8. Attempts to model the profiles using the enhancement factor for the instantaneous regime according to the film theory (eq 6) did not give satisfying results.

A comparison between the equilibrium constants determined using the equilibrium approach and those obtained using the dynamic reactor model is given in Figure 9. Agreement between the $K$ values obtained by the two procedures is very satisfactorily. In a second phase, all absorption experiments were fitted simultaneously. The van’t Hoff relation for the temperature dependency of the equilibrium constants was found to be:

$$K = \exp\left(\frac{4702}{T} - 8.534\right) \quad (32)$$

From this relation, the reaction enthalpy ($\Delta H^\circ$) and entropy ($\Delta S^\circ$) were obtained as $-39.1 \text{ kJ/mol}$ and $-71 \text{ J/mol K}$, respectively.

The values for the diffusivity ratio $r_P$ at various temperatures obtained by simultaneous fitting of all experiments are given in Figure 10. The diffusivity ratio varies between 0.07 and 0.12 and shows slight temperature dependence. There appears to be a maximum at about 315 K, at higher and lower temperatures, the ratio is lower. This trend is likely the result of the very strong temperature dependence of the diffusivity of NO in the aqueous phase. Wise et al.\textsuperscript{30} have experimentally determined the diffusion coefficient of various gases in water and found that the diffusivity of NO is showing a very strong temperature dependence. When assuming that the temperature dependence of NO is much larger than that of the Fe species, a temperature dependence of the diffusivity ratio ($r_P$) as given in Figure 10 is expected. The temperature dependence of the diffusivity may be described by the following empirical relation:

$$r_P = -1.775 \times 10^{-4} T^2 + 0.117 T - 16.93 \quad (33)$$

6. Conclusions

The equilibrium constants of the reaction between NO and Fe\textsuperscript{II}(EDTA) in the temperature range 299–329 K, an Fe\textsuperscript{II}(EDTA) concentration of 7–9 mol/m\textsuperscript{3}, an NO inlet concentration of 1000 vppm, and a fixed pH of 7 at the start of the reaction have been determined. The experiments were carried out in a stirred cell contactor operated batch with respect to the liquid phase and continuously with respect to the gas phase. Two approaches have been applied. In the first approach, experiments were carried out using long reaction times to allow for the establishment of chemical equilibrium.
in the setup. The equilibrium constant was determined using the uptake of NO and the Fe(II)(EDTA) conversion. Second, a dynamic reactor model was developed to describe the experimental absorption profiles. Mass transfer effects were taken into account using the penetration theory for mass transfer. Excellent fits were obtained when assuming that the reaction takes place in the instantaneous regime. The dynamic approach not only allowed calculation of the equilibrium constant of the reaction at various temperatures but also provided accurate data for the diffusivity ratio $r_p$. Agreement between both approaches was excellent. The results of this study will be valuable input for subsequent experimental and modeling studies to determine the kinetic constants of the relevant reactions in the BiodeNOx process at various process conditions and an optimum BiodeNOx absorber design. These studies will be reported in forthcoming papers.
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Nomenclature

$A_p$ = salt effect coefficient  
$a$ = interfacial area, m$^2$m$^{-3}$  
$C$ = concentration, mol·m$^{-3}$  
$d$ = diameter gas impeller, m  
$D$ = diffusion coefficient, m$^2$s$^{-1}$  
$E_h$ = enhancement factor  
$H$ = enthalpy, J·mol$^{-1}$  
$H_0$ = Hatta number  
$H_e$ = Henry coefficient for solubility, Pa·m$^3$·mol$^{-1}$  
$F$ = flow rate, m$^3$s$^{-1}$  
$J$ = molar flux, mol·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$  
$K$ = equilibrium constant, mol$^{-3}$·mol$^{-1}$  
$k$ = mass transfer coefficient, m$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$  
$k_i$ = rate of the reaction, mol$^{-1}$·m$^{-3}$·s$^{-1}$  
$M$ = molar weight, kg·mol$^{-1}$  
$m$ = solubility $= C_A \cdot C_A^0$  
$p$ = pressure, Pa  
$q_A = C_{B/A} / (C_A - C_{AB})$  
$R =$ gas constant $= 8.314$ J·mol$^{-1}$·K$^{-1}$  
$r_p = D_p / D_A$, $r_f = D_f / D_A$ = ratio of diffusion coefficients  
$S$ = entropy, J·mol$^{-1}$·K$^{-1}$  
$T$ = temperature, Kelvin  
$t$ = time, s  
$V$ = volume, m$^3$  
$W$ = weight, kg  

Greek Symbols

$\alpha = V_d He / V_l RT$  
$\beta = C_h,1 / C_h$  
$\Phi =$ reaction factor  
$\tau =$ residence time, s

Subscripts

A = component A = NO  
AP = component A + P  
B = component in the liquid phase  
G = gas phase  
L = liquid phase  
$P =$ product

$\text{TOT} =$ total amount  
$w =$ water

Superscript

$\infty =$ final  
$0 =$ initial value  
$b =$ bulk  
i = interface  
in = inlet  
out = outlet  
sat = saturation
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